

TABLE OF CONTENTS

This page intentionally left blank.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
ES. 1 INTRODUCTION AND AUTHORITY.....	ES-1
ES. 2 PURPOSE AND NEED	ES-2
ES. 3 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PROJECT.....	ES-2
ES. 4 POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.....	ES-4
Geology and Soils	ES-6
Surface Water and Coastal Processes	ES-7
Surface Water and Sediment Quality	ES-9
Wetland Resources and Waters of the U.S.....	ES-9
Air Quality.....	ES-10
Noise	ES-11
Terrestrial Wildlife and Habitat	ES-11
Aquatic Resources	ES-11
Marine Mammals.....	ES-12
Threatened and Endangered Species.....	ES-13
Socioeconomics	ES-13
Environmental Justice	ES-13
Commercial Fisheries.....	ES-15
Recreation and Tourism	ES-16
Public Lands.....	ES-17
Land Use and Land Cover	ES-17
Aesthetics.....	ES-18
Public Health and Safety, Including Flood Risk Reduction and Shoreline Protection	ES-18
Navigation	ES-20
Land-Based Transportation.....	ES-20
Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste.....	ES-20
Cultural Resources.....	ES-21
1.0 INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE AND NEED.....	1-1
1.1 PROJECT INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW	1-1
1.1.1 Project Location.....	1-3
1.2 PROJECT BACKGROUND	1-5
1.2.1 History of the Barataria Basin.....	1-5
1.2.2 Project History	1-5
1.3 PROPOSED PROJECT	1-7
1.4 PURPOSE AND NEED	1-9
1.5 USACE CIVIL WORKS PROJECTS IN THE PROJECT AREA	1-10
1.5.1 Navigation Projects	1-10
1.5.2 Mississippi River and Tributaries Project, Mississippi River Levee....	1-12
1.5.3 Hurricane and Storm Damage Risk Reduction System Projects	1-12
1.5.4 New Orleans to Venice Hurricane Protection Project, Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana with Incorporation of Non-Federal Levees.....	1-13
1.5.5 Larose to Golden Meadow Project.....	1-13
1.5.6 Davis Pond Freshwater Diversion Project.....	1-13

1.6	SCOPE OF THE EIS	1-14
1.6.1	The OPA and DWH NRDA Decisions	1-15
1.7	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT SUMMARY	1-16
1.8	AGENCY ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	1-17
2.0	ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1	INTRODUCTION	2-1
2.1.1	Overview of Sediment Diversions	2-2
2.2	STEPS TAKEN TO IDENTIFY AND EVALUATE REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES	2-3
2.2.1	Define Project Objectives	2-6
2.2.2	Develop Screening Criteria	2-8
2.2.3	Develop Additional Considerations	2-8
2.2.4	Process Used to Identify and Evaluate Alternatives to the Applicant's Preferred Alternative	2-9
2.3	STEP 1: EVALUATION OF FUNCTIONAL ALTERNATIVES	2-10
2.3.1	Freshwater Diversion into the Barataria Basin	2-15
2.3.2	Structural Barriers	2-15
2.3.3	Shoreline Protection	2-16
2.3.4	Barrier Islands	2-16
2.3.5	Large-Scale Marsh Creation	2-16
2.3.6	Sediment Diversions with Marsh Creation	2-20
2.3.7	Multiple Small-Scale Diversions	2-22
2.3.8	Conclusions Based on Review of Functional Alternatives	2-23
2.4	STEP 2: EVALUATION OF OPERATIONAL ALTERNATIVES – LOCATION, OPERATIONAL TRIGGER, CAPACITY, AND BASE FLOW.....	2-24
2.4.1	Evaluation of Location Alternatives	2-24
2.4.2	Evaluation of Operational Trigger.....	2-31
2.4.3	Evaluation of Sediment Diversion Capacity Scenarios	2-35
2.4.4	Evaluation of Additional Design Considerations.....	2-44
2.5	STEP 3: EVALUATION OF SEDIMENT DIVERSION OUTFALL FEATURES	2-45
2.5.1	Additional Considerations.....	2-45
2.6	SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT ELIMINATED FROM DETAILED ANALYSIS.....	2-47
2.7	NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE.....	2-48
2.8	ACTION ALTERNATIVES CARRIED FORWARD FOR DETAILED ANALYSIS.....	2-50
2.8.1	Alternative 1: Variable Flow up to 75,000 cfs Maximum Sediment Diversion (Applicant's Preferred Alternative).....	2-50
2.8.2	Alternative 2: Variable Flow up to 75,000 cfs Maximum Sediment Diversion Including Marsh Terrace Outfall Features	2-67
2.8.3	Alternative 3: Variable Flow up to 50,000 cfs Maximum Sediment Diversion	2-68
2.8.4	Alternative 4: Variable Flow up to 50,000 cfs Maximum Sediment Diversion Including Marsh Terrace Outfall Features	2-68
2.8.5	Alternative 5: Variable Flow up to 150,000 cfs Maximum Sediment Diversion	2-68

2.8.6	Alternative 6: Variable Flow up to 150,000 cfs Maximum Sediment Diversion Including Marsh Terrace Outfall Features	2-69
2.9	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES UNDER EACH ALTERNATIVE	2-69
3.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3-1
3.1	INTRODUCTION	3-1
3.1.1	Project Area	3-1
3.1.2	Physiographic Setting	3-3
3.1.3	Climate	3-5
3.1.4	Overview and History of the Project Area	3-7
3.2	GEOLOGY AND SOILS	3-9
3.2.1	Geology, Topography, and Geomorphology	3-9
3.2.2	Faults	3-12
3.2.3	Mineral Resources	3-12
3.2.4	Soils	3-15
3.2.5	Prime Farmland	3-17
3.3	GROUNDWATER RESOURCES	3-18
3.3.1	Aquifers	3-18
3.3.2	Groundwater Use	3-18
3.3.3	Groundwater Quality	3-19
3.4	SURFACE WATER AND COASTAL PROCESSES	3-20
3.4.1	Overview	3-20
3.4.2	Hydrology and Hydrodynamics	3-25
3.4.3	Stormwater Management and Drainage	3-35
3.5	SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY	3-35
3.5.1	Water Quality Standards and Designated Uses	3-35
3.5.2	Ambient Water Quality, Including Salinity	3-37
3.5.3	Sediment Quality	3-55
3.6	WETLAND RESOURCES AND WATERS OF THE U.S.	3-61
3.6.1	Wetland Types in the Project Area	3-62
3.6.2	Wetland Loss	3-70
3.6.3	Wetland Invasive Plants	3-75
3.7	AIR QUALITY	3-77
3.7.1	Regulatory Setting	3-77
3.7.2	Existing Conditions	3-79
3.8	NOISE	3-80
3.8.1	Noise Fundamentals	3-80
3.8.2	Regulatory Overview	3-82
3.8.3	Existing Conditions	3-83
3.9	TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE AND HABITAT	3-85
3.9.1	Historical Context	3-85
3.9.2	Vegetation	3-85
3.9.3	Terrestrial Wildlife	3-89
3.9.4	Terrestrial Invasive Species	3-94
3.10	AQUATIC RESOURCES	3-97
3.10.1	Historical Context	3-97
3.10.2	Aquatic Vegetation	3-99
3.10.3	Benthic Resources	3-100

3.10.4	Essential Fish Habitat (EFH)	3-103
3.10.5	Aquatic Fauna	3-110
3.10.6	Aquatic Invasive Species	3-138
3.11	MARINE MAMMALS	3-141
3.11.1	Marine Mammals in the Northern Gulf of Mexico	3-141
3.11.2	Marine Mammals in Barataria Bay and Surrounding Areas	3-144
3.11.3	Habitat Preferences and Environmental Requirements	3-149
3.11.4	Existing Threats.....	3-157
3.12	THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES.....	3-159
3.12.1	Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species	3-159
3.12.2	State-listed and Special Status Species.....	3-169
3.13	SOCIOECONOMICS.....	3-171
3.13.1	Economy, Employment, Businesses, and Industrial Activity	3-173
3.13.2	Population	3-174
3.13.3	Housing and Property Values.....	3-177
3.13.4	Tax Revenue	3-178
3.13.5	Public Services and Utilities	3-179
3.13.6	Community Cohesion	3-181
3.13.7	Protection of Children.....	3-183
3.14	COMMERCIAL FISHERIES	3-184
3.14.1	Overview	3-184
3.14.2	Shrimp Fishery	3-190
3.14.3	Oyster Fishery	3-194
3.14.4	Crab Fishery.....	3-197
3.14.5	Finfish Fishery	3-199
3.14.6	Aquaculture	3-203
3.14.7	Seafood Industry Regional Economic Contribution.....	3-205
3.15	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE.....	3-208
3.16	RECREATION AND TOURISM.....	3-221
3.16.1	Introduction.....	3-221
3.16.2	Recreational Fishing.....	3-225
3.16.3	Hunting	3-232
3.16.4	Wildlife Watching, Including Birding	3-237
3.16.5	Recreational Boating Activities.....	3-237
3.16.6	Visitation to Non-governmental Protected Areas	3-239
3.17	PUBLIC LANDS	3-239
3.17.1	Barataria Basin.....	3-240
3.17.2	Birdfoot Delta.....	3-241
3.18	LAND USE AND LAND COVER.....	3-241
3.18.1	Historical Land Cover	3-241
3.18.2	Existing Land Use/Land Cover.....	3-242
3.18.3	Zoning	3-244
3.18.4	Future Land Use.....	3-246
3.19	AESTHETIC AND VISUAL RESOURCES	3-247
3.19.1	Existing Environment.....	3-247
3.19.2	Potential Visual Receptors	3-248
3.20	PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY, INCLUDING FLOOD AND STORM HAZARD RISK REDUCTION	3-248
3.20.1	Overview	3-248

3.20.2	Storm Surge and Flooding	3-249
3.20.3	Risk Reduction Measures	3-253
3.21	NAVIGATION	3-257
3.21.1	Mississippi River.....	3-258
3.21.2	Federal Channels in the Barataria Basin.....	3-264
3.22	LAND-BASED TRANSPORTATION	3-268
3.22.1	Roads	3-268
3.22.2	Rail	3-271
3.23	HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE ASSESSMENT.....	3-272
3.23.1	Records Review	3-273
3.23.2	Field Survey	3-277
3.24	CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	3-278
3.24.1	Prehistoric and Historical Background of the Project Area.....	3-279
3.24.2	Cultural Resources Compliance.....	3-280
3.24.3	Cultural Resources in the Project Areas of Potential Effect	3-281
3.24.4	Investigations and Compliance	3-281
4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....	4-1
4.1	APPROACH TO EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-1
4.1.1	Context.....	4-2
4.1.2	Intensity	4-3
4.1.3	Overview of Delft3D Basinwide Model for Impact Analysis.....	4-5
4.2	GEOLOGY AND SOILS	4-14
4.2.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-14
4.2.2	Guidelines for Geology and Soils Impact Determinations	4-14
4.2.3	Geology, Topography, and Geomorphology	4-15
4.2.4	Mineral Resources	4-44
4.2.5	Soils and Prime Farmland	4-47
4.2.6	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-50
4.3	GROUNDWATER RESOURCES.....	4-54
4.3.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-54
4.3.2	Guidelines for Groundwater Resources Impact Determinations	4-54
4.3.3	Construction Impacts.....	4-55
4.3.4	Operational Impacts	4-57
4.3.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-59
4.4	SURFACE WATER AND COASTAL PROCESSES.....	4-61
4.4.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-61
4.4.2	Guidelines for Surface Water/Coastal Processes Impact Determinations	4-61
4.4.3	Overview of Modeling for Impact Analysis	4-62
4.4.4	Hydrology and Hydrodynamics	4-63
4.4.5	Stormwater Management and Drainage	4-119
4.4.6	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-123
4.5	SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY.....	4-126
4.5.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-126
4.5.2	Guidelines for Water and Sediment Quality Impact Determinations	4-127
4.5.3	Overview of Modeling for Impact Analysis	4-128

