

CWPPRA PPL 27 Technical Committee VOTE

7-Dec-17

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
2	Mid Breton Land Bridge Marsh Creation and Terracing	3	6		6	5	4	5	24
1	Bayou Cane Marsh Creation	4	3	5		3	1	5	16
2	Northeast Turtle Bay Marsh Creation and Critical Area Shoreline Protection	1	1	2	2		6	5	12
4	Sabine Marsh Creation Cycles 6&7	6	5	6			3	4	20
2	East Delacroix Marsh Creation and Terracing	5	4			4	2	4	15
2	Grand Bayou Ridge and Marsh Restoration		2	4	5	1		4	12
2	Breton Landbridge Marsh Creation (West)			3	3	6		3	12
3	East Catfish Lake Marsh Creation and Terracing	2			4	2		3	8
3	North Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation			1	1		5	3	7
1	Point aux Marchettes Shoreline Protection							0	0

21 21 21 21 21 21 21 36 126
 check 21 21 21 21 21 21 36 126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 27 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

CWPPRA PPL 27 Technical Committee VOTE

7-Dec-17

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Point aux Marchettes Shoreline Protection							0	0
1	Bayou Cane Marsh Creation	4						0	0
2	East Delacroix Marsh Creation and Terracing	5						0	0
2	Mid Breton Land Bridge Marsh Creation and Terracing	3						0	0
2	Breton Landbridge Marsh Creation (West)							0	0
2	Grand Bayou Ridge and Marsh Restoration							0	0
2	Northeast Turtle Bay Marsh Creation and Critical Area Shoreline Protection	1						0	0
3	East Catfish Lake Marsh Creation and Terracing	2						0	0
3	North Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation							0	0
4	Sabine Marsh Creation Cycles 6&7	6						0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	check	21	21	21	21	21	21	36	126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 27 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form.
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

CWPPRA PPL 27 Technical Committee VOTE

7-Dec-17



Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Point aux Marchettes Shoreline Protection							0	0
1	Bayou Cane Marsh Creation		3					0	0
2	East Delacroix Marsh Creation and Terracing		4					0	0
2	Mid Breton Land Bridge Marsh Creation and Terracing		6					0	0
2	Breton Landbridge Marsh Creation (West)							0	0
2	Grand Bayou Ridge and Marsh Restoration		2					0	0
2	Northeast Turtle Bay Marsh Creation and Critical Area Shoreline Protection		1					0	0
3	East Catfish Lake Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0
3	North Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation							0	0
4	Sabine Marsh Creation Cycles 6&7		5					0	0

check 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
21 21 21 21 21 21 36 126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 27 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest... 1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

CWPPRA PPL 27 Technical Committee VOTE

7-Dec-17


Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Point aux Marchettes Shoreline Protection							0	0
1	Bayou Cane Marsh Creation			5				0	0
2	East Delacroix Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0
2	Mid Breton Land Bridge Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0
2	Breton Landbridge Marsh Creation (West)			3				0	0
2	Grand Bayou Ridge and Marsh Restoration			4				0	0
2	Northeast Turtle Bay Marsh Creation and Critical Area Shoreline Protection			2				0	0
3	East Catfish Lake Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0
3	North Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation			1				0	0
4	Sabine Marsh Creation Cycles 6&7			6				0	0

check 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 21 21 21 21 21 21 36 126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 27 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.



12/7/17

CWPPRA PPL 27 Technical Committee VOTE

7-Dec-17

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Point aux Marchettes Shoreline Protection							0	0
1	Bayou Cane Marsh Creation							0	0
2	East Delacroix Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0
2	Mid Breton Land Bridge Marsh Creation and Terracing				6			0	0
2	Breton Landbridge Marsh Creation (West)				3			0	0
2	Grand Bayou Ridge and Marsh Restoration				5			0	0
2	Northeast Turtle Bay Marsh Creation and Critical Area Shoreline Protection				2			0	0
3	East Catfish Lake Marsh Creation and Terracing				4			0	0
3	North Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation				1			0	0
4	Sabine Marsh Creation Cycles 6&7							0	0

check 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 21 21 21 21 21 21 36 126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 27 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

D. Chisholm
 12-7-2017

Rieth

7-Dec-17

12/7/17

CWPPRA PPL 27 Technical Committee VOTE

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Point aux Marchettes Shoreline Protection							0	0
1	Bayou Cane Marsh Creation					3		0	0
2	East Delacroix Marsh Creation and Terracing					4		0	0
2	Mid Breton Land Bridge Marsh Creation and Terracing					5		0	0
2	Breton Landbridge Marsh Creation (West)					6		0	0
2	Grand Bayou Ridge and Marsh Restoration					1		0	0
2	Northeast Turtle Bay Marsh Creation and Critical Area Shoreline Protection							0	0
3	East Catfish Lake Marsh Creation and Terracing					2		0	0
3	North Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation							0	0
4	Sabine Marsh Creation Cycles 6&7							0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	check	21	21	21	21	21	21	36	126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 27 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest... 1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Point aux Marchettes Shoreline Protection							0	0
1	Bayou Cane Marsh Creation						1	0	0
2	East Delacroix Marsh Creation and Terracing						2	0	0
2	Mid Breton Land Bridge Marsh Creation and Terracing						4	0	0
2	Breton Landbridge Marsh Creation (West)							0	0
2	Grand Bayou Ridge and Marsh Restoration							0	0
2	Northeast Turtle Bay Marsh Creation and Critical Area Shoreline Protection						6	0	0
3	East Catfish Lake Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0
3	North Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation						5	0	0
4	Sabine Marsh Creation Cycles 6&7						3	0	0

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

check 21 21 21 21 21 21 36 126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 27 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2017

7-Dec-17

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier Marsh Restoration	2	3	3	1	1	3	6	13
18	CS-49	Cameron-Creole Freshwater Introduction CU 2	1	1		3	3	1	5	9
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar	3		1	2	2		4	8
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration		2	2			2	3	6

No. of votes:	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Sum of Votes:	6	6	6	6	6	6	6

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2017

7-Dec-17

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar	3						0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration							0	0
18	CS-49	Cameron-Creole Freshwater Introduction CU 2	1						0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier Marsh Restoration	2						0	0

No. of votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 Sum of Votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2017


7-Dec-17

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar							0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration		2					0	0
18	CS-49	Cameron-Creole Freshwater Introduction CU 2		1					0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier Marsh Restoration		3					0	0

No. of votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 Sum of Votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.



Handwritten signature and date: 12/7/17

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2017

7-Dec-17

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar			1				0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration			2				0	0
18	CS-49	Cameron-Creole Freshwater Introduction CU 2							0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier Marsh Restoration			3				0	0

No. of votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 Sum of Votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.

D. Clark
 12-7-2017

Rich
12/7/17

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2017

7-Dec-17

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar				2			0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration							0	0
18	CS-49	Cameron-Creole Freshwater Introduction CU 2				3			0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier Marsh Restoration				1			0	0

No. of votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 Sum of Votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.

W. B. M.

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2017

7-Dec-17

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar					2		0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration							0	0
18	CS-49	Cameron-Creole Freshwater Introduction CU 2					3		0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier Marsh Restoration					1		0	0

No. of votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 Sum of Votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2017

7-Dec-17

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar							0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration						2	0	0
18	CS-49	Cameron-Creole Freshwater Introduction CU 2						1	0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier Marsh Restoration						3	0	0

No. of votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 Sum of Votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.

