

Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act

TASK FORCE MEETING

January 11, 2000

Minutes

I. INTRODUCTION

Opening comments were made by Colonel Thomas Julich, who convened the thirty-seventh meeting of the Louisiana Coastal Wetlands Conservation and Restoration Task Force at approximately 9:45 a.m. on January 11, 2000, in the Louisiana Room, Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Building, 2000 Quail Drive, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. The agenda is shown as enclosure 1. Mr. James Burgess presented a posthumous dedication naming an Atchafalaya Bay pass for Bill Savant. The Task Force was created by the Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act (CWPPRA, commonly known as the Breaux Act), which was signed to law (PL 101-646, Title III) by President Bush on November 29, 1990.

II. ATTENDEES

The attendance record for the Task Force meeting is presented as enclosure 2. Listed below are the six Task Force members.

Dr. Len Bahr, State of Louisiana
Mr. William Hathaway, Environmental Protection Agency
Mr. David Frugé, U.S. Department of the Interior
Mr. Don Gohmert, U.S. Department of Agriculture
Mr. James Burgess, U.S. Department of Commerce
COL Thomas Julich, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

All of the Task Force members were in attendance except Mr. Don Gohmert whose seat was filled by Mr. Bruce Lehto. Mr. Cullen Curole took over for Dr. Len Bahr about midway through the meeting.

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

~~Enclosure 3 is a copy of the minutes for the meeting held on October 7, 1999.~~ There was no discussion of this item.

Motion by Mr. Dave Frugé: To approve the minutes from the Task Force meeting held on October 7, 1999.

Second to motion: Mr. William Hathaway

Motion passed unanimously.

IV. TASK FORCE DECISIONS

A. Selection of the 9th Priority Project List.

Mr. Robert Schroeder presented the recommendation of the Technical Committee concerning the selection of the 9th Priority Project List. That the Task Force approve the enclosed list (enclosure 4) of projects for phase I funding for the 9th Priority Project List. The recommended projects would cost approximately \$15 million for phase 1 and would cost approximately \$180 million for phase 2 if all were approved. The two demonstration projects were estimated to cost \$2.7 million for design and construction. Dr. Len Bahr stated that the State Task Force had approved the list and added the Lake Athanasio Oyster Demonstration project. A discussion followed on adding demonstration projects and the need to look at the demonstration project selection process. The following motion was offered:

Motion by Dr. Len Bahr: To approve the two demonstration projects recommended by the Technical Committee and add the Lake Athanasio Oyster and the Terrebonne Shoreline demonstration projects.

Second to motion: Mr. William Hathaway

Motion failed by a vote of 1 to 3.

The discussion continued until another motion was offered.

Motion by Mr. James Burgess: To approve the two demonstration projects recommended by the Technical Committee, to ask the Technical Committee to look at the selection criteria and process for demonstration projects, and to consider adding demonstration projects later in the year if the Technical Committee's report recommends such action.

Second to motion: Mr. Dave Frugé

Motion passed unanimously.

The Task Force then discussed the Weeks Bay and Little Pecan Bayou projects before considering a motion to accept the recommended list.

Motion by Dr. Len Bahr: To approve the list of projects recommended by the Technical Committee.

Second to motion: Mr. William Hathaway

Motion passed unanimously.

B. Revision of the Priority Project List Selection Process (10th PPL)

Mr. Thomas Podany presented a proposal to revise the Priority Project List selection process for the 10th PPL (enclosure 5). A general discussion followed as to the need to revise the project selection process and the merits of the specific proposal on the table.

Motion by Mr. Cullen Curole: To approve the proposed revised process.

Second to motion: Mr. Dave Frugé

Motion failed when Colonel Julich, as chairman, voted against it to produce a 3 to 3 tie.

Another motion was then offered.

Motion by Mr. James Burgess: To approve the general direction of the proposal as guidance to the Technical Committee, to proceed with Sections A and B, to direct the Technical Committee to solicit public comments on the 10th PPL and report back to the Task Force, and to approve any revisions to Sections C, D, and E at the next Task Force meeting based on Technical Committee's report.

Second to motion: Mr. William Hathaway

Motion passed unanimously.

C. Refinement of the Fiscal Year 2000 Planning Budget

Mr. Thomas Podany presented the revised fiscal year 2000 planning budget which includes Technical Committee approved revisions to the complex studies costs and the outreach budget. At the October 7, 1999 meeting the Task Force approved the Fiscal Year 2000 planning budget with the following two provisions: 1. That agencies can spend up to 50 percent of their budgets for complex projects until the cost estimates are revised. 2. That the outreach committee and the agencies can spend up to 75 percent of their budgets for outreach activities until the budget is revised. The Technical Committee recommended that the Task Force approve the revised fiscal year 2000 planning budget. The following tables were provided:

1. FY 2000 Budget Refinement (enclosure 6)
2. FY 2000 Technical Committee Recommendation (enclosure 7)
3. PPL 9 Complex Project Study Estimates (enclosure 8)

Mr. James Burgess expressed his disappointment with the work of the Outreach Committee in revising the outreach budget. He did not believe that the analysis was adequate to support the revisions. He requested an outreach presentation at each Task Force meeting. Mr. Scott Wilson stated that the outreach committee will continue its quarterly report and asked the Task Force for guidance. The Task Force agreed on the need to hear from and provide guidance to the outreach committee at least once a year, preferably in the summer to allow for budget considerations.

Motion by Mr. Dave Frugé: To accept the Technical Committee recommendation to approve the revised fiscal year 2000 planning budget.

Second to motion: Mr. James Burgess

Motion passed unanimously.

D. Approval of Projects for Phase 2 Construction Funding

Mr. Rick Hartman requested phase II funding for the Chandeleur Islands Restoration project since phase I funding had been approved by the Task Force earlier. Phase II was estimated to cost \$1,112,700. The pros and cons of making an exception to the SOP for approving phase II funding were debated by the Task Force members. In the end the following motion was offered.