4.5.4	Construction Impacts.....	4-130
4.5.5	Operational Impacts	4-133
4.5.6	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-203
4.5.7	Section 408 Impacts.....	4-207
4.6	WETLAND RESOURCES AND WATERS OF THE U.S.	4-209
4.6.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-209
4.6.2	Overview of Modeling Impact Analysis.....	4-209
4.6.3	Guidelines for Wetland Impact Determinations	4-210
4.6.4	Construction Impacts.....	4-211
4.6.5	Operational Impacts	4-218
4.6.6	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-250
4.7	AIR QUALITY	4-254
4.7.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-254
4.7.2	Guidelines for Air Quality Impact Determinations.....	4-255
4.7.3	Construction Impacts.....	4-255
4.7.4	Operational Impacts	4-258
4.7.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-260
4.8	NOISE	4-262
4.8.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-262
4.8.2	Guidelines for Noise Impact Determinations	4-264
4.8.3	Construction Impacts.....	4-264
4.8.4	Operational Impacts	4-270
4.8.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-272
4.9	TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE AND HABITAT	4-274
4.9.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-274
4.9.2	Guidelines for Terrestrial Wildlife and Habitat Impact Determinations	4-274
4.9.3	Construction Impacts.....	4-276
4.9.4	Operational Impacts	4-283
4.9.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-295
4.10	AQUATIC RESOURCES.....	4-298
4.10.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-298
4.10.2	Guidelines for Aquatic Resource Impact Determinations	4-298
4.10.3	Construction Impacts.....	4-299
4.10.4	Operational Impacts	4-314
4.10.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-418
4.11	MARINE MAMMALS	4-423
4.11.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-423
4.11.2	Guidelines for Marine Mammal Impact Determinations	4-423
4.11.3	Overview of Impact Analysis Approach.....	4-424
4.11.4	Construction Impacts.....	4-430
4.11.5	Operational Impacts	4-436
4.11.6	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-485
4.12	THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES.....	4-489
4.12.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-489
4.12.2	Federally Listed or Proposed Threatened and Endangered Species.....	4-490
4.12.3	State-listed Threatened and Endangered Species.....	4-517
4.12.4	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-522

4.13	SOCIOECONOMICS	4-525
4.13.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-525
4.13.2	Guidelines for Socioeconomics Impact Determinations	4-527
4.13.3	Key Drivers of Socioeconomic Operational Impacts	4-528
4.13.4	Construction Impacts.....	4-531
4.13.5	Operational Impacts	4-544
4.13.6	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-565
4.14	COMMERCIAL FISHERIES	4-570
4.14.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-570
4.14.2	Guidelines for Commercial Fishing Impact Determinations	4-571
4.14.3	Construction Impacts.....	4-572
4.14.4	Operational Impacts	4-574
4.14.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-603
4.15	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE.....	4-607
4.15.1	Area of Potential Impact	4-608
4.15.2	Guidelines for Environmental Justice Impact Determinations	4-609
4.15.3	Construction Impacts.....	4-610
4.15.4	Operational Impacts	4-613
4.15.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-629
4.16	RECREATION AND TOURISM.....	4-631
4.16.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-631
4.16.2	Overview of Methodology and Sources for Analysis	4-631
4.16.3	Guidelines for Recreation and Tourism Impact Determinations	4-632
4.16.4	Construction Impacts.....	4-633
4.16.5	Operational Impacts	4-635
4.16.6	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-650
4.17	PUBLIC LANDS	4-653
4.17.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-653
4.17.2	Guidelines for Public Lands Impact Determinations.....	4-654
4.17.3	Construction Impacts.....	4-654
4.17.4	Operational Impacts	4-656
4.17.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-660
4.18	LAND USE AND LAND COVER.....	4-662
4.18.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-662
4.18.2	Guidelines for Land Use/Land Cover Impact Determinations	4-662
4.18.3	Construction Impacts.....	4-662
4.18.4	Operational Impacts	4-667
4.18.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-670
4.19	AESTHETIC AND VISUAL RESOURCES	4-673
4.19.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-673
4.19.2	Guidelines for Aesthetic and Visual Resources Impact Determinations	4-673
4.19.3	Construction Impacts.....	4-674
4.19.4	Operational Impacts	4-675
4.19.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-679
4.20	PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY, INCLUDING FLOOD AND STORM HAZARD RISK REDUCTION	4-680
4.20.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-680
4.20.2	Guidelines for Public Health and Safety Impact Determinations	4-680
4.20.3	Overview of Model for Impact Analysis	4-681

4.20.4	Storm Surge and Flooding	4-683
4.20.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-738
4.21	NAVIGATION	4-740
4.21.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-740
4.21.2	Overview of Modeling Impact Analysis.....	4-741
4.21.3	Guidelines for Navigation Impact Determinations	4-742
4.21.4	Mississippi River.....	4-742
4.21.5	Barataria Basin.....	4-756
4.21.6	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-771
4.22	LAND-BASED TRANSPORTATION	4-774
4.22.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-774
4.22.2	Guidelines for Land-Based Transportation Impact Determinations..	4-774
4.22.3	Roads	4-775
4.22.4	Rail	4-781
4.22.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-785
4.23	HAZARDOUS, TOXIC, AND RADIOACTIVE WASTE ASSESSMENT.....	4-786
4.23.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-786
4.23.2	Guidelines for HTRW Impact Determinations	4-787
4.23.3	Construction Impacts.....	4-788
4.23.4	Operational Impacts	4-790
4.23.5	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-792
4.24	CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	4-793
4.24.1	Area of Potential Impacts	4-793
4.24.2	Construction Impacts.....	4-793
4.24.3	Operations Impacts	4-794
4.24.4	Summary of Potential Impacts	4-796
4.25	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	4-797
4.25.1	Methodology for Assessing Cumulative Impacts.....	4-798
4.25.2	Geology and Soils	4-829
4.25.3	Groundwater.....	4-837
4.25.4	Surface Water and Coastal Processes	4-837
4.25.5	Surface Water and Sediment Quality	4-850
4.25.6	Wetland Resources and Waters of the U.S.....	4-865
4.25.7	Air Quality.....	4-876
4.25.8	Noise	4-878
4.25.9	Terrestrial Wildlife and Habitat	4-882
4.25.10	Aquatic Resources	4-886
4.25.11	Marine Mammals.....	4-892
4.25.12	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	4-897
4.25.13	Socioeconomics	4-906
4.25.14	Commercial Fisheries.....	4-910
4.25.15	Environmental Justice	4-914
4.25.16	Recreation and Tourism	4-918
4.25.17	Public Lands.....	4-922
4.25.18	Land Use and Land Cover	4-927
4.25.19	Aesthetic and Visual Resources.....	4-930
4.25.20	Public Health and Safety, Including Flood and Storm Hazard Risk Reduction	4-934
4.25.21	Navigation	4-941
4.25.22	Land-Based Transportation.....	4-944

4.25.23	Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste	4-947
4.25.24	Cultural Resources	4-947
4.26	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS IN PLANNING	4-949
4.26.1	Relationship Between Short-term Use of the Human Environment and Long-term Productivity	4-949
4.26.2	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources	4-950
4.26.3	Unavoidable Adverse Impacts.....	4-951
4.26.4	Consideration of Incomplete or Unavailable Information.....	4-953
4.27	MITIGATION SUMMARY	4-962
4.27.1	Avoidance and Minimization.....	4-963
4.27.2	Compensatory Mitigation.....	4-973
4.27.3	Proffered Permit Special Conditions	4-974
5.0	CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION	5-1
5.1	Compliance with Laws, Regulations, and Executive Orders	5-1
5.2	Cooperating Agency Review Process (General)	5-3
5.3	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Report Recommendations.....	5-6
6.0	LIST OF PREPARERS	6-1
7.0	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	7-1
7.1	Project Website	7-1
7.2	Summary of Scoping Input	7-1
7.3	Other Public Outreach and Engagement	7-4
7.3.1	DWH NRDA Restoration Planning	7-4
7.3.2	CPRA Coastal Connections	7-4
7.4	Opportunity for Public Comments on the DEIS	7-5
7.4.1	Concurrent Opportunities for Public Comments on the DEIS and Draft Restoration Plan	7-5
7.5	Opportunity for Public Review of the FEIS	7-6
7.6	Record of Decision	7-6
8.0	ACRONYM LIST	8-1
9.0	INDEX	9-1
10.0	REFERENCES	10-1

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Permit Application (Section 10/404) and Permissions Request (Section 408)
Appendix B	Public Involvement
Appendix C	Notice of Intent
Appendix D	Alternatives Information
Appendix E	Delft 3D Modeling
Appendix F	MBSD Design Information
Appendix G	Wetlands/Waters of the U.S.
Appendix H	Socioeconomics Technical Report
Appendix I	Traffic Study
Appendix J	Hazardous Toxic and Radioactive Waste Assessment
Appendix K	Cultural Resources Information
Appendix L	Water Quality Information
Appendix M	Terrestrial Habitat and Wildlife Report
Appendix N	Aquatic Resources including Essential Fish Habitat
Appendix O	Biological Assessment & Biological Opinion
Appendix P	Flood and Storm Hazards Evaluation
Appendix Q	Navigation/Dredging Analysis
Appendix R	Mitigation & Monitoring and Adaptive Management Plans
Appendix S	Compliance Documentation
Appendix T	USFWS Coordination Act Report (CAR)

List of Tables

Table 1.6-1	Example Comment Topics Expressed in Public Comments and EIS Chapters that Address Them ^{a,b}	1-15
Table 1.8-1	Proposed MBSD Project NEPA Contributing Agencies and Relevant Authorities	1-18
Table 1.8-2	National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106 Consultation	1-20
Table 2.3-1	Comparison of Functional Alternatives to Screening Criteria	2-11
Table 2.4-1	Diversion Sizes Considered in Previous Studies	2-37
Table 2.4-2	Sediment Load Summary	2-39
Table 2.6-1	Summary of Alternatives Considered but Eliminated	2-47
Table 2.8-1	Project Design Elements	2-53
Table 2.8-2	Project Construction and Operational Footprint Acreages	2-54
Table 2.9-1	Comparative Summary of Potential MBSD Impacts Under Each Alternative (as Compared to the No Action Alternative unless Otherwise Stated)	2-71
Table 3.1-1	Parish Acreages in Project Area	3-2
Table 3.2-1	Summary of Oil/Gas Wells in the Project Area by Well Status	3-15
Table 3.3-1	Groundwater Withdrawals, in Million Gallons per Day (Mgal/d) ^a	3-19
Table 3.4-1	Summarized Water Levels within the Barataria Basin	3-28
Table 3.5-1	LDEQ Generated TMDLs for Subsegments within the Barataria Basin	3-37
Table 3.5-2	Ambient Water Quality Data Used to Describe Project Area	3-38
Table 3.5-3	Specific Conductance versus Salinity at 77°F (25°C)	3-40
Table 3.5-4	Comparison of Mississippi River and Barataria Basin Temperature and Specific Conductance	3-40
Table 3.5-5	Monthly Average Salinity (ppt) at Select Barataria Basin Stations ^a	3-44
Table 3.5-6	Average Monthly Nutrient Concentrations in the Mississippi River ^{a,b} and Barataria Basin ^c	3-47
Table 3.5-7	Parameters for Dredge Sediment Quality Evaluations ^a : USEPA Priority Pollutants, Contaminants of Concern (COC), and Conventional Parameters	3-56
Table 3.6-1	Wetland Habitat Types Occurring within the Project Area	3-63
Table 3.6-2	Common Plant Species Occurring within the Project Area by Wetland Type	3-64
Table 3.6-3	Potential Invasive Wetland Plant Species and Habitat Type in the Barataria Basin	3-77
Table 3.7-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards ^a	3-78
Table 3.8-1	Sound Levels and Relative Loudness	3-81
Table 3.8-2	FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria Hourly A-weighted Sound Level Decibels	3-83
Table 3.8-3	Nearest Noise Sensitive Areas to the Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion Project Facilities	3-84
Table 3.9-1	Terrestrial Habitat Types and Ranks in the Barataria Basin Ecoregions	3-86

