Southwest Coastal Louisiana Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Programmatic EIS

Public Meeting January 7, 2014 Lake Charles, LA

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers New Orleans District

Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of LA





Agenda

- Why We Are Here
- Study Overview
 - National Economic Development (NED) TSP
 - National Ecosystem Restoration (NER) TSP
- National Environmental Policy Act
- Public Comments



Why are we here?

To update the public on the Southwest Coastal Feasibility Study and provide a venue for public comment per NEPA on the Tentatively Selected Plan for reducing risk and restoring coastal ecosystems.

We welcome your comments!



4



BUILDING STRONG®

Public/Agency Review



- 45-day review period
- Began December 13th and will conclude on January 26th
- Comments
 - Agency & Public input informs decision
 - All are fully evaluated prior to decision
 - Ensures decisions are based on best available information



Southwest Coastal Study Overview

- Purpose is to identify a plan to provide hurricane and storm damage risk reduction (NED) and coastal ecosystem restoration (NER) in the southwest portion of the state
- Study focuses on 4,700 square mile study area located in Calcasieu, Cameron and Vermilion Parishes
- Southwest Louisiana is at risk due to flooding from tidal surge and waves associated with tropical storms
- Land subsidence combined with sea-level rise is expected to increase potential for coastal flooding, shoreline erosion, saltwater intrusion, and loss of wetland and Chenier
 habitats in the future



Southwest Coastal Study Overview

- Reconnaissance study completed in 2007 and recommended moving to feasibility phase
- Cost-Share Agreement signed January 2009 with CPRA
- Scoping meetings hosted in March 2009





Study Authorities

 The NED purpose was authorized on December 7, 2005 – Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, U.S. House of Representatives, Resolution Docket 2747.

> "Resolved by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the United States House of Representatives, that, in accordance with Section 110 of the River and Harbor Act of 1962, the Secretary of the Army is requested to survey the coast of Louisiana in Cameron, Calcasieu, and Vermilion Parishes with particular reference to the advisability of providing hurricane protection and storm damage reduction and related purposes to include the feasibility of constructing an armored 12-foot levee along the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway."

 The NER purpose was recommended in the 2005 Chief's Report for the Louisiana Coastal Area (LCA) Ecosystem Restoration Program.

> The Chenier Plain Freshwater and Sediment Management and Allocation Reassessment Study was one of six large-scale restoration concepts to "significantly restore environmental conditions that existed prior to largescale alteration of the natural ecosystem." The LCA Program was authorized in Title VII of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007.





Study Objectives

- 1. Reduce the risk of damages and losses from hurricane and storm surge flooding in southwest Louisiana.
- 2. Manage tidal flows to improve drainage and prevent salinity from exceeding 2 ppt for fresh marsh and 6 ppt for intermediate marsh.
- 3. Increase wetland productivity in southwest coastal Louisiana in fresh and intermediate marshes to maintain function by reducing time that water levels exceed marsh surfaces.
- 4. Reduce shoreline erosion and stabilize canal banks in southwest coastal Louisiana areas to protect adjacent wetlands.
- Restore landscapes, including marsh, shoreline, and cheniers in southwest coastal Louisiana, to maintain their function as wildlife habitat and improve their ability to serve as protective barriers.



National Economic Development Tentatively Selected Plan

Water Resources Development Act of 2007, Title VII

9



BUILDING STRONG

NED Measures Analyzed

- Earthen levees.
- Floodwalls.
- Ring levees.
- Floodgates.
- Highway and levee armoring.
- Nonstructural measures, including flood proofing, structure relocations, flood warning and preparedness system, and regulating flood plain uses.



BUILDING STRONG

Screening of NED Measures

- Preliminary costs.
- Preliminary benefits.
- Net benefits less than zero are screened out.



Focused Array of NED Alternatives

- Plan 0: No Action Plan
- Plan 1: Lake Charles Eastbank Levee
- Plan 2: Lake Charles Westbank/Sulphur Extended Levee
- Plan 3: Lake Charles Westbank/Sulphur South Levee
- Plan 4: Delcambre/Erath Levee
- Plan 5: Abbeville Levee
- Plan 6: Abbeville to Delcambre Levee (Hwy 330)
- Plan 7: Nonstructural Plan (subset of reaches)



Plan 8: Nonstructural Plan (all reaches)



Lake Charles Alignments



Abbeville/Delcambre/Erath



Abbeville to Delcambre Combined



Comparing and Screening Structural Plans

- Screened based on 50, 100, and 200 year levels of risk reduction.
- Increased resolution of damage assessments.
- Determined interest during construction using construction costs with expenditure schedules.
- Accounted for subsidence and intermediate RSLR with levee lifts.

16



Nonstructural Plan Analysis

- Structures with first floor elevations (FFE) below 100 year event were identified and considered.
- Costs compared to damages at the reach level.
- Economic justification determined by comparison of average annual benefits to average annual costs.



Economically justified 11 of 90 reaches.