Motion by Mr. James Burgess: To approve phase II funding for the Chandeleur Islands Restoration project subject to the approval of the Technical Committee.

Second to motion: Mr. Dave Frugé

Motion passed unanimously.

V. INFORMATION

A. Status of the Breaux Act Construction Program

Mr. Tom Podany briefed the Task Force on the status of funds in the Breaux Act construction program. The sum of \$25,838,212 was available for new projects in FY2000 if all potential cost increases or decreases were considered and all excess funds were returned. The following tables were provided:

1. Status of Construction Funds - These are project estimates that have been approved by the Task Force.

2. Construction Program Potential Cost Changes - These are potential cost increases or decreases.
3. Projects Returning Excess Funds - These are estimates of funds to be returned from completed and almost completed projects. Final accounting has not been done.
4. Projects That Have Not Started Construction - These are projects that have not preceded to construction within five years of approval on a priority list.
5. CWPPRA Project Summary Report by Priority List - This is summary information furnished to the CWPPRA database by the sponsoring agencies as approved by the Task Force.

B. Status of the Barrier Island Restoration, Marsh Creation, and River Diversion, Barataria Basin, Feasibility Study (Coast 2050 Feasibility Study).

Mr. Edmond Russo presented the Status of the Barrier Island Restoration, Marsh Creation, and River Diversion, Barataria Basin, Feasibility Study (Coast 2050 Feasibility Study). Mr. Dave Frugé asked about the status of the 3rd Delta project. Mr. Jack Caldwell informed the group that the 3rd Delta project would not be evaluated at this point in the feasibility study.

C. Discussion of Cash Flow Management Tracking Procedures

Mr. Tom Podany discussed the procedure being developed by the Corps of Engineers to track Breaux Act expenditures under a cash flow management system.

D. Delivery of Status Reports

Mr. Tom Podany reported the status of the following initiatives:

- a. Program Performance and Project Implementation (Project Status Summary Report Enclosed)
- b. 10th Priority Project List
- c. Reports to Congress (on Conservation Plan and Restoration Plan)
- d. Feasibility Studies Steering Committee (Fact Sheet Enclosed)
- e. Public Outreach Committee (Annual Report Enclosed)
- f. Atchafalaya Liaison Group

VI. DISCUSSION

A. Assignment of Lead Agencies to Projects

Colonel Julich lead a discussion about assigning lead agencies to projects. The Task Force members agreed that the situation of agency ownership of projects was generally resolving itself over time.

VII. ADDITIONAL AGENDA ITEMS

A. Mr. Bruce Lehto requested approval of the Task Force for the NRCS to initiate de-authorization of Violet Freshwater Diversion. The approval was granted by consensus of the Task Force.

- B. Mr. Dave Frugé passed out projected CWPPRA funding through FY2009.
- C. Mr. Rick Hartman asked the Task Force if more than 100 percent but less than 125 percent of phase I funding could be spent without their approval. Colonel Julich stated that the Task Force would look at that issue.
- D. Mr. Bruce Lehto handed out the status of the NRCS projects.

VIII. REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mr. Ken Ragas from Plaquemines Parish introduced himself and announced the formation of a new citizens group.

Mr. Judge Edwards thanked the Task force for doing a great job with the resources available to them.

Mr. Rodney Gilbeaux expressed his appreciation for the work of the Task Force and offered to get people on channel 7 for presentations.

IX. DATE AND LOCATION OF NEXT TASK FORCE MEETING

The next Task Force meeting will be held at 9:30 a.m. on April 5, 2000, in Lafayette, Louisiana at the Estuarian Habitat & Coastal Fisheries Center. Final details will be provided via public notice and the CWPPRA Internet Web Page.

X. ADJOURNMENT

The Task Force meeting was adjourned at 1:45 p. m.

COASTAL WETLANDS PLANNING, PROTECTION AND RESTORATION ACT

TASK FORCE MEETING

DRAFT AGENDA

Louisiana Room, Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Building
2000 Quail Drive, Baton Rouge, Louisiana

January 11, 2000
9:30 a.m.

TAB

- I. Meeting Initiation
 - a. Introduction of Task Force Members or Alternates
 - b. Opening Remarks by Task Force Members
- II. Adoption of Minutes from the October 7, 1999 Meeting.....A
- III. Status of Funds in the Breaux Act Construction Program. (Tom Podany) B
- IV. Selection of the 9th Priority Project List (Bob Schroeder)..... C
- V. Discussion of Cash Flow Management Tracking Procedures (Tom Podany)D
- VI. Assignment of Lead Agencies to Projects..... E
- VII. Revision of the Priority Project List Selection Process (10th PPL) F
- VIII. Refinement of the Fiscal Year 2000 Planning Budget (Tom Podany)G
- IX. Approval of Projects for Phase 2 Construction Funding (Rick Hartman).....H
- X. Status of the Barrier Island Restoration, Marsh Creation, and River Diversion, Barataria Basin, Feasibility Study (Coast 2050 Feasibility Study) (Edmond Russo)..... I
- XI. Delivery of Status Reports (Tom Podany):
 - a. Program Performance and Project Implementation
 - b. 10th Priority Project List
 - c. Reports to Congress (on Conservation Plan and Restoration Plan)
 - d. Feasibility Studies Steering Committee
 - e. Public Outreach Committee
 - f. Atchafalaya Liaison Group..... J
- XII. Additional Agenda Items.....K
- XIII. Request for Public Comments L
- XIV. Date and Location of the Next Task Force Meeting M



ATTENDANCE RECORD



30E

DATE(S) 11 JAN 00	SPONSORING ORGANIZATION CWPPRA TASK FORCE MEETING	LOCATION LWLF BLDG. BR, LA
----------------------	--	----------------------------------