Table 3.9-2	Select Terrestrial Wildlife Species in the Project Area	3-89
Table 3.9-3	Primary Terrestrial Invasive Plant Species Reported for Parishes in the Barataria Basin ^a	3-95
Table 3.10-1	Benthic Lower Trophic Level Taxa and Habitat Associations in the Barataria Basin	3-102
Table 3.10-2	Essential Fish Habitat in the Barataria Basin and Nearshore Shelf Waters Off Louisiana	3-106
Table 3.10-3	Modeled Habitat and Environmental Requirements for Fauna in the Barataria Basin	3-114
Table 3.10-4	Potential Aquatic Invasive Animal Species Occurring within the Barataria Basin	3-140
Table 3.11-1	Information on Dolphin Stocks Considered in the Proposed Project EIS ^a	3-143
Table 3.11-2	Ranges of Environmental Parameters Where BBES Dolphins Have Been Observed during Boat-based Surveys	3-149
Table 3.11-3	BBES Dolphin Prey Species Based on Stomach Contents	3-154
Table 3.11-4	Marine Mammal Hearing Groups	3-158
Table 3.12-1	Special Status Species Potentially Occurring in the Project Area	3-160
Table 3.12-2	State-listed Species and Species of Concern Potentially Occurring in the Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion	3-170
Table 3.13-1	Average Annual Establishment Count, Employment, and Pay by Industry for All Parishes Intersecting the Project Area ^{a,b}	3-174
Table 3.13-2	Population of Parishes within or Partially in the Project Area ^a	3-175
Table 3.13-3	Sales, Property, and Income Tax Revenues by Parish	3-179
Table 3.13-4	Coastal Community Well-being Indicators by Parish, 2012 ^a	3-183
Table 3.13-5	Percentage of Children in Poverty by Parish (2014 to 2018) ^a	3-184
Table 3.14-1	Number of Licensed Commercial Fishers Active in the Barataria and Mississippi River Basins, Average 2014 to 2018 ^a	3-186
Table 3.14-2	Project Area Landings by Species Group (lbs), Average 2014 to 2018 ^a	3-190
Table 3.14-3	Average Annual Shrimp Activity by Area Fished, 2014 to 2018 ^a	3-193
Table 3.14-4	Average Annual Oyster Activity by Area Fished, 2014 to 2018 ^a	3-196
Table 3.14-5	Average Annual Crab Activity by Area Fished, 2014 to 2018 ^a	3-198
Table 3.14-6	Average Annual Saltwater Finfish Activity by Area Fished, 2014 to 2018 ^a	3-201
Table 3.14-7	Average Annual Freshwater Finfish Activity by Area Fished, 2014 to 2018 ^a	3-203
Table 3.14-8	2012 Aquaculture in the Project Area by Parish ^a	3-205
Table 3.14-9	Economic Impacts of the Louisiana Seafood Industry, 2016 (Without Imports) ^a (Millions of 2017 Dollars)	3-206
Table 3.14-10	Commercial Fishing Engagement and Reliance Indices by Coastal Community, 2017 ^a	3-207
Table 3.15-1	Minority Populations for Census Block Groups within or Intersecting the Project Area (average, 2014 to 2018).....	3-209
Table 3.15-2	Economic Indicators for Census Block Groups Intersecting the Project Area (average, 2014 to 2018)	3-210

Table 3.15-3	Percent of Population 65 Years and Over for Census Block Groups Intersecting the Project Area (2014 to 2018)	3-211
Table 3.15-4	Coastal Communities in the Project Area with Potential Minority and Low-Income Fishing and Hunting Participation	3-213
Table 3.15-5	Community Social Vulnerability Indices by Coastal Community, 2016.....	3-214
Table 3.15-6	Communities with Minority and/or Low-Income Populations Considered and Selected for Environmental Justice Impact Analysis	3-216
Table 3.16-1	Recreation Areas ^a in the Project Area	3-223
Table 3.16-2	Recreational Fishing and Boating Access Facilities in the Project Area	3-224
Table 3.16-3	MRIP-estimated Recreational Fishing Trips by Parish, 2010 to 2016 ^a	3-229
Table 3.16-4	Recreational Fishing Engagement and Reliance Indices by Coastal Community, 2014 ^a	3-230
Table 3.16-5	Estimated Annual Boating Trips by Site in the Barataria Basin	3-231
Table 3.16-6	Estimated Fishing Trips by Year in the Barataria Basin, 2014 to 2018.....	3-232
Table 3.16-7	Average Annual Number of Hunting Licenses by Type, 2010 to 2017 ^a	3-234
Table 3.16-8	Estimated Annual Shoreline Trips by Area in the Barataria Basin	3-236
Table 3.16-9	Motorboat Registrations by Parish, 1988 to 2011 ^a	3-238
Table 3.18-1	Project Area Land Use Types ^a	3-243
Table 3.21-1	Mississippi River Channel Vessel Transits, New Orleans to Head of Passes	3-261
Table 3.21-2	Mississippi River Cargo Tons (000) by River Segment and Major Commodity Groups by Foreign and Domestic Sources, 2016	3-262
Table 3.21-3	Lower Mississippi River Deep-Draft Port Commodity Tons (000) by Domestic and Foreign Cargo, 2016	3-263
Table 3.21-4	Barataria Basin Vessel Transits	3-266
Table 3.21-5	Barataria Basin Commercial Waterborne Cargo (in tons)	3-267
Table 3.22-1	LA 23 AADT in the Project Area (2015).....	3-270
Table 3.23-1	Potential REC Sites Identified in Federal, State, and Tribal Databases	3-273
Table 4.1-1	Summary of Mississippi River Historical Representative Hydrographs for each Modeled Decade of Operations	4-7
Table 4.1-2	Summary of Mississippi River Additional Hydrographs	4-8
Table 4.1-3	Mean Number of Days Diversion is Projected to be Operational by Decade	4-10
Table 4.1-4	Sea-level Rise Rates used in Delft3D Basinwide Model	4-12
Table 4.2-1	Approximate Area and Volumes of Excavation / Dredging during Construction	4-16
Table 4.2-2	General Description of Project Alternatives	4-19
Table 4.2-3	Model-projected Total Land Area ^a under the No Action Alternative	4-21

Table 4.2-4	Delft3D Basinwide Model-projected Cumulative Net Changes in Retained Sediment Volume and Land Area ^a under Action Alternatives Relative to No Action Alternative (NAA)	4-23
Table 4.2-5	Model-projected Total Land Area Under No Action Alternative (NAA) and Net Changes in Land Area (ac) Relative to NAA under All Alternatives	4-37
Table 4.2-6	Summary of Potential Impacts on Geology and Soils from Each Alternative.....	4-51
Table 4.3-1	Summary of Potential Impacts on Groundwater from Each Alternative.....	4-59
Table 4.4-1	Stations for Comparison of Project Impacts	4-63
Table 4.4-2	Progression of Changes in Bed Elevation for No Action Alternative at Six Locations in the Barataria Basin for the Modeled Period 2020 to 2070.....	4-68
Table 4.4-3	Changes in Bed Elevation for Project Alternatives at Six Locations in the Barataria Basin and Birdfoot Delta for the Modeled Period 2020 to 2070 Relative to No Action Alternative	4-71
Table 4.4-4	Maximum Monthly Average Water Level Differences for Project Alternatives at Seven Locations in the Barataria Basin for the Modeled Period 2020 to 2070 relative to No Action Alternative ^a (Representative Hydrograph)	4-82
Table 4.4-5	Tidal Changes for No Action 2040 and 2070 Conditions Compared to No Action 2020 Conditions May 1994 Hydrograph – High, Consistent Spring Flow (Diversion Operating above Base Flow)	4-96
Table 4.4-6	Tidal Impacts for Alternatives Compared to the No Action Alternative Modeled Years 2020, 2040, and 2070, May 1994 Hydrograph (High, Consistent Spring Flow; Diversion Operating Above Base Flow)	4-102
Table 4.4-7	Summary of Potential Impacts on Surface Water and Coastal Processes from Each Alternative	4-123
Table 4.5-1	Stations for Comparison of Project Impacts	4-129
Table 4.5-2	Minimum and Maximum Average Monthly Salinities, All Project Alternatives (2020-2070) (ppt).....	4-139
Table 4.5-3	DO Ranges at Six Barataria Basin Stations: Comparison between No Action Alternative and Applicant’s Preferred Alternative (2020 to 2070)	4-184
Table 4.5-4	Summary of Potential Impacts on Water and Sediment Quality from Each Alternative	4-204
Table 4.5-5	Projected Davis Pond Freshwater Diversion Opening	4-209
Table 4.5-6	Davis Pond Freshwater Diversion Number of Open Days 2015 to 2019 ^a	4-209
Table 4.6-1	Wetlands and Waters of the U.S. within the Project Construction Footprint ^a	4-213
Table 4.6-2	Model-projected Total Wetland Area, by Basin, under the No Action Alternative ^a	4-220
Table 4.6-3	Results of Vegetation Modeling and Projected Acreage of Wetland Acreage, by Decade and Wetland Type, for the Project Alternatives ^a	4-235

Table 4.6-4	Percentage of Wetland Gains and Losses when Compared with the No Action Alternative Acres (Percent) ^a	4-238
Table 4.6-5	Results of the Wetland Value Assessment in Net AAHUs for the Project Alternatives as Compared with the No Action Alternative, by Decade	4-249
Table 4.6-6	Results of the Wetland Value Assessment for Fresh/Intermediate Habitats in Net AAHUs for the Project Alternatives as Compared with the No Action Alternative, by Decade	4-250
Table 4.6-7	Results of the Wetland Value Assessment for Brackish/Saline Habitats in Net AAHUs for the Project Alternatives as Compared with the No Action Alternative, by Decade	4-250
Table 4.6-8	Summary of Potential Impacts on Wetlands from Each Alternative ...	4-251
Table 4.7-1	Summary of Potential Emissions from Concrete Manufacturing Plant (Tons per Day)	4-257
Table 4.7-2	Summary of Potential Emissions from Back-up Generator (Tons per Year).....	4-259
Table 4.7-3	Summary of Potential Impacts on Air Quality from Each Alternative.....	4-261
Table 4.8-1	A-weighted (dBA) ^a Sound Levels of Typical Construction Equipment and Modeled Attenuation at Various Distances ^b	4-266
Table 4.8-2	Noise Levels Associated with Outdoor Construction and Estimated Impacts on NSAs	4-267
Table 4.8-3	Estimated Sound Levels from Underwater Noise Sources.....	4-269
Table 4.8-4	Summary of Potential Impacts on Resources Exposed to Noise from Each Alternative	4-272
Table 4.9-1	Summary of HSI Results for Modeled Species Under the No Action Alternative.....	4-285
Table 4.9-2	HSI Scores for No Action Alternatives for Select Species and Polygons and Comparison of Operational Alternatives to the No Action Alternative.....	4-290
Table 4.9-3	Summary of Potential Impacts on Terrestrial Wildlife and Habitat from Each Alternative	4-296
Table 4.10-1	Acoustic Thresholds for Fish ^a	4-309
Table 4.10-2	Estimated Zone of Influence from Underwater Sounds for Fish	4-310
Table 4.10-3	Change in Winter Salinity Regime Over Time	4-318
Table 4.10-4	Dominant Taxa and Trends Before, During, and After the 1997 Opening of the Bonnet Carré Spillway	4-323
Table 4.10-5	Projected Salinity Ranges by Decade at Representative Stations with Applicant's Preferred Alternative	4-345
Table 4.10-6	Summary of Key Species Impacts.....	4-375
Table 4.10-7	HSI Scores for No Action Alternatives for Select Species and Polygons and Comparison of Operational Alternatives to the No Action Alternative.....	4-414
Table 4.10-8	Summary of Potential Impacts on Aquatic Resources from Each Alternative.....	4-418
Table 4.11-1	Acoustic Thresholds for Mid-frequency Cetaceans (including dolphins).....	4-433