Justified Reaches



Net Benefits of the Focused Array

Alternatives	50 Yr (\$M)	100 Yr (\$M)	200 Yr (\$M)
Plan 1: Lake Charles Eastbank	-14.0	-17.1	-37.9
Plan 2: Lake Charles Westbank - Sulphur Extended	-5.0	-5.2	-8.4
Plan 3: Lake Charles Westbank - Sulphur South	-17.7	-20.4	-25.5
Plan 4: Delcambre/Erath	-4.4	-5.8	-8.5
Plan 5: Abbeville	-8.4	-7.3	-11.1
Plan 6: Abbeville to Delcambre (Hwy 330)	-10.3	-8.2	-10.2
Plan 7: Nonstructural (Justified Reaches Plan)	N/A	4.3	N/A
Plan 8: Nonstructural (100-Year Floodplain Plan)	N/A	-64.3	N/A

Draft NED Tentatively Selected Plan

- 11 nonstructural reaches
 - ► 3,915 total structures
- Structural alignments
 - No alignments with positive benefit-cost ratio
- Preliminary Estimated Cost: \$419 million



Potential Nonstructural Features

- Non-Structural Measures include but are not limited to:
 - Elevation of residential structures up to 13 ft BFE
 - Acquisition/buy-out of residential structures if:
 - Would require elevation over 13 feet
 - Are too fragile for elevation
 - Value of structure is less than cost of elevation
 - Physical relocation of structures
 - Construction of small floodwalls, ring levees, and berms (3-7 ft high)
 - Dry flood proofing of commercial and public structures
- CPRA
- Enforcement of building code requirements



Nonstructural Program General Requirements

(details not yet fully developed)

- Property owner participation is completely voluntary
- Property owners will need to provide clear title
- Property will be appraised
- Property will be inspected for HTRW/structural and decision made as to what will be done (elevation, buy-out, other measures)
- Easement will be acquired allowing entry/construction and prohibiting future construction below the 100 yr flood elevation
- Because the program is voluntary, the law does not allow temporary relocation assistance for property owners (49 CFR Part 24).
- Decision will be made whether to request that Congress consider providing authorization to provide relocation assistance to owners whose homes are being elevated or acquired.





National Ecosystem Restoration Tentatively Selected Plan

Water Resources Development Act of 2007, Title VII





NER Measures

- Marsh Restoration
- Bank and Shoreline Protection/Stabilization
- Hydrologic and Salinity Control Structures
- Chenier Reforestation
- Oyster Reef Preservation





Initial Array of NER Alternatives

- Hydrologic and Salinity Control: 49 Features
- Marsh Restoration: 52 Features
- Shoreline Protection/Stabilization: 50 Features
- Chenier Reforestation: 14 Features
- Oyster Reef Preservation: 10 Features
- Integrated Restoration Across Basins: Numerous features from previous 5 categories



Initial Array Feature Screening

- Reinforcement of Critical Landscape Features
- Reinforcement of Critical Infrastructure
- Synergy with other Projects
- Scarcity/Diversity
- Robustness/Sustainability
- Implementability Issues



WVA's



Focused Array of NER Strategies

- 0. No Action Plan
- 1. Large Integrated Restoration (SMP)
- 2. Moderate Integrated Restoration (Hydrologic Emphasis) (SMP)
- 3. Moderate Integrated Restoration including Gum Cove (SMP)
- 4. Small Integrated Restoration (SMP)
- 5. Interior Perimeter Control
- 6. Marsh and Shoreline (Minimal Hydrologic & Salinity Control)
- 7. Entry Salinity Control (Measure H&S #7, alt A)



Focused Array Screening

- IWR Cost Effectiveness/Incremental Cost Analysis (Assess Alternative Performance)
- Habitat Unit Benefits (Wetland Value Assessments)
- Preliminary Costs (including O+M)
- Navigation Costs (Alternative Plan A, Calcasieu Ship Channel Salinity Control Feature)





CE/ICA Analysis (Low Nav Cost)



Identifying the NER TSP

- Alternative CM-4 is the NER TSP.
- Alternative Plan M-4 is a "Best Buy" plan, however it does not address the entire system and therefore does not meet study objectives.
- Alternative Plan CMA-4, is a "Best Buy" plan, and has the potential to provide significant additional environmental benefits, however:
 - Due to the potential navigation impacts it come with significant additional risk to regional commerce.
 - The extra benefits must also be purchased at significant additional cost.



NER TSP

- Nine marsh restoration features
 - Create 8,579 ac & nourish 4,026 ac, resulting in net 8,714 acres.

Two hydrologic and salinity control measures

Create 6,092 net acres.

Five shoreline protection measures

- ▶ Protect 5,509 net acres that span 266,884 linear feet.
- Preservation of Sabine Lake oyster reef

Chenier reforestation program

- ▶ Plant trees on 1,413 ac in Cameron & Vermilion parishes.
- Preliminary Estimated Cost: \$1.1B







National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA)

Ensures environmental information is available to the public and decision-makers **before** decisions are made and **before** actions are taken.



Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)

Southwest Coastal Louisiana



Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement



Whenever a major Federal action significantly affects the environment, a <u>detailed</u> <u>environmental impact</u> <u>statement</u> of the proposed action shall be prepared.





Providing Public Comments

- Verbal or written comments are accepted tonight and by the following means:
 - E-mail <u>SWCoastalAdmin@usace.army.mil</u>
 - Letter postmarked no later than Jan 26, 2014, to: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New Orleans District ATTN: Sandra Stiles P.O. Box 60267 New Orleans, LA 70160-0267





Formal