PURPOSE

PARTICIPANT REGISTER *

NAME	JOB TITLE AND ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Edmond Russo	COE	504-862-1496
Dennis Reed	UNO	504 280 7395
Ray Browning	COE	504 862-2755
Angela Wald	The Courier	504 850-1148
Wes McQuiddy	EPA	214-665-6722
Dore Soileau	LWLF	337-373-0032
Baron Dempsey	ABMB Engineers	225-765-7400
James Packham	EPA	225-389-0736
Gary Rauber	COE	504 862-2543
John Tackett	NRCS	(318) 291-3060
Kevin Kialer	NRCS	225-382-2047
Ronny Paillo	FWS	337-291-3117
Gerry Bodin	"	" " 3118
Gary Duszynski	DNR	225 342-7267
Tom Lempereur	NRCS	504-447-3871
Kevin Roy	FWS	337-291-3120
Martha Segura	FWS	337-291-3110
Gail Hurd	DNR	225-342-7308
Darryl Clark	USFWS	337-291-3111
Ken Parris	Common Ground - Prog. Division	504-657-8246
Mark Schlottstein	Times Picayune	504 826-3321

* If you wish to be furnished a copy of the attendance record, please indicate so next to your name.



ATTENDANCE RECORD



DATE(S)	SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	LOCATION
---------	-------------------------	----------

PURPOSE

PARTICIPANT REGISTER *

NAME	JOB TITLE AND ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE NUMBER
LLOYD BRESNAH	ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS INC / ODEP	(504) 642 5487
Lindsey Landry	Acadiana Bay Assoc	(318) 650-1816
Jennelle Visser	LSU	(225) 380-6377
Matthew B. Sevier	TERREBONNE PARISH C2M	504 580-6145
Bob Guilbeau	GPD 7-CRCL-CPPS	337-569 2157
S.M. Gagliano	CEI / St. Bernard	225-383-7955
John Stofanski	Exec. Assistant / Cong. Chris John	337-25235-6322
Mark Daws	CRCL	812 2518
Suzi Hawes	COFS	
Renee Gettings	EPA	225 389-0737
Su King	House NR Comm	342-6396
ERIC ZOBZIST	NMFS	301-713-0114
Tom Podany	COE	504-862-2502
Catherine Granchy	LDNR	225 342-2407
Glenn Thomas	DWF	225 765 2956
DAN LLEWELLYN	DNR	342-5159
April Maye	DOT / FWS	318/291-3100
Sherill Ingrace	Vermilion Parish Advisory	318 892-0368
Mike Dunn	Advocate	225-388-0301
Kerry Beier	C-K ASSOC	225-755-1000
Doug Labrie	?	
Rick Major		
MIKE SQUIRE	C-K ASSOC.	

* If you wish to be furnished a copy of the attendance record, please indicate so next to your name.



ATTENDANCE RECORD



DATE(S)	SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	LOCATION
---------	-------------------------	----------

PURPOSE

PARTICIPANT REGISTER *

NAME	JOB TITLE AND ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE NUMBER
THOMAS JOHNSON	CH2M HILL	504-593-9421
VICTORIA BUTTERNATH	Plaquemines Parish Gov't	504-392-6690 x1903
John Day	LSU	225-388-6508
Tim Axelman	COE	504-862-1921
Cynthia Taylor	LDNR	342 2413
Jay Gamble	CWPPRA	504-862-2786
Judge Edwards	Vermilion Comp/Vermilion Parish	337-893-0268
Rex H. Coffey	LCEs	225-388-2266
Kerry St. Pe	ISTNEP	1-800-259-0869
MARTIN CANCIENNE	LONG BILLY TAUZIN	225-621-8490
Vicki Duffoure	Consultant/Jeff Parish	504-347-8100
Jimmy Johnston	USGS - NWRC	337-266-8556
Allen Ersminger	St. Charles Land & Paintout Fer. L.	337 462-0762
Stephan Smith	T. Baker Smith & Son, Inc	504-868-1050
R. Rodriguez	GOVA	225-342-3960
Sidney Collier	DNR	225-342-4844
Scott Villson	USGS	318 266-8644
Rick Raynie	DNR/CRD	225-342-9436

* If you wish to be furnished a copy of the attendance record, please indicate so next to your name.

**Technical Committee Recommendation to the Task Force
of Candidate and Demonstration Projects for the 9th Priority Project List
Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection, and Restoration Act**