Table 4.11-2	Estimated Zone of Influence from Underwater Sounds for Mid-frequency Cetaceans (including dolphins).....	4-434
Table 4.11-3	Stations Used for Comparison of Proposed Project Impacts on BBES Dolphins	4-439
Table 4.11-4	Projected Durations of the Median Longest Streak of Low-Salinity Exposure (less than 5 ppt) in a Given Year for a Simulated BBES Dolphin Population under the No Action Alternative and the Applicant's Preferred Alternative ^a	4-475
Table 4.11-5	Projected Mean Annual Survival Rates Due to Low-salinity Exposure for a Simulated BBES Dolphin Population under the No Action Alternative and the Applicant's Preferred Alternative ^a	4-475
Table 4.11-6	Differences between the Projected Duration of Low-Salinity Exposure (less than 5 ppt) for a Simulated BBES Dolphin Population under the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Each Action Alternative ^a	4-481
Table 4.11-7	Differences between the Projected Mean Survival Rate due to Low-salinity Exposure of a Simulated BBES Dolphin Population under the No Action Alternative (NAA) and Each Action Alternative ^a	4-482
Table 4.11-8	Summary of Potential Impacts on Marine Mammals from Each Alternative.....	4-486
Table 4.12-1	Endangered Species Act Determinations, as identified in the Project Biological Assessment	4-491
Table 4.12-2	Acoustic Thresholds for Sea Turtles.....	4-499
Table 4.12-5	Summary of Potential Impacts on Threatened and Endangered Species from Each Alternative	4-523
Table 4.13-1	Economic Benefits of Engineering and Design – Applicant's Preferred Alternative (2018\$)	4-533
Table 4.13-2	Economic Benefits from Construction – Applicant's Preferred Alternative (2018\$)	4-534
Table 4.13-3	Economic Benefits from Construction – 50,000 cfs Alternative (2018\$)	4-540
Table 4.13-4	Economic Benefits from Construction – 150,000 cfs Alternative (2018\$)	4-541
Table 4.13-5	Assessed Values of Residential Properties Located near the Proposed Project Site outside Flood Protection	4-554
Table 4.13-6	Summary of Potential Impacts on Socioeconomics from Each Alternative.....	4-566
Table 4.14-1	Expected Trends in Project Area Fish Abundance Under the No Action Alternative.....	4-574
Table 4.14-2	Expected Trends in the Project Area Fisheries Under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative	4-590
Table 4.14-3	Summary of Potential Impacts on Commercial Fisheries from Each Alternative	4-604
Table 4.15-1	Summary of Communities where the Applicant's Preferred Alternative Could Impact Low-income and Minority Populations.....	4-618
Table 4.15-2	Projected Maximum Surge Elevation Differences at the NOV-NFL Levee between the No Action Alternative and Applicant's	

	Preferred Alternative for 1 Percent AEP (100-Year) Storms in 2040 and 2070 ^a	4-624
Table 4.15-3	Summary of Potential Impacts on Environmental Justice from Each Alternative	4-629
Table 4.16-1	Modeled Percent of Days of Restricted Access Due to Tidal Flooding.....	4-635
Table 4.16-2	Percentage of Angler Trips in the Barataria Basin Reporting Fish Species as Caught or Targeted, 2014 to 2018.....	4-637
Table 4.16-3	Comparison of Delft3D Basinwide-Modeled Wetland Acreages between the No Action Alternative and the Action Alternatives ^a in Privately Managed Recreation Areas	4-639
Table 4.16-4	Expected Trends in the Barataria Basin Fish Abundance Under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative Compared to the No Action Alternative.....	4-643
Table 4.16-5	Summary of Potential Impacts on Recreation and Tourism from Each Alternative	4-650
Table 4.17-1	Comparison of Delft3D Basinwide-Modeled Wetland Acreages between the No Action Alternative (NAA) and the Action Alternatives in Public Lands ^{a,b}	4-656
Table 4.17-2	Summary of Potential Impacts on Public Lands from Each Alternative.....	4-661
Table 4.18-1	Land Use Types Impacted by Project Construction Footprint ^a	4-664
Table 4.18-2	Summary of Potential Impacts on Land Use and Land Cover from Each Alternative	4-671
Table 4.19-1	Summary of Potential Impacts on Aesthetic and Visual Resources from Each Alternative	4-679
Table 4.20-1	Projected Days of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation under the No Action Alternative (2011 hydrograph [high, late spring flood flow]).....	4-691
Table 4.20-2	Number of Annual Days of Tidal Flooding Under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative Relative to the No Action Alternative ^a	4-693
Table 4.20-3	Projected Minimum and Maximum Storm Surge Elevation Increases for Year 2040 and 2070 Relative to Year 2020 for All Simulated 1 Percent AEP Storms ^a under the No Action Alternative (feet)	4-704
Table 4.20-4	Projected Minimum and Maximum Wave Height Increases for Year 2040 and 2070 relative to Year 2020 for All Simulated 1 Percent AEP Storms ^a under the No Action Alternative(feet) ^b	4-704
Table 4.20-5	Maximum Storm Surge Elevation and Projected Minimum and Maximum Storm Surge Elevation Increases from 2020 Elevation for Representative 1 Percent AEP Storm ^a under the No Action Alternative.....	4-706
Table 4.20-6	Maximum Wave Height and Projected Minimum and Maximum Wave Height Increases from 2020 Elevation for Representative 1 Percent AEP Storm ^a under the No Action Alternative	4-706
Table 4.20-7	Projected Storm Surge Under Applicant's Preferred Alternative Relative to the No Action Alternative for 1 Percent AEP (100-Year) Storms in Years 2040 and 2070 ^a	4-711

Table 4.20-8	Summary of Differences between the Applicant’s Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative Maximum Wave Height for 1 Percent AEP Storms ^a	4-711
Table 4.20-9	Projected Maximum Surge Elevation Differences at the NOV-NFL Levee between the No Action Alternative and Applicant’s Preferred Alternative for 1 Percent AEP and 4 Percent AEP Storms in 2040 and 2070 ^a (feet).....	4-714
Table 4.20-10	Projected Maximum Wave Elevation Differences at the NOV-NFL Levee between the No Action Alternative and Applicant’s Preferred Alternative for 1 Percent AEP and 4 Percent AEP Storms in 2040 and 2070 ^a (feet).....	4-715
Table 4.20-11	Projected Maximum Surge Elevation Differences at the NOV-NFL Levee between the No Action Alternative and 50,000 cfs for 1 Percent AEP and 4 Percent AEP Storms in 2040 and 2070 ^a (feet)....	4-724
Table 4.20-12	Projected Maximum Wave Elevation Differences at the NOV-NFL Levee between the No Action Alternative and 50,000 cfs Alternatives for 1 Percent AEP and 4 Percent AEP Storms in 2040 and 2070 ^a (feet).....	4-725
Table 4.20-13	Projected Maximum Surge Elevation Differences at the NOV-NFL Levee between the No Action Alternative and 150,000 cfs for 1 Percent AEP and 4 Percent AEP Storms in 2040 and 2070 ^a (feet)....	4-727
Table 4.20-14	Projected Maximum Wave Elevation Differences at the NOV-NFL Levee between the No Action Alternative and 150,000 cfs Alternatives for 1 Percent AEP and 4 Percent AEP Storms in 2040 and 2070 ^a (feet).....	4-728
Table 4.20-15	Summary of Potential Impacts on Public Health and Safety, Including Flood and Storm Hazard Risk Reduction from Each Alternative.....	4-738
Table 4.21-1	Mississippi River Passes ^a Total Cargo Tons (000) by Major Commodity Group by Foreign and Domestic Sources, 2000 - 2016 ..	4-744
Table 4.21-2	Mississippi River Passes ^a Total Cargo Tons (000) Forecasted Using Adjusted AACGR 2000-2016 for Petroleum (0.5) and Food and Farm (0.3) Groups, 2017-2036	4-746
Table 4.21-3	GIWW ^a Total Cargo Tons by Major Commodity Group by Foreign and Domestic Sources, 2000 – 2016	4-758
Table 4.21-4	GIWW ^a Cargo Tons Forecasted Using AACGR 2000-2016 by Major Commodity Group, 2017-2036	4-759
Table 4.21-5	Barataria Bay Waterway Total Cargo Tons by Major Commodity Group, 2000 - 2016	4-760
Table 4.21-6	Barataria Bay Waterway Cargo Tons Forecasted Using AACGR 2000-2016 by Major Commodity Group, 2017-2036	4-762
Table 4.21-7	Bayou Lafourche Total Cargo Tons by Major Commodity Group, 2000 - 2016	4-763
Table 4.21-8	Bayou Lafourche Cargo Tons Forecasted Using AACGR 2000-2016 by Major Commodity Group, 2016-2036	4-765
Table 4.21-9	Summary of Potential Impacts on Commercial Navigation (including Maintenance Dredging) from Each Alternative	4-771

Table 4.22-1	Summary of Potential Impacts on Land-Based Transportation from Each Alternative	4-785
Table 4.23-1	Summary of Potential Impacts Associated with HTRW from Each Alternative.....	4-792
Table 4.24-1	Summary of Potential Impacts on Cultural Resources from Each Alternative.....	4-796
Table 4.25.1-1	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects Considered in the Cumulative Impacts Analysis.....	4-806
Table 4.25.2-1	Reasonably Foreseeable Projects with Cumulative Impacts on Geology and Soils concurrent with MBSD Project Construction and Operations	4-830
Table 4.25.2-2	Cumulative Net Changes in Retained Sediment Volume and Land Area ^a : Foreseeable Projects with and without MBSD Project (150K+ Terraces Alternative).....	4-833
Table 4.25.4-1	Reasonably Foreseeable Projects with Cumulative Impacts on Hydrology and Hydrodynamics during MBSD Project Operations	4-839
Table 4.25.4-2	Tidal Values for Reasonably Foreseeable Projects Relative to No Action Alternative 2020, 2040, and 2070 Conditions (feet [meters]) May 1994 Hydrograph – High, Consistent Spring Flow	4-845
Table 4.25.5-1	Reasonably Foreseeable Projects with Cumulative Impacts on Water Quality during MBSD Project Construction and Operations	4-851
Table 4.25.5-2	Stations for Comparison of Cumulative Impacts	4-855
Table 4.25.5-3	Cumulative Minimum and Maximum Average Monthly Salinities for the Reasonably Foreseeable Projects compared to MBSD Project Alternatives (2020 to 2070) (ppt).....	4-857
Table 4.25.5-4	Cumulative Minimum and Maximum Average Monthly DO for the Reasonably Foreseeable Projects with and without the Proposed MBSD Project Action Alternatives (2020 to 2070) (mg/L)	4-861
Table 4.25.6-1	Reasonably Foreseeable Projects with Potential Cumulative Impacts on Wetlands during MBSD Project Construction and Operations	4-866
Table 4.25.6-2	Results of Vegetation Modeling and Projected Acreage of Wetland Acreage, by Decade and Wetland Type, for the Project Alternatives.....	4-872
Table 4.25.6-3	Wetland Gains and Losses when Compared with the No Action Alternative Acres (Percent).....	4-873
Table 4.25.17-1	Reasonably Foreseeable Projects with Cumulative Impacts on Public Lands during Operation of the MBSD Project.....	4-924
Table 4.25.18-1	Other Actions within the Geographic and Temporal Scope for Cumulative Impacts on Land Use and Land Cover	4-929
Table 4.25.19-1	Other Actions with the Potential to Cumulatively Impact Aesthetic and Visual Resources.....	4-931
Table 4.25.22-1	Reasonably Foreseeable Projects with Cumulative Impacts on Land-based Transportation during Construction of the Proposed MBSD Project.....	4-945
Table 4.25.24-1	Reasonably Foreseeable Projects within the Geographic and Temporal Scope for Cumulative Impacts on Cultural Resources during Operations	4-948

Table 4.27-1	Best Management Practices and Environmental Protection Measures	4-964
Table 4.27-2	Avoidance and Minimization Measures	4-969
Table 4.27-3	Summary of Wetland Impact and Benefits	4-973
Table 5.1-1	Compliance with Environmental Laws, Regulations, and Executive Orders.....	5-1
Table 6-1	List of Preparers	6-1
Table 7-1	Primary Topics Identified in Scoping Comments	7-3
Table 7-2.	Summary of Public Engagement and Number of People Reached to Discuss Sediment Diversions in the Barataria Basin, including the proposed MBSD Project, from 2016 to 2020 ^a	7-5

List of Figures

Figure ES-1.	Project Area (Barataria Basin and Western Portion of the Lower Mississippi River Delta Basin).	ES-3
Figure 1.1-1.	Project Area (Barataria Basin and Western Portion of the Lower Mississippi River Delta Basin).	1-3
Figure 1.1-2.	Project Site Map.	1-4
Figure 1.3-1.	Proposed MBSD Structure Intake System and Conveyance Channel.	1-8
Figure 1.5-1.	Major Waterbodies in the Project Area.....	1-11
Figure 2.3-1.	Marsh Creation Cells.	2-18
Figure 2.4-1.	Locations Considered Through the Iterative Planning Process:.....	2-29
Figure 2.4-2.	Comparison in Diversion Flow Regimes with On/Off Triggers of 300,000 cfs, 450,000 cfs, and 600,000 cfs.....	2-34
Figure 2.4-3.	Monthly Number of Days (Average Value over 50-year Data) when the Mississippi River Discharge is Above a Specific Threshold.....	2-35
Figure 2.4-4.	Differences in Discharge between Three Diversion Capacities Included in the Reasonable Range of Alternatives in this EIS.	2-42
Figure 2.8-1.	Project Design Features and Construction Footprint.....	2-52
Figure 2.8-2.	Proposed Project Design Features as Viewed from the Mississippi River.	2-55
Figure 2.8-3.	Upstream View of the Proposed Conveyance Channel, Guide Levees, Stability Berms, and Siphon.....	2-56
Figure 2.8-4.	Proposed Project Outfall Transition Feature in the Barataria Basin.	2-58
Figure 2.8-5.	Proposed Temporary Cofferdam and Trestle as Viewed from the Mississippi River.....	2-63
Figure 2.8-6.	Illustration of Variable Flow for 75,000 (75k) cfs Diversions (bottom plot) Driven by 2011 Mississippi River Discharge (top plot) with a 450,000 cfs Operational Trigger in the Mississippi River.	2-65
Figure 2.8-7.	Illustration of Base Flow (5,000 cfs) and Variable Capacity Flow for 75,000 (75k) cfs, 50,000 (50k) cfs, and 150,000 (150k) cfs	

	Diversions in Relation to the Mississippi River Discharge with a 450,000 cfs Operational Trigger in the Mississippi River.	2-66
Figure 3.1-1.	Map of Project Area Boundaries and Watersheds within the Project Area.	3-2
Figure 3.1-2.	Major Waterbodies in the Project Area, with Key Towns and Landmarks.	3-5
Figure 3.2-1.	Land Area Change in Project Area (1932 to 2016).	3-10
Figure 3.2-2.	Map of Oil/Gas Fields, Wells, and Pipelines within the Vicinity of the Location Proposed for the Project Diversion Structure.	3-14
Figure 3.2-3.	Map of Primary Soil Units in Project Area.	3-16
Figure 3.2-4.	Prime Farmland in the Project Area.	3-17
Figure 3.4-1.	Coastal Barrier Resources System Units in the Project Area.	3-23
Figure 3.4-2.	Barataria Basin Model Grid Bed Elevations from the Delft Model Version 3. Figure is adapted from Sadid et al. 2018. Elevations are in referenced to NAVD88.	3-26
Figure 3.4-3.	Water Level and Wind Speed at the Grand Isle, LA Station.	3-27
Figure 3.4-4.	Observed Water Levels at NOAA Stations at Southwest Pass (8760922) and Grand Isle (8761724).	3-29
Figure 3.4-5.	Mississippi River Flow at the Tarbert Landing Gage.	3-31
Figure 3.4-6.	Tarbert Landing Annual Total (Blue), Fine (Red) and Coarse (Green) Sediment Concentrations from 1959 to 2005.	3-33
Figure 3.4-7.	Seasonal Flow Duration Curves at Tarbert Landing from 1963 to 2005 Showing the Range in Mississippi River Discharge by Season.	3-34
Figure 3.4-8.	Boxplots Showing Monthly Variation in Concentrations of Coarse-grained Sediments at Tarbert Landing from 1963 to 2005.	3-34
Figure 3.5-1.	Mississippi River Water Quality Subsegments in the Project Area.	3-36
Figure 3.5-2.	USGS, LDEQ, and CRMS Ambient Water Quality Stations Used for this Section.	3-39
Figure 3.5-3.	Monthly Specific Conductance Average (2006 to 2018) at Select Barataria Basin CRMS Sites.	3-42
Figure 3.5-4.	Correlation between Monthly Average Specific Conductance and Salinity (2006 to 2018) at Select CRMS Sites.	3-43
Figure 3.5-5.	Generalized Seasonal Salinity Averages (2006 to 2018) at Select Barataria Basin CRMS Sites.	3-44
Figure 3.5-6.	Frequency of Mid-summer Hypoxia (oxygen \leq 2 mg/l) over the 70 to 90 Station Grid on the Louisiana and Texas Shelf during the Summer from 1985 to 2014.	3-49
Figure 3.5-7.	Monthly DO Average Concentrations in the Mississippi River at Belle Chasse (1977 to 2017) and at Select Barataria Basin Sites (2006 to 2018).	3-50
Figure 3.5-8.	Average Atrazine Concentrations in the Mississippi River at Belle Chasse (2007 to 2017).	3-54
Figure 3.5-9.	Sediment Quality Index Ratings for Barataria-Terrebonne Estuarine Complex.	3-60
Figure 3.6-1.	Wetland Types in the Project Area.	3-63
Figure 3.6-2.	Marsh Type Change in the Project Area, 1968 through 2013.	3-71

Figure 3.10-1.	Observed Shoreline Oiling in and around the Project Area.....	3-98
Figure 3.10-2.	Possible Adverse Impacts of Nutrient Loading.....	3-113
Figure 3.10-3.	Simplified Conceptual Diagram of the Food Web for the Barataria Basin.....	3-116
Figure 3.10-4.	Mean and Median CPUE of Small Juvenile Brown Shrimp (top), and Large Juveniles and Subadults (bottom) in the LDWF Samples for the Barataria Basin.....	3-119
Figure 3.10-5.	Mean and Median CPUE of Small Juvenile White Shrimp (top), and Large Juveniles and Subadults (bottom) in LDWF Samples from the Barataria Basin.....	3-121
Figure 3.10-6.	Estimated Adult Abundance from the LDWF Stock Assessment Model and Observed Landings of Louisiana Blue Crab.....	3-123
Figure 3.10-7.	Mean and Median CPUE of Small Juvenile Blue Crab in the LDWF 50-foot Seine Samples (top), and CPUE of Large Juveniles and Subadults in the LDWF 16-foot Trawl Samples (bottom) for the Barataria Basin.....	3-124
Figure 3.10-8.	Mean and Median CPUE of Juvenile Bay Anchovy in the LDWF 50-foot Seine Samples (top), and of Juvenile and Adult Bay Anchovy in the 16-foot Trawls (bottom) for the Barataria Basin.....	3-125
Figure 3.10-9.	Mean and Median CPUE of Juvenile Gulf Menhaden (top), and Adult Menhaden (bottom) for the Barataria Basin.....	3-127
Figure 3.10-10.	Mean and Median CPUE of Adult Red Drum in the LDWF Gillnet Samples (top) and in the Trammel Nets (bottom) for the Barataria Basin.....	3-129
Figure 3.10-11.	Mean and Median CPUE of Adult Spotted Seatrout in the LDWF Gillnet Samples for the Barataria Basin.....	3-131
Figure 3.10-12.	Seasonal Size Frequency Distributions for Atlantic Croaker in the Barataria Basin.....	3-132
Figure 3.10-13.	Monthly Mean CPUE of Large Juvenile and Adult Largemouth Bass in the LDWF Electrofishing Samples (1990 through early 2014).....	3-135
Figure 3.10-14.	Map of Public Oyster Areas for Louisiana.....	3-136
Figure 3.11-1.	Geographic Extent of the Northern Gulf of Mexico Stocks Considered in the Proposed Project EIS.....	3-142
Figure 3.11-2.	Distribution of Common Bottlenose Dolphins during Photo-identification Surveys in the Barataria Basin in March and April 2019.....	3-145
Figure 3.11-3.	Range of Western Coastal and Northern Coastal Stocks.....	3-147
Figure 3.11-4.	Sightings of Atlantic Spotted Dolphins in the Gulf of Mexico.....	3-148
Figure 3.11-5.	Capture Locations for Barataria Bay Satellite-linked Tagging Efforts.....	3-151
Figure 3.12-1.	Critical Habitat for Federally Listed Species in the Project Area.....	3-166
Figure 3.13-1.	Map of Census Block Group Boundaries within or Intersecting the Project Area.....	3-172
Figure 3.13-2.	Population Density within the Project Area.....	3-176
Figure 3.13-3.	Total Assessed Value of Homes in Plaquemines and Jefferson Parishes over Time in 2019 dollars.....	3-178