1/3/00

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Project Physical Type	Sponsoring Agencies	Physical Type	Sponsoring Agency	Fully Funded Total Cost	Fully Funded Phase I Total Cost	Cumulative Fully Funded Phase I Total Cost	Fully Funded Phase II Total Cost	Cumulative Fully Funded Phase II Total Cost	Fully Funded Phase II Total Cost (C+ 3yr O&M+ 3yr M)	Cumulative Fully Funded Phase II Total Cost (C+ 3yr O&M+ 3yr M)
Project No	Project Name									
FD = Freshwater Diversion HR = Hydrologic Restoration MC = Marsh Creation SD = Sediment Diversion SP = Shoreline Protection SR = Sediment Retention TE = Terracing BI = Barrier Island Restoration BP = Bank Protection	COE = US Army Corps of Engineers EPA = Environmental Protection Agency NMFS = National Marine Fisheries Service NRCS = Natural Resources Conservation Service USFWS = US Fish and Wildlife Service									
XPO-55a	Opportunistic Use of Bonnet Carré Spillway	HR	COE	\$ 1,064,080	\$ 150,706	\$ 150,706	\$ 933,374	\$ 933,374	\$ 127,994	\$ 127,994
XPO-95	Chandeleur Islands Restoration	BI	NMFS	\$ 1,435,066	\$ 156,082	\$ 306,788	\$ 1,278,984	\$ 2,212,356	\$ 1,130,637	\$ 1,258,831
PME-7a	FW Intro. South of Hwy. 82	HR	USFWS	\$ 5,887,199	\$ 607,138	\$ 913,926	\$ 5,280,061	\$ 7,492,419	\$ 3,547,095	\$ 4,805,726
PTE-28	South Lake DeCade/Aich. Freshwater Intro.	HR	NRCS	\$ 3,967,101	\$ 396,489	\$ 1,310,415	\$ 3,570,612	\$ 11,063,031	\$ 2,137,051	\$ 6,942,777
XTV-30	Four-Mile Cut/Little Vermillion Bay HR	HR	NMFS	\$ 5,086,511	\$ 459,306	\$ 1,789,721	\$ 4,627,205	\$ 15,890,236	\$ 2,823,568	\$ 9,766,345
XAT-11	Castille Pass Sediment Delivery	MC	NMFS	\$ 31,070,255	\$ 1,484,633	\$ 3,254,354	\$ 29,585,622	\$ 45,275,858	\$ 14,789,234	\$ 24,535,579
CS-16	Black Bayou Bypass Culverts	HR	NRCS	\$ 8,375,578	\$ 799,823	\$ 4,054,177	\$ 7,575,755	\$ 52,851,613	\$ 5,986,106	\$ 30,521,685
PCS-26 ii	GIWW Bank Stabilization (Perry Ridge to Texas)	BP	NRCS	\$ 3,740,345	\$ 317,399	\$ 4,371,576	\$ 3,422,946	\$ 56,274,559	\$ 2,841,489	\$ 33,363,174
	Freshwater Bayou Canal HR/SP - Belle Isle to Lock (REVISED COST)	HR/SP	COE	\$ 25,023,382	\$ 1,498,987	\$ 5,870,543	\$ 23,524,415	\$ 79,798,974	\$ 15,013,078	\$ 48,376,252
ME-42a	Little Pecan Bayou Control Structure	HR	NRCS	\$ 15,271,778	\$ 1,245,278	\$ 7,115,821	\$ 14,026,500	\$ 93,825,474	\$ 10,065,722	\$ 58,441,974
XBA-83 iii	Barataria Basin Landbridge Shore Protection Ph. 3	SP	NRCS	\$ 20,743,089	\$ 1,040,595	\$ 8,156,416	\$ 19,702,494	\$ 113,527,968	\$ 17,410,669	\$ 75,852,843
PPO-7a	LaBranche Wetlands Terracing/Plantings	TE	NMFS	\$ 9,486,951	\$ 821,752	\$ 8,978,168	\$ 8,675,199	\$ 122,203,167	\$ 7,959,911	\$ 83,812,554
BA-32a	Marsh Creation South of Leeville	MC	EPA	\$ 6,897,502	\$ 1,151,484	\$ 10,129,652	\$ 5,746,018	\$ 127,949,185	\$ 5,592,775	\$ 89,405,329
XBA-1a	East/West Grand Terre Islands Restoration	BI	NMFS	\$ 18,203,486	\$ 1,856,203	\$ 11,985,855	\$ 16,347,283	\$ 144,296,468	\$ 16,195,220	\$ 105,800,549
XTE-45a	Timbalier Island Dune/Marsh Restoration	BI/MC	EPA	\$ 18,234,679	\$ 1,360,198	\$ 13,346,053	\$ 14,874,481	\$ 159,170,949	\$ 14,721,239	\$ 120,321,788
TE-11a	New Cut Dune/Marsh Restoration	BI/MC	EPA	\$ 7,393,626	\$ 746,274	\$ 14,092,327	\$ 6,647,352	\$ 165,818,301	\$ 6,458,261	\$ 128,780,069
PTV-13	Weeks Bay/Commercial Canal/GIWW SP	SP	NRCS	\$ 15,144,256	\$ 1,229,337	\$ 15,321,664	\$ 13,914,919	\$ 179,733,220	\$ 12,910,258	\$ 139,690,327

Demonstration Projects

XTE-DEMO	Mandalay Bank Protection Demonstration	BP	FWS	\$ 1,194,484
MR-DEMO	Periodic Introduction of Sediment and Nutrients at Selected Diversion Sites	FD	COE	\$ 1,500,000

NOTES:

- Oyster lease impacts are addressed in the fully funded total costs for projects, as of November 30, 1999.
- Project list as shown was presented by the LADNR and adopted by the Technical Committee on December 8, 1999, along with demonstration projects also listed herein, as their recommendation to the Task Force for project selection and funding of Phase I costs. The Technical Committee recommended that Periodic Introduction of Sediment and Nutrients at Selected Diversion Sites Demonstration Project be fully funded in the amount of \$1.5 million, with duration of project scaled back to reflect the revised cost for the original scope.
- It was noted in the Technical Committee vote that USFWS does not support the recommendation of the following projects from the list above: (1) Marsh Creation South of Leeville (BA-32a); (2) East/West Grand Terre Islands Restoration (XBA-1a); (3) Timbalier Island Dune/Marsh Restoration (XTE-45a); and (4) Weeks Bay/Commercial Canal/GIWW SP (PTV-13).
- Cash Flow Standard Operating Procedure (CF SOP) stipulates that Phase II funding for candidate projects must be requested at the annual project budgeting meeting. The Technical Committee provided further guidance to the CF SOP for demonstration projects. For these projects the Technical Committee recommended that funding on the 9th Priority Project List be provided for Phase I of the demonstration projects listed above, with the capability of Phase II funding able to be requested for these projects at any time of the year after completion of Phase I.