Figure 3.13-4.	Location of Public Service Facilities and Flood Protection Surrounding the Project Footprint.....	3-181
Figure 3.14-1.	Trip Ticket Sub-basins and Public Oyster Seed Grounds in and near the Project Area.....	3-185
Figure 3.14-2.	Total Number of Licensed Fishers Active in the Barataria Basin, 2000 to 2018.....	3-186
Figure 3.14-3.	Total Number of Licensed Fishers Active in the Mississippi River Basin, 2000 to 2018.....	3-187
Figure 3.14-4.	Total Landings (millions of pounds) by Species Group from the Project Area (landings by area fished).	3-188
Figure 3.14-5.	Total Value of Landings by Species Group from the Project Area (landings by area fished).	3-189
Figure 3.14-6.	Average Louisiana Shrimp Landings by Gear Type and Vessel Length, 2000 to 2013.....	3-191
Figure 3.14-7.	Total Shrimp Landings from the Project Area (landings by area fished).....	3-194
Figure 3.14-8.	Total Oyster Landings from the Project Area (landings by area fished).....	3-197
Figure 3.14-9.	Total Crab Landings from the Project Area (landings by area fished).....	3-199
Figure 3.14-10.	Total Saltwater Finfish Landings from Project Area (landings by area fished).....	3-202
Figure 3.15-1.	Selected Communities for Environmental Justice Impact Analysis, with Data on Minority Populations by Census Block Groups within or intersecting the Project Area.	3-219
Figure 3.15-2.	Selected Communities for Environmental Justice Impact Analysis, with Data on Low-income Populations by Census Block Groups within or intersecting the Project Area.	3-220
Figure 3.15-3.	Proportion of Minority Populations by U.S. Census Block near the Proposed Project.	3-221
Figure 3.16-1.	Map of Recreation Resources in the Project Area.	3-222
Figure 3.16-2.	Annual Saltwater and Basic Fishing License Sales for Residents and Non-residents in the Project Area, Average 2010 to 2017.	3-227
Figure 3.16-3.	Percent of License Sales in the Project Area Parishes as Percent of All Sales in State.	3-228
Figure 3.16-4.	Average Annual MRIP-estimated Recreational Fishing Trips in Project Area Parishes, 2010 to 2016.....	3-229
Figure 3.16-5.	Average Annual Number of License Sales by Parish, 2010 to 2017.....	3-235
Figure 3.16-6.	Average Annual Charter Fishing License Sales by Parish, 2010 to 2017.....	3-239
Figure 3.18-1.	Land Cover and Land Use Types in the Project Area, 2016.	3-244
Figure 3.18-2.	Zoning Districts in the Project Area.	3-245
Figure 3.20-1.	Map of the Project area Depicting Integrated FEMA DFIRM and Digital Q3 Flood Data.	3-250

Figure 3.20-2.	Communities and Subdivisions within the Barataria Basin and Outside Federal Levee Systems that could be Subject to Increased Water Levels during Operation of the Proposed Project. ...	3-251
Figure 3.20-3.	The Communities and Subdivisions Subject to Increased Water Levels during Operation of the Proposed Project are Largely Designated as Coastal High Hazard Areas.	3-251
Figure 3.20-4.	Major Risk Reduction Systems within the Project Area.	3-255
Figure 3.21-1.	Reach of Active Dredging in the Lower Mississippi River from Venice to the Gulf of Mexico.....	3-259
Figure 3.22-1.	Major Roads and Railroads in the Project Area.	3-268
Figure 4.1-1.	Mississippi River Hydrograph for Five Historical Representative Flow Regime Years for Each Decade used in the Delft3D Basinwide Model.	4-7
Figure 4.1-2.	Mississippi River Hydrographs for Four Additional Flow Regime Years for Each Decade used in the Delft3D Basinwide Model.....	4-9
Figure 4.1-3.	Sea-level Rise Curve Comparison: Delft3D Basinwide Model, with USACE, and NOAA.....	4-11
Figure 4.2-1.	Approximate Extent of Delft3D Basinwide Model Domain for the Barataria Basin and Mississippi River Birdfoot Delta.	4-21
Figure 4.2-2.	Model-projected Land Loss and Gain in the Project Area Relative to the No Action Alternative in 2030 and 2040 under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative.	4-25
Figure 4.2-3.	Model-projected Land Loss and Gain in the Project Area Relative to the No Action Alternative in 2050 and 2060 under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative.	4-26
Figure 4.2-4.	Model-projected Land Loss and Gain in the Project Area Relative to the No Action Alternative in 2070 under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative.....	4-27
Figure 4.2-5.	Model-projected Land Loss and Gain in the Immediate Vicinity (within 0.5-mile) of the Outfall Area Relative to the No Action Alternative in 2030, 2040, 2050, 2060, and 2070 under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative.	4-28
Figure 4.2-6.	Model-projected Land Area in the Project Area in the Barataria Basin under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative, and Fraction of the Remaining Area due to the Proposed Project.	4-29
Figure 4.2-7.	Model-projected Change in Land Area in the Project Area in the Barataria Basin (left) and Mississippi River Birdfoot Delta (right) under the No Action Alternative and the Applicant's Preferred Alternative.....	4-29
Figure 4.2-8.	Google Earth Imagery Depicting Progression of Landform Development in the Wax Lake Outlet Delta between 1972 (left) and 2017 (right).	4-33
Figure 4.2-9.	Google Earth Imagery Depicting Progression of Landform Development in the Vicinity of Caernarvon Diversion, in the Big Mar Receiving Basin between 1998 (left) and 2017 (right).	4-33
Figure 4.2-10.	Google Earth Imagery Depicting Progression of Landform Development in the Vicinity of the West Bay Diversion between 2004 (left) and 2017 (right).	4-34

Figure 4.2-11.	Potential Locations of Unidentified Faults in the Barataria Basin.	4-35
Figure 4.2-12.	Model-projected Land Loss and Gain in the Project Area Relative to the No Action Alternative in 2070 under the 50,000 cfs Option.	4-38
Figure 4.2-13.	Model-projected Land Loss and Gain in the Project Area Relative to the No Action Alternative in 2070 under the 150,000 cfs Option.	4-40
Figure 4.2-14.	Model-projected Land Loss and Gain in the Immediate Vicinity (within 0.5-mile) of the Outfall Area Relative to the No Action Alternative in 2070 under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative and Other Action Alternatives.	4-41
Figure 4.2-15.	Model-projected Difference in Bed Elevation With vs. Without-Terrace Field in the Project Area in 2060 under the 150,000 cfs Maximum Flow Volume Alternatives.	4-42
Figure 4.4-1.	Station Locations in the Barataria Basin and Birdfoot Delta	4-63
Figure 4.4-2.	No Action Alternative Bed Elevation Change from 2020 to 2070.	4-67
Figure 4.4-3.	Projected Bed Elevations Changes in 2040 and 2070 for the Applicant's Preferred Alternative Compared to the No Action Alternative.	4-70
Figure 4.4-4.	Model-projected Changes to Bed Elevation in Meters in the Project Area Relative to the No Action Alternative in 2030, 2040, 2050, 2060, and 2070 under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative.	4-72
Figure 4.4-5.	Model-projected Changes to Bed Elevation in Meters in the Birdfoot Delta Relative to the No Action Alternative in 2030, 2040, 2050, 2060, and 2070 under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative.	4-74
Figure 4.4-6.	Model-projected Bed Elevation Changes in 2070 for the 50,000 cfs Alternative Compared to the No Action Alternative.	4-75
Figure 4.4-7.	Model-projected Bed Elevation Changes in 2070 for the 150,000 cfs Alternative Compared to the No Action Alternative.	4-76
Figure 4.4-8.	Model-projected Changes in Bed Elevation in Meters in the Project Area Relative to the No Action Alternative in 2070 under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative and Other Action Alternatives.	4-77
Figure 4.4-9.	Marsh Terrace Features Proposed for the Three Terrace Alternatives (shown in yellow).	4-78
Figure 4.4-10.	Water Level Trends for Selected Stations for the No Action Alternative.	4-79
Figure 4.4-11.	Map of Water Level Increase for the Applicant's Preferred Alternative for the 3 rd Week of May under 2040 Conditions (Top Panel) and 2070 Conditions (Bottom Panel) using historical representative hydrograph (1985 for 2040, and 2008 for 2070).	4-81
Figure 4.4-12.	Model-projected Water Level Impacts in the Immediate Outfall Area Relative to the No Action Alternative for the 3 rd Week of May in 2030, 2040, 2050, 2060, and 2070 under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative.	4-84
Figure 4.4-13.	Model-projected Water Surface and Bed Elevations for the No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative at the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276).	4-85
Figure 4.4-14.	Water Levels for All Alternatives at the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985).	4-86

Figure 4.4-15.	Water Levels for All Alternatives near Lafitte (USACE 82875).....	4-86
Figure 4.4-16.	Water Levels for All Alternatives at the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276).....	4-87
Figure 4.4-17.	Water Levels for All Alternatives at the Central Station (CRMS 0224).	4-87
Figure 4.4-18.	Water Levels for All Alternatives at the Western Station (Little L. Cutoff).....	4-88
Figure 4.4-19.	Water Levels at the Southwestern Station near Grand Isle (B. Pass at GI).....	4-88
Figure 4.4-20.	Water Levels for All Alternatives at the Birdfoot Delta Station (CRMS 0163).....	4-89
Figure 4.4-21.	Water Level Differences for the 3 rd Week of May, 2008 Historical Representative Hydrograph, 2070 Conditions, for All Action Alternatives compared to the No Action Alternative.	4-91
Figure 4.4-22.	Water Level Differences for the 3 rd Week of May, Historical Representative Hydrograph, 2040 (1985 hydrograph) (Top Panel) and 2070 (2008 hydrograph) (Bottom Panel) Conditions, for 50,000 cfs Alternative Compared to the No Action Alternative.....	4-92
Figure 4.4-23.	Water Level Differences for the 3 rd Week of May, Historical Representative Hydrograph, 2040 (1985 hydrograph) (Top Panel) and 2070 (2008 hydrograph) (Bottom Panel) Conditions, for 150,000 cfs Alternative Compared to the No Action Alternative.....	4-93
Figure 4.4-24.	No Action Alternative Water Level and Tide Signals at the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985) for 2020 and 2070 Modeled Years.	4-95
Figure 4.4-25.	No Action Alternative Water Level and Tide Signals at the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276) for 2020 and 2070 Modeled Years.	4-95
Figure 4.4-26.	No Action Alternative Water Level and Tide Signals at the Southwestern Station near Grand Isle (B. Pass at GI) for 2020 and 2070 Modeled Years.	4-96
Figure 4.4-27.	Water Level and Tide Signals at the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985).....	4-98
Figure 4.4-28.	Water Level and Tide Signals at the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276).....	4-99
Figure 4.4-29.	Water Level and Tide Signals at the Southwestern Station near Grand Isle (B. Pass at GI).	4-101
Figure 4.4-30.	Locations for Modeled Velocity Output.....	4-105
Figure 4.4-31.	2020 (1970) Hydrograph, Velocity Output for Applicant's Preferred Alternative (V3PR4) and No Action Alternative (V3PR1) at Lafitte Oil and Gas Field (Near the Diversion).....	4-106
Figure 4.4-32.	2020 (1970) Hydrograph, May, Velocity Output for Applicant's Preferred Alternative (V3PR4) and No Action Alternative (V3PR1) at Lafitte Oil and Gas Field (Near the Diversion).	4-107
Figure 4.4-33.	2020 (1970) Hydrograph, October, Velocity Output for Applicant's Preferred Alternative (V3PR4) and No Action Alternative (V3PR1) at Lafitte Oil and Gas Field (Near the Diversion).	4-108

Figure 4.4-34.	2070 (2008) Hydrograph, Velocity Output for Applicant’s Preferred Alternative (V3PR4) and No Action Alternative (V3PR1) at Lafitte Oil and Gas Field (Near the Diversion).....	4-110
Figure 4.4-35.	2020 (1970) Hydrograph, Velocity Output for Applicant’s Preferred Alternative (V3PR4) and No Action Alternative (V3PR1) at Grand Bayou.	4-111
Figure 4.4-36.	2070 (2008) Hydrograph, Velocity Output for Applicant’s Preferred Alternative (V3PR4) and No Action Alternative (V3PR1) at Grand Bayou.	4-112
Figure 4.4-37.	Zone of Influence (changes in cross-stream velocity) on Mississippi River Flow from Diversion Operations at the Surface (top panel) and near Bed (bottom panel).....	4-113
Figure 4.4-38.	Model-projected Sand and Fine Sediment Transport Mass for the Three Diversion Sizes (from Water Institute 2019).....	4-117
Figure 4.4-39.	Shear Stress Plots at High-Flow (left) and at 5,000 cfs Base Flow (right).	4-118
Figure 4.4-40.	Local Watershed Division from the Construction of the Diversion Structure.	4-121
Figure 4.5-1.	Six Station Locations in the Barataria Basin and Birdfoot Delta.....	4-129
Figure 4.5-2.	Modeled Monthly Average Salinity for the No Action Alternative at the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276), Central Station (CRMS 0224), Southwestern Station at Barataria Pass near Grand Isle (B. Pass at GI), Western Station (Little L. Cutoff), Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985), and Birdfoot Delta Station (CRMS 0163) under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-134
Figure 4.5-3.	Maps of No Action Alternative (Left Panels) and Applicant’s Preferred Alternative (Right Panels) Salinity Averages (ppt) Modeled under the Historical Representative Hydrograph in Winter (January), Decades 2020 to 2029, 2040 to 2049, and 2060 to 2069.....	4-136
Figure 4.5-4.	Maps of No Action Alternative (Left Panels) and Applicant’s Preferred Alternative (Right Panels) Salinity Averages (ppt) Modeled under the Historical Representative Hydrograph in Spring (April), Decades 2020 to 2029, 2040 to 2049, and 2060 to 2069.....	4-137
Figure 4.5-5.	Average Modeled Salinity at Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985) and the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-141
Figure 4.5-6.	Average Modeled Salinity at Central Station (CRMS 0224) and Western Station (Little L. Cutoff) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-142
Figure 4.5-7.	Average Modeled Salinity at Southwestern Station, at Barataria Pass near Grande Isle (B. Pass at GI) and Birdfoot Delta Station (CRMS 0163) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-143
Figure 4.5-8.	Comparison of Hydrographs: Average Modeled Monthly Average Salinity at the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985) and the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276) for Applicant’s	

	Preferred Alternative under Representative Historical, 1994, 2006, 2010, and 2011 Mississippi River Hydrographs.	4-146
Figure 4.5-9.	Comparison of Hydrographs: Average Modeled Monthly Average Salinity at the Central Station (CRMS 0224) and the Western Station (Little L. Cutoff) for Applicant's Preferred Alternative under Representative Historical, 1994, 2006, 2010, and 2011 Mississippi River Hydrographs.....	4-147
Figure 4.5-10.	Comparison of Hydrographs: Average Modeled Monthly Average Salinity at the Southwestern Station, at Barataria Pass near Grande Isle (B. Pass at GI) and the Birdfoot Delta Station (CRMS 0163) for Applicant's Preferred Alternative under Representative Historical, 1994, 2006, 2010, and 2011 Mississippi River Hydrographs.	4-148
Figure 4.5-11.	Average Modeled Temperature for at Two Stations in Northern Barataria Basin: the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985) and the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-153
Figure 4.5-12.	Average Modeled Temperature at Two Stations in Central and Western Barataria Basin: the Central Station (CRMS 0224) and the Western Station (Little L. Cutoff) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.	4-154
Figure 4.5-13.	Average Modeled Temperature at the Southwestern Station, at Barataria Pass near Grande Isle (B. Pass at GI) and the Birdfoot Delta Station (CRMS 0163) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-155
Figure 4.5-14.	Average Modeled TN at the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985) and the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-159
Figure 4.5-15.	Average Modeled TN at the Central Station (CRMS 0224) and the Western Station (Little L. Cutoff) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.	4-160
Figure 4.5-16.	Average Modeled TN at the Southwestern Station, at Barataria Pass near Grande Isle (B. Pass at GI) and the Birdfoot Delta Station (CRMS 0163) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-161
Figure 4.5-17.	Average Modeled NO ₃ fraction of TN at the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985) and the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276) for No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-162
Figure 4.5-18.	Average Modeled NO ₃ fraction of TN at Two Stations in Central and Western Barataria Basin: the Central Station (CRMS 0224) and the Western Station (Little L. Cutoff) for No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-163
Figure 4.5-19.	Average Modeled NO ₃ fraction of TN at the Southwestern Station, at Barataria Pass near Grande Isle (B. Pass at GI) and the Birdfoot Delta Station (CRMS 0163) for No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-164

Figure 4.5-20.	Average Modeled TP at Two Stations in Northern Barataria Basin: the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985) and the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-169
Figure 4.5-21.	Average Modeled TP at Two Stations in Central and Western Barataria Basin: the Central Station (CRMS 0224) and the Western Station (Little L. Cutoff) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-170
Figure 4.5-22.	Average Modeled TP at the Southwestern Station, at Barataria Pass near Grande Isle (B. Pass at GI) and the Birdfoot Delta Station (CRMS 0163) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-171
Figure 4.5-23.	Average PO ₄ fraction of TP at Two Stations in Northern Barataria Basin: the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985) and the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276) for No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-172
Figure 4.5-24.	Average PO ₄ fraction of TP at Two Stations in Central and Western Barataria Basin: the Central Station (CRMS 0224) and the Western Station (Little L. Cutoff) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-173
Figure 4.5-25.	Average PO ₄ fraction of TP at the Southwestern Station, at Barataria Pass near Grande Isle (B. Pass at GI) and the Birdfoot Delta Station (CRMS 0163) for No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-174
Figure 4.5-26.	Average modeled dissolved oxygen at Two Stations in Northern Barataria Basin: the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985) and the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-179
Figure 4.5-27.	Average modeled dissolved oxygen at Two Stations in Central and Western Barataria Basin: the Central Station (CRMS 0224) and the Western Station (Little L. Cutoff) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-180
Figure 4.5-28.	Average modeled dissolved oxygen at the Southwestern Station, at Barataria Pass near Grande Isle (B. Pass at GI) and the Birdfoot Delta Station (CRMS 0163) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-181
Figure 4.5-29.	Average Modeled TSS at Two Stations in Northern Barataria Basin: the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985) and the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-187
Figure 4.5-30.	Average Modeled TSS at Two Stations in Central and Western Barataria Basin: the Central Station (CRMS 0224) and the Western Station (Little L. Cutoff) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-188
Figure 4.5-31.	Average Modeled TSS at the Southwestern Station, at Barataria Pass near Grande Isle (B. Pass at GI) and the Birdfoot Delta	

	Station (CRMS 0163) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-189
Figure 4.5-32.	Average Modeled Sulfate at Two Stations in Northern Barataria Basin: the Northern/Mid-Basin Station (CRMS 3985) and the Station Nearest the Diversion (CRMS 0276) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-194
Figure 4.5-33.	Average Modeled Sulfate at Two Stations in Central and Western Barataria Basin: the Central Station (CRMS 0224) and the Western Station (Little L. Cutoff) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-195
Figure 4.5-34.	Average Modeled Sulfate at the Southwestern Station, at Barataria Pass near Grande Isle (B. Pass at GI) and the Birdfoot Delta Station (CRMS 0163) for All Alternatives under the Historical Representative Hydrograph.....	4-196
Figure 4.5-35.	Map of Salinity Gauges and Isohaline Lines in the Barataria Basin used for Operation of the Davis Pond Freshwater Diversion.	4-208
Figure 4.6-1.	Wetlands within the Project Construction Footprint.	4-214
Figure 4.6-2.	Wetlands under the No Action Alternative in 2020.	4-220
Figure 4.6-3.	Wetlands under the No Action Alternative in 2030.	4-221
Figure 4.6-4.	Wetlands under the No Action Alternative in 2040.	4-221
Figure 4.6-5.	Wetlands under the No Action Alternative in 2050.	4-222
Figure 4.6-6.	Wetlands under the No Action Alternative in 2060.	4-222
Figure 4.6-7.	Wetlands under the No Action Alternative in 2070.	4-223
Figure 4.6-8.	Wetland Extent Near the Outfall Area for the Applicant's Preferred Alternative, by Decade.	4-230
Figure 4.6-9.	Wetlands under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2020.	4-232
Figure 4.6-10.	Wetlands under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2030.	4-232
Figure 4.6-11.	Wetlands under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2040.	4-233
Figure 4.6-12.	Wetlands under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2050.	4-233
Figure 4.6-13.	Wetlands under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2060.	4-234
Figure 4.6-14.	Wetlands under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2070.	4-234
Figure 4.6-15.	Wetland Extent Near the Delta Formation Area for Each Action Alternative in 2070.	4-241
Figure 4.6-16.	Wetlands under the No Action Alternative in 2070.	4-242
Figure 4.6-17.	Wetlands under the Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2070.	4-242
Figure 4.6-18.	Wetlands under the 50,000 cfs Alternative in 2070.	4-243
Figure 4.6-19.	Wetlands under the 150,000 cfs Alternative in 2070.	4-243
Figure 4.8-1.	Locations of Noise Sensitive Areas near Project Features.	4-263
Figure 4.9-1.	Barataria Basin Spatial Polygons Identified by Number and Grouped for the Upper (1-3), Mid (4-12), and Lower Basin (13-18) Regions of the Estuary with the Birdfoot Delta (19, 20).....	4-284
Figure 4.10-1.	Estimated SAV Coverage in the Project Outfall Area Based on Analysis of Remotely Sensed Aerial Data.	4-301
Figure 4.10-2.	Contour Plots for Predictors of SAV Occurrence.....	4-316
Figure 4.10-3.	Changes in Monthly Mean Winter Salinity Regimes Over Time for the No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative.....	4-317