Encl. 4

IMPLEMENTATION OF COAST 2050 PLAN PRIORITY LIST SELECTION PROCESS

Section A. Prioritization of Coast 2050 Regional Ecosystem Strategies by Strategic Objectives by Hydrologic Basin Jan thru mid-Feb 2000

- 1) Regional Planning Teams (RPT), together with members of the Citizen Participation Group (CPG), rank all Regional Ecosystem Strategies by hydrologic basin, using Coast 2050 Strategic Objectives (see Pg. 2 of Coast 2050). During prioritization, sequencing of strategies will be considered. Mapping unit and coastwide strategies will not be considered in this prioritization effort. **(Feb 14-17)**
- 2) To facilitate strategy ranking, the Planning and Evaluation Subcommittee (P&E) prepares map of each hydrologic basin indicating the project area of all restoration projects (CWPPRA PL 1-9 projects and PL 9 complex projects, Corps of Engineers 1135, 204,206 projects, etc). One layer of this map will be the Suhayda loss projections for the year 2050. **(Feb 1-12)**

Section B. Development and Preliminary Ranking of Projects. February thru April 2000

- 1) Technical Committee places each strategy into one of the following categories: a) candidate for CWPPRA funding; b) candidate for Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) funding; c) programmatic strategy (such as Maintain Atchafalaya Mudstream"). There may be some overlap; barrier islands and some diversion projects could be in both Categories a and b. **(Feb. 24)**
- 2) Technical Committee reviews, adjusts, and approves priorities for strategies submitted by RPT. The Technical Committee chooses a manageable number of the prioritized regional strategies in each basin for project development this year. After this point, neither agencies nor individuals can add more strategies to the list to be considered for PL 10. **(Feb. 24)**
- 3) Each RPT convenes Basin Subcommittees to develop the projects for CWPPRA strategies chosen by the Technical Committee as having a high priority in each basin. These Basin Subcommittees include the CWPPRA agencies, academic advisors, landowners, environmental groups, parish/community officials, members of the CPG, and the general public. The subcommittees evaluate each high priority strategy and list all the projects necessary to accomplish each strategy. (Demonstration projects will be selected as provided in Demonstration Project SOP, as amended.) **(completed by March 31)**
- 4) Basin Subcommittees prepare preliminary map and brief fact sheet for each project that accomplishes the high-priority strategies. **(completed by March 31)**
- 5) Engineering Work Group calculates preliminary first cost for each project, based on engineering judgment and historical costs. Uses cost ranges. **(completed by Apr. 26)**
- 6) Environmental/Engineering Work Groups apply November 1999 Coast 2050 Criteria, or revision thereto, to each project. (April) **(completed by Apr. 26)**
- 7) P&E takes information from B3 through B6 above and prepares matrix of projects by basin (in order of ranked strategies from B2) that lists cost range and Coast 2050 Criteria score. **(May 4 Meeting)**
- 8) P&E Subcommittee furnishes matrixes to Technical Committee and State Wetlands Authority. **(mailed by May 5)**

Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act

Fiscal Year 2000 Budget Refinement

Activity	Orig	P&E Comm	P&E Comm	Tech Comm	Task Force	T.C. 8 Dec 99 &	Task Force
	FY2000	Recommendation	Recommendation	Recommendation	Approved	F.S.S. C. 5 Jan 2000	Approves
	Amount (\$)	19-Aug-99	14-Sep-99	20-Sep-99	07-Oct-99	Recommendation	11-Jan-00
	(1)	FY2000	FY2000	FY2000	FY2000	FY2000	FY2000
		Amount (\$)	Amount (\$)	Amount (\$)	Amount (\$)	Amount (\$)	Amount (\$)
		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
General Planning & Program Participation							
State of Louisiana							
DNR	1,018,421	652,243	652,243	619,631	612,497	612,497	
Gov's Ofc	108,000	108,000	108,000	102,600	88,236	88,236	
LDWF	20,000	20,000	20,000	19,000	19,000	19,000	
Total State	1,146,421	780,243	780,243	741,231	719,733	719,733	
EPA	476,524	496,524	496,524	471,698	463,236	463,236	
Dept of the Interior							
USFWS	405,111	325,617	325,617	309,336	307,343	307,343	
NWRC	219,686	114,185	114,185	108,476	84,460	84,460	
USGS Reston	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,360	8,360	8,360	
USGS-B.R.							
NPS	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,325	3,325	3,325	
Total Interior	637,097	452,102	452,102	429,497	403,488	403,488	
Dept of Agriculture	496,683	516,683	516,683	490,849	480,675	480,675	
Dept of Commerce	531,462	541,725	541,725	514,639	486,139	486,139	
Dept of the Army	897,406	917,406	917,406	871,536	779,386	779,386	
Agency Total	4,185,594	3,704,684	3,704,684	3,519,449	3,332,657	3,332,657	
Feasibility Studies Funding							
Barrier Shoreline Study							
Study of Chenier Plain							
Miss R Diversion Study							
Total Feasibility Studies							
Complex Studies Funding							
Beneficial Use Sediment		331,500	331,500	317,679	158,840	123,050	
Trap Below Venice (COE)							
Barataria Barrier Shoreline (NMFS)		600,000	587,400	586,179	293,090	301,800	
Diversion into Maurepas		464,000	464,000	450,179	225,090	525,000	
Swamp (EPA/COE)							
Holly Beach Segmented		583,568	583,568	318,179	159,090	318,179	
Breakwaters (DNR)							
Central & Eastern Terrebonne		506,000	506,000	336,179	168,090	244,000	
Freshwater Delivery (USFWS)							
Delta Building Diversion		574,300	574,300	336,179	168,090	345,050	
Below Empire (COE)							
Total Complex Studies		3,059,368	3,046,768	2,344,574	1,172,287	1,857,079	

Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act

Fiscal Year 2000 Budget Refinement

Activity	Orig FY2000 Amount (\$) (1)	P&E Comm Recommendation 19-Aug-99 FY2000 Amount (\$) (2)	P&E Comm Recommendation 14-Sep-99 FY2000 Amount (\$) (3)	Tech Comm Recommendation 20-Sep-99 FY2000 Amount (\$) (4)	Task Force Approved 07-Oct-99 FY2000 Amount (\$) (5)	T.C. 8 Dec 99 & F.S.S. C. 5 Jan 2000 Recommendation FY2000 Amount (\$) (6)	Task Force Approves 11-Jan-00 FY2000 Amount (\$) (7)
Miscellaneous Funding							
Outreach							
Outreach Committee	330,000	330,000	330,000	313,500	235,125	239,834	
USACE					69,113	92,150	
USFWS					1,495	2,000	
NWRC					18,012	21,016	
DNR					5,351	4,000	
Ofc of Gov					10,773	4,000	
EPA					6,347	4,000	
NRCS					7,631	4,000	
NMFS					21,375	4,000	
Total					375,220	375,000	
Academic Advisory Group	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	
GIS/Oyster Lease Maps		35,501	35,501	33,726	33,726	33,726	
NWRC Mntng		70,000	70,000	66,500	66,500	66,500	
Model flows Aich River Modeling			100,000	95,000	95,000	95,000	
Digital Soil Survey (NRCS/NWRC)				40,000	40,000	40,000	
MR-GO Evaluation				25,000	25,000	25,000	
Total Miscellaneous	100,000	205,501	305,501	360,226	735,446	735,226	
Total Allocated	4,285,594	6,969,553	7,056,953	6,224,249	5,240,390	5,924,962	
Unallocated Balance	714,406						
Total Unallocated	2,296,660	-387,299	-474,699	358,005	1,341,864	657,292	
	982,254 (a)						
	600,000 (b)						

Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act
Fiscal Year 2000 Budget Refinement

	P&E Comm Recommendation	P&E Comm Recommendation	Tech Comm Recommendation	Task Force Approved	T.C. 8 Dec 99 & F.S.S. C. 5 Jan 2000 Recommendation	Task Force Approves
Orig FY2000 Amount (\$)	19-Aug-99 FY2000 Amount (\$)	14-Sep-99 FY2000 Amount (\$)	20-Sep-99 FY2000 Amount (\$)	07-Oct-99 FY2000 Amount (\$)	FY2000 Amount (\$)	11-Jan-00 FY2000 Amount (\$)
Activity	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(7)

NOTES:

- (a) Carryover funds - being researched.
- (b) Funds given up by MRSNFR for FY 2000 budget.

- (1) Original estimates prepared by agencies for 19 Aug 99 P&E committee meeting.
- (2) Revisions from the 19 Aug 99 P&E meeting:
 - a. Agencies revised estimates.
 - b. NWRC monitoring removed from Gen Ping and listed under miscellaneous to agree with detail sheets.
 - c. Deleted agency costs for development of complex projects.
 - d. Agency estimates contain agency outreach.
- (3) Estimates for complex studies added to estimate
- (4a) 1 Sep 99 Tech meeting.
 - a. Reinstated PL 1060 Development of Complex Projects to agency estimates; added \$20,000 for each agency
 - b. Added \$100,000 for Model Flows Atch River Modeling.
- (4b) 20 Sep 99 - Tech Committee recommends revised 14 Sep 99 P&E estimate
 - a. Reduced all estimates by 5%, except Academic Assistance
 - b. Revised complex projects estimates.
 - c. Added Digital Soil Survey to FY 2000 budget; total activity is \$228,970.
 - d. Added MR-GO Evaluation to FY2000 budget.
- (5) 7 Oct 99 - Task Force approved following budget:
 - a. General Planning estimates approved; estimates include a 5% decrease, and removing Outreach budget from General Planning and putting under Outreach Committee
 - b. 75% of Outreach budget approved (both Outreach committee budget and agency outreach budgets)
 - c. 50% of Complex project estimates approved.
- (6) 8 Dec 99 - Tech Committee recommends budget:
 - a. General Planning estimates approved; estimates include a 5% decrease, and removing Outreach budget from General Planning and putting under Outreach Committee
 - b. Outreach numbers furnished from outreach budget report.
 - c. Complex project budgets furnished by Tim Axtman.

Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection, and Restoration Act
 Proposed Fiscal Year 2000 Planning Schedule and Budget
 Technical Committee Recommendation of 8 Dec 99

Task Category	Task No.	Task	Start Date	End Date	CWPRA COSTS										Total	
					Dept. of Interior					State of Louisiana						
					USACE	USFWS	NBS	USGS Rstin	USGS BR	DNR	DWF	Gov O/c	EPA	USDA	USDC	
PL	9080	Agencies Prepare Fact Sheets for PPL9 Projects	9/15/99	10/29/99	3,800	3,497				3,896			2,216	6,368	3,135	22,924
PL	9090	EcoWG Evaluates Project Effectiveness for PPL9 Projects	10/1/99	10/1/99	8,370					3,855			1,772	7,742		21,739
PL	9100	Lead Agencies Submit Fact Sheets for PPL9 Projects	10/29/99	10/29/99	1,900					3,729			346		1,435	7,410
PL	9105	Present Candidate Projects for PPL9 to Public (3)	11/3/99	11/5/99	9,729	3,986				7,297	5,130		3,546	5,166	2,570	37,444
PL	9110	Engr & Env Wk Grps Apply Selection Criteria for PPL9 Projects	11/4/99	11/4/99	3,230	3,986				5,494			3,297	2,543	1,052	19,801
PL	9120	P&E Selects Draft PPL9 Projects (1)	12/1/99	12/1/99	5,752	2,990				1,227	3,078		3,351	4,308	1,197	21,901
PL	9130	TC and CPG Review and Approve PPL9 Recommendation (1)	12/8/99	12/8/99	4,099	2,491				683	3,078		2,976	3,234	1,197	17,758
PL	9140	Present Draft PPL9 Projects to Louisiana Natural Resources Committee	1/7/00	1/7/00	2,822					2,025			936	617	479	9,957
PL	9150	Agencies Prepare Input for PPL9 Report	1/7/00	1/7/00	10,113	3,487				9,704			878	5,253	479	29,916
PL	9160	Task Force Reviews and Approves PPL9 (1)	1/14/00	1/14/00		3,966				5,456	3,078		4,112	4,556	2,579	23,789
PL	9170	Prepare/Finalize PPL9 Report	1/15/00	4/15/00	28,500					4,530			958	1,621	957	36,563
PL	9200	Submit PPL9 Report to ASA(CW)	3/2/00	3/2/00	1,007											1,007
PL	9250	ASA(CW) Reviews PPL9 Report	3/4/00	3/4/00												0
PL	9300	ASA(CW) Submits PPL9 Report to Congress	3/31/00	3/31/00												0
Subtotal page 1					79,323	24,414	0	0	0	47,898	0	17,442	24,365	41,449	15,079	249,980