Figure 4.10-4.	Changes in Water Flow Direction Between the No Action Alternative and the Applicant's Preferred Alternative During Diversion Operation Above Base Flow Between 2020 and 2029.....	4-336
Figure 4.10-5.	Changes in Water Flow Direction Between the No Action Alternative and the Applicant's Preferred Alternative During Diversion Operation Above Base Flow Between 2050 and 2059.....	4-337
Figure 4.10-6.	Bed Elevation Over Public Oyster Grounds from the Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2070 as Compared to the No Action Alternative.....	4-339
Figure 4.10-7.	Projected Annual Variability in Salinity at the Barataria Waterway Station from 2020 to 2029 (left) and 2070 to 2079 (right).....	4-346
Figure 4.10-8.	Projected Annual Variability in Salinity at the Grand Isle Station from 2020 to 2029 (left) and 2070 to 2079 (right).....	4-347
Figure 4.10-9.	Stations for which Inter-annual Variability in Salinity Was Assessed.....	4-348
Figure 4.10-10.	Barataria Basin Spatial Polygons Identified by Number and Grouped for the Upper (1 to 3), Mid (4 to 12), and Lower Basin (13 to 18) Regions of the Estuary with the Birdfoot Delta (19, 20).....	4-360
Figure 4.10-11.	(a) HSI Polygon Maps, (b) Tabularized Data, and (c) Scatter Plots for Brown Shrimp in Relation to Spring Temperature, Salinity, and the Annual Proportion of Marsh to Open Water within each of the 20 Polygons for the No Action Alternative.....	4-361
Figure 4.10-12.	HSI Values for the No Action Alternative for (a) Brown Shrimp, (b) White Shrimp, and (c) Blue Crab through 2070.....	4-364
Figure 4.10-13.	HSI Values for the No Action Alternative for (a) Bay Anchovy, (b) Gulf Menhaden, and (c) Spotted Seatrout through 2070.....	4-367
Figure 4.10-14.	HSI Values for the No Action Alternative for (a) Atlantic Croaker, (b) Southern Flounder, and (c) Largemouth Bass through 2070.....	4-371
Figure 4.10-15.	HSI Values for the No Action Alternative for Eastern Oyster through 2070.....	4-374
Figure 4.10-16.	Difference in HSI for the 20 Polygons Over Time Compared to the No Action Alternative for (a) Brown Shrimp, (b) White Shrimp, and (c) Blue Crab.....	4-379
Figure 4.10-17.	The Areal Proportion of Marsh to Open Water by Polygon for the No Action Alternative (top) and for the Applicant's Preferred Alternative (bottom) in Simulation Year 2020 and Year 2070.....	4-380
Figure 4.10-18.	Difference in HSI for the 20 Polygons Over Time Compared to the No Action Alternative for (a) Bay Anchovy, (b) Gulf Menhaden, and (c) Spotted Seatrout.....	4-388
Figure 4.10-19.	Difference in HSI for the 20 Polygons Over Time Compared to the No Action Alternative for (a) Atlantic Croaker, (b) Southern Flounder, (c) Largemouth Bass, and (d) Eastern Oyster.....	4-401
Figure 4.10-20.	Mean Cumulative Mortality (% +/- SD for All Tanks and Trials of Spat, Seed, and Market-sized Oysters Recorded Every Other Day).....	4-405
Figure 4.10-21.	HSI Values for the Applicant's Preferred Alternative for Eastern Oyster through 2070.....	4-411
Figure 4.11-1.	Approach to the Marine Mammal Impacts Assessment.....	4-425

Figure 4.11-2.	BBES Dolphin Stock Management Boundary Relative to Sound Producing Elements of the Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion Project.	4-435
Figure 4.11-3.	Water Quality Station Locations in the Barataria Basin Compared to Usage Patterns of Four BBES Dolphins.	4-438
Figure 4.11-4.	Average Modeled Salinity for the No Action Alternative for Five Example BBES Dolphin Usage Patterns under the Historical Representative Hydrographs for Each Decade.	4-439
Figure 4.11-5.	Average Modeled Salinity for the Applicant's Preferred Alternative for Five Example BBES Dolphin Usage Patterns under Historical Representative Hydrographs for Each Decade.	4-447
Figure 4.11-6.	The Difference in Average Modeled Salinity between the No Action Alternative and the Applicant's Preferred Alternative for Five Example BBES Dolphin Usage Patterns under the Historical Representative Hydrographs for Each Decade.	4-448
Figure 4.11-7.	Dose-response Curve Estimating How Continuous Exposure to Low-salinity Water Affects the Survival Probability of Compromised BSE Dolphins.	4-463
Figure 4.11-8.	Box-whisker Plot of Projected Mean Survival Rates for a Simulated BBES Population under the No Action Alternative Based Only on Effects from Salinity.	4-465
Figure 4.11-9.	Conceptual Model of How Low Salinity Can Cause Adverse Health Effects to Bottlenose Dolphins.	4-467
Figure 4.11-10.	Box-whisker Plot of Mean Survival Rates Due to Low-salinity Exposure for a Simulated BBES Population under the No Action Alternative (NAA) Compared to the Applicant's Preferred Alternative (APA).	4-477
Figure 4.11-11.	Box-whisker Plot of Mean Survival Rates Due to Low-salinity Exposure for a Simulated BBES Dolphin Population under Four Proposed Project Alternatives.	4-483
Figure 4.12-1.	No Action Alternative Identifying Projected Land Loss in the Project Area by 2070.	4-501
Figure 4.12-2.	Land Gain/Loss Relative to the No Action Alternative for the Year 2070.	4-514
Figure 4.13-1.	Communities Outside of Federal Flood Protection Affected by Indirect Socioeconomic Impacts Related to Tidal Flooding Impacts of the Proposed Diversion Structure.	4-526
Figure 4.13-2.	Canals and Waterways in the Barataria Basin within the Proposed Project Outfall Area.	4-527
Figure 4.13-3.	Location of Public Service Facilities and Flood Protection Surrounding the Project Footprint.	4-538
Figure 4.14-1.	Share of Commercial Landings by Species, Average Annual Value, and Pounds 2014 to 2018, for LDWF Sub-basins in the Project Area.	4-575
Figure 4.14-2.	Total Brown Shrimp Landings and Brown Shrimp Landings as a Percent of Commercial Landings in Project Area by Zip Code, (2018 Landings in Pounds).	4-579

Figure 4.14-3.	Total White Shrimp Landings and White Shrimp Landings as a Percent of Commercial Landings by Zip Code (2018 Landings in Pounds).	4-580
Figure 4.14-4.	Total Oyster Landings and Oyster Landings as a Percent of Commercial Landings by Zip Code (2018 Landings in Pounds).	4-583
Figure 4.15-1.	Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion Project Construction Footprint and Buffer Area.	4-611
Figure 4.15-2.	ADCIRC Stations and Adjacent Communities.	4-623
Figure 4.16-1.	Navigation Channels Near the Proposed Project.	4-641
Figure 4.18-1.	Land Use and Land Cover in Project Construction Footprint, 2016. ...	4-665
Figure 4.20-1.	Construction Footprint in Relation to 100-year and 500-year Floodplains.	4-684
Figure 4.20-2.	Projected Percent Days of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation under the No Action Alternative for the 2011 Hydrograph (high, late spring flood flow).	4-691
Figure 4.20-3.	Projected Percent Days of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation under Applicant's Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative (2011 Hydrograph [high, late spring flood flow]).	4-694
Figure 4.20-4.	Projected Days per Month in Year 2020 of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation under Applicant's Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative (2011 Hydrograph [high, late spring flood flow]).	4-695
Figure 4.20-5.	Projected Days per Month in Year 2040 of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation under Applicant's Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative (2011 Hydrograph [high, late spring flood flow]).	4-695
Figure 4.20-6.	Projected Days per Month in Year 2060 of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation under Applicant's Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative (2011 Hydrograph [high, late spring flood flow]).	4-696
Figure 4.20-7.	Projected Days per Month in Year 2020 of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation Under 50,000 cfs Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative (2011 Hydrograph [high, late spring flood flow]).	4-698
Figure 4.20-8.	Projected Days per Month in Year 2040 of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation Under 50,000 cfs Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative (2011 Hydrograph [high, late spring flood flow]).	4-699
Figure 4.20-9.	Projected Days per Month in Year 2060 of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation Under 50,000 cfs Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative (2011 Hydrograph [high, late spring flood flow]).	4-699
Figure 4.20-10.	Projected Days per Month in Year 2020 of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation Under 150,000 cfs Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative (2011 Hydrograph [high, late spring flood flow]).	4-701

Figure 4.20-11.	Projected Days per Month in Year 2040 of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation Under 150,000 cfs Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative (2011 Hydrograph [high, late spring flood flow]).	4-701
Figure 4.20-12.	Projected Days per Month in Year 2060 of Water Surface Elevation Exceeding Inundation Threshold Elevation Under 150,000 cfs Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative (2011 Hydrograph [high, late spring flood flow]).	4-702
Figure 4.20-13.	ADCIRC Data Stations Used for Storm Surge Elevation and Wave Height Analysis.	4-705
Figure 4.20-14.	Change in Maximum Surge Elevations during 1 Percent AEP Storm: Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2040 less No Action Alternative in 2040.	4-709
Figure 4.20-15.	Change in Maximum Wave Heights during 1 Percent AEP Storm: Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2040 less No Action Alternative in 2040.	4-710
Figure 4.20-16.	Change in Maximum Surge Elevations during 4 Percent AEP Storm: Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2040 less No Action Alternative in 2040.	4-712
Figure 4.20-17.	Change in Maximum Wave Heights during 4 Percent AEP Storm: Applicant's Preferred Alternative in 2040 less No Action Alternative in 2040.	4-713
Figure 4.20-18.	Change in Maximum Surge Elevations during 1 Percent AEP Storm: Applicant's Preferred Alternative 2070 less No Action Alternative 2070.	4-717
Figure 4.20-19.	Change in Maximum Wave Heights during 1 Percent AEP Storm: Applicant's Preferred Alternative 2070 less No Action Alternative 2070.	4-718
Figure 4.20-20.	Change in Maximum Surge Elevations during 4 Percent AEP Storm: Applicant's Preferred Alternative 2070 less No Action Alternative 2070.	4-719
Figure 4.20-21.	Change in Maximum Wave Heights during 4 Percent AEP Storm: Applicant's Preferred Alternative 2070 less No Action Alternative 2070.	4-720
Figure 4.20-22.	Comparison of 1 Percent AEP Storm Surge Elevation and Wave Height to Northern NOV-NFL Modeled Levee Height in Years 2020 and 2040 under the No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative.	4-731
Figure 4.20-23.	Comparison of 4 Percent AEP Storm Surge Elevation and Wave Height to Northern NOV-NFL Modeled Levee Height in Years 2020 and 2040 under the No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative.	4-732
Figure 4.20-24.	Comparison of 1 Percent AEP Storm Surge Elevation and Wave Heights to Central NOV-NFL Modeled Levee Height in Years 2020 and 2040 under the No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative.	4-733
Figure 4.20-25.	Comparison of 4 Percent AEP Storm Surge Elevation and Wave Heights to Central NOV-NFL Modeled Levee Height in Years 2020	

	and 2040 under the No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative.....	4-734
Figure 4.20-26.	Comparison of 1 Percent AEP Storm Surge Elevation and Wave Heights to Southern NOV-NFL Modeled Levee Height in Years 2020 and 2040 under the No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative.....	4-735
Figure 4.20-27.	Comparison of 4 Percent AEP Storm Surge Elevation and Wave Heights to Southern NOV-NFL Modeled Levee Height in Years 2020 and 2040 under the No Action Alternative and Applicant's Preferred Alternative.....	4-736
Figure 4.21-1.	Mississippi River Navigation Channel Limits and Conceptual Layout of Proposed Intake Structure with Temporary Cofferdam.	4-748
Figure 4.21-2.	Location of Federal Navigation Channels in Relation to Projected Sedimentation Impacts in Year 2070 of Project Operations.....	4-768
Figure 4.22-1.	Schematic Rendering of the Proposed LA 23 Bridge over the Proposed Diversion Channel.....	4-777
Figure 4.25.1-1.	Map of Projects with Potential Cumulative Impacts.....	4-828
Figure 4.25.1-2.	Inset Map of Projects with Potential Cumulative Impacts.....	4-829
Figure 4.25.4-1.	NOV-NF-W-05a.1 Project Map.....	4-849
Figure 4.25.4-2.	NOV-NF-W-05a.1 Project Map with Location of Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion Channel.....	4-850
Figure 4.25.6-1.	Barataria Basin Wetlands Created and Sustained by Foreseeable Projects with and without the Proposed MBSD Project (based on Delft3D Basinwide Model under then 150,000 cfs + Terraces Alternative).	4-873
Figure 4.25.6-2.	Birdfoot Delta Wetlands Created and Sustained by Foreseeable Projects with and without the Proposed MBSD Project (based on Delft3D Basinwide Model under then 150,000 cfs + Terraces Alternative).	4-874

This page intentionally left blank.