NOTE: Number shown in parentheses in line item tasks represents the number of meetings for that task.

Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection, and Restoration Act
Proposed Fiscal Year 2000 Planning Schedule and Budget
Technical Committee Recommendation of 8 Dec 99

Task Category	Task No.	Task	Start Date	End Date	CWP/PRA COSTS										Total		
					Dept. of Interior					State of Louisiana							
					USACE	USFWS	NBS	USGS Rain	USGS BR	DNR	DWF	Gov. Ofc.	EPA	USDA	USDC		
PL	1010	Initial Process Formulation	7/6/99	7/22/99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PL	1015	Intermediate Process Formulation (1)	8/19/99	9/14/99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,078
PL	1020	Final Process Formulation (1)	9/14/99	9/14/99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PL	1025	Regional Nomination Workshops (4)	1/25/00	2/1/00	18,057	12,456	0	0	1,663	13,379	0	5,130	18,069	12,055	20,599	0	100,428
PL	1030	Nominee Project Review and Assignment (1)	2/3/00	2/3/00	3,017	1,993	0	0	0	10,236	0	3,681	4,060	2,845	2,845	0	25,833
PL	1040	Scoping and Screening Phase (3)	3/7/00	3/9/00	9,781	13,951	0	0	1,663	29,636	0	5,130	24,957	22,119	38,030	0	145,267
PL	1050	Compilation of PDPs for Complex Projects	3/15/00	6/15/00	56,772	8,719	0	3,800	0	44,934	0	0	50,732	24,506	19,889	0	209,152
PL	1055	Review and Comment of Draft PDPs for Complex Projects	6/15/00	8/17/00	12,474	3,737	0	0	0	20,622	0	0	12,544	15,495	2,392	0	87,284
PL	1056	Draft PDP Discussions for Complex Projects (3)	8/22/00	8/24/00	5,630	3,487	0	0	0	12,978	0	0	4,727	7,498	6,664	0	40,974
PL	1057	PDP Finalization for Complex Projects	8/30/00	9/14/00	6,235	2740 75	0	0	0	13,428	0	0	3,627	4,300	2,392	0	32,723
PL	1060	Development of Complex Projects	9/14/00	until	19,000	19,000	0	0	0	19,000	0	0	19,000	19,000	19,000	0	114,000
PL	1160	Development of Project Information for WVA (Non-Complex Projects)	3/15/00	4/13/00	20,933	28,891	22,710	4,560	0	33,652	0	0	25,588	25,448	44,565	0	204,346
PL	1161	Develop Designs and Cost Estimates for Non-Complex Projects	3/15/00	7/25/00	95,000	15,446	0	0	0	30,253	0	0	40,273	85,277	34,868	0	301,217
PL	1162	EnvWG Project Review for Non-Complex Projects (10)	6/20/00	6/20/00	26,329	3,487	0	0	0	7,914	0	0	6,147	11,234	1,689	0	56,810
PL	1163	EnvWG Project Review and Evaluation of Benefits for Non-Complex Projects (10)	4/13/00	6/23/00	34,122	59,791	0	0	0	23,714	0	0	29,100	30,166	40,188	0	217,104
PL	1164	Preparation of Project Fact Sheets for Non-Complex Projects	3/15/00	9/15/00	8,123	2,990	0	0	0	14,548	0	0	4,111	8,995	30,384	0	69,151
PL	1165	EcoWG Project Evaluations for Non-Complex Projects	8/29/00	9/14/00	10,466	997	0	0	0	14,314	0	0	1,894	6,386	34,067	0	34,067
PL	1166	Project Fact Sheet Submittal for Non-Complex Projects	9/14/00	9/14/00	0	0	0	0	0	7,083	0	0	432	0	957	0	8,472
PL	1065	Public Results Presentation (2)	10/5/00	10/10/00	0	950	0	0	0	8,356	0	3,078	519	5,919	957	0	19,779
PL	1070	Candidate Project Ranking (End of FY 00 tasks for PPL 10)	10/12/00	10/12/00	4,634	1,425	0	0	0	2,633	0	0	6,023	4,071	1,435	0	20,222
PL	1075	PAE Recommendation for Project Selection and Funding (1)	10/19/00	10/19/00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PL	1080	TC Recommendation for Project Selection and Funding (1)	11/9/00	11/9/00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PL	1085	TF Selection and Funding of the 10th PPL (1)	12/14/00	12/14/00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PL	1090	PPL 10 Report Development	1/13/00	5/27/00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PL	1095	Upward Submittal of the PPL 10 Report	6/15/00	6/15/00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PL	1100	Submission of the PPL 10 Report to Congress	7/18/00	10/24/00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

NOTE: Number shown in parentheses in line item tasks represents the number of meetings for that task.

**Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection, and Restoration Act
Proposed Fiscal Year 2000 Planning Schedule and Budget
Technical Committee Recommendation of 8 Dec 99**

Task Category		Task No	Task	Start Date	End Date	USACE	USFWS	NBS	USGS Rsn	USGS BR	DNR	DMF	Gov Ofc	EPA	USDA	USDC	Total	
NOTE: Number shown in parentheses in line item tasks represents the number of meetings for that task.																		
CWPRA COSTS																		
Dept. of Interior																		
State of Louisiana																		
PM	1010		Program Management--Coordination	N/A	N/A	142,500	15,446	4,323			56,893		5,130	94,169	57,487	130,439	506,386	
PM	1020		Program Management--Correspondence	N/A	N/A	57,000	4,983				19,443		5,130	18,723	18,470	16,388	140,136	
PM	1030		Prog Mgmt--Budget Development and Oversight	N/A	N/A	80,750	5,481				28,323		5,130	25,353	29,206	14,535	186,777	
PE	1010		PAES Mings (7 mings; prep and attendance)	N/A	N/A	18,463	9,865	1,425			14,905		8,206	9,693	10,212	8,127	81,196	
RP	3020		Corps Prepares and Submits Revisions to Rest. Plan	N/A	N/A						2,174						2,174	
SC	1010		Steering Com Mings (4 mings; prep and attend)	N/A	N/A	9,944	6,976				6,033		2,052	7,994	8,482	8,127	49,607	
TC	1010		Tech Com Mings (4 mings; prep and attend)	N/A	N/A	21,792	17,439	1,425			8,003		8,208	11,103	10,229	6,794	84,993	
TF	1010		Task Force mings (4 mings; prep and attend)	N/A	N/A	39,045	20,429	1,425			9,615		11,266	18,358	14,659	16,057	128,974	
PO	1010		Public Outreach	N/A	N/A												0	
ER	1010		Prepare Evaluation Report (Report to Cong)	N/A	N/A	1,995	1,494	1,425			71,250			1,907	3,932	3,829	65,832	
CN	1010		State Consistency Determination	N/A	N/A						1,900						1,900	
MS	1010		Miscellaneous Technical Support	N/A	N/A		22,655	51,728			39,381		19,000	908			142,906	
						700,063	282,829	84,460	8,360	3,325	564,589	19,000	70,794	438,852	439,227	471,059	3,082,667	
						Subtotal page 2 & 3												
						Total by Agency	779,386	307,343	84,460	8,360	3,325	612,487	19,000	88,236	480,876	471,059	486,139	3,332,667

**Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection, and Restoration Act
Proposed Fiscal Year 2000 Planning Schedule and Budget
Technical Committee Recommendation of 8 Dec 99**

Task Category	Task No.	Task	Start Date	End Date	CWPRA COSTS										Total			
					USACE	USFWS	NBS	USGS R&I	USGS BR	DNR	DWF	Gov. Ofc.	EPA	USDA		USDC		
NOTE: Number shown in parentheses in line item tasks represents the number of meetings for that task.					Dept. of Interior										State of Louisiana			
		16-Aug-99 Prepared original agency input into FY2000 budget to be presented at P&E Committee meeting on 19 Aug 99																
		18-Aug-99 final estimates																
		31-Aug-99 DNR revised estimate, NWRC revised category change incorporated																
		1-Sep-99 Tech Committee meeting on 1 Sep 99																
		Reinstated PL 1060 Development of Complex Projects - added \$20,000 for each agency																
		Summarized sheet. Added \$100,000 for Model flows Atch River Modeling																
		20-Sep-99 Coats for complex projects included in estimate																
		5% cut across all activities, except Academic Assistance																
		Added Digital Soil Survey to FY 2000 activities, total activity is \$228,970																
		Added MR-GO Evaluation to FY 2000 activities																
		7-Oct-99 Task Force approved FY 2000 budget as follows:																
		(a) General Planning estimates approved (includes a 5% decrease and removing outreach from General Planning)																
		(b) Agency outreach removed from General Planning and put under Outreach Committee																
		(c) Outreach budgets approved for 75% (both Outreach committee estimate and agency estimates)																
		(d) Complex project estimates approved for 50%																
		1-Dec-99 Public Outreach funds removed from agency budgets																
		Complex projects costs revised																
		Outreach budget revised																

PPL9 Complex Project Budget Options

**Table 1 - Complex Project Study Estimates
Tech Committee Recommendation
20 September 1999**

Complex Study Name	Summary of Complex Study Requirements by Fiscal Year			TOTAL
	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02	
Diversion into Maurepas Swamp	\$ 450,179	\$ 381,321	\$ 198,500	\$ 1,030,000
Delta Building Diversin Below Empire	336,179	475,821		812,000
Beneficial Use Sediment Trap Below Venice	317,679	138,321		456,000
Holly Beach Segmented Breakwaters	318,179			318,179
Barataria Barrier Shoreline	586,179	904,221	523,300	2,013,700
Central & Eastern Terrebonne FW Delivery	336,179	835,821	78,000	1,250,000
Total	\$ 2,344,574	\$ 2,735,505	\$ 799,800	\$ 5,879,879

**Table 2 - Complex Project Study Estimates
Tech Committee Recommendation, 8 Dec 99 &
Feas Studies Steering Committee Recommendation, 5 Jan 2000**

Complex Study Name	Summary of Complex Study Requirements by Fiscal Year			TOTAL	Amount to PED
	FY 00	FY 01	FY 02		
Diversion into Maurepas Swamp	525,000			525,000	\$ 578,000
Delta Building Diversin Below Empire	345,050	66,700		411,750	\$ 1,311,900
Beneficial Use Sediment Trap Below Venice	123,050	52,500		175,550	\$ 586,900
Barataria Barrier Shoreline	301,800			301,800	\$ 1,986,550
Holly Beach Segmented Breakwaters	318,179			318,179	\$ -
Central & Eastern Terrebonne FW Delivery	244,000	330,000	90,000	664,000	\$ 540,000
Total	\$ 1,857,079	\$ 449,200	\$ 90,000	\$ 2,396,279	\$ 5,003,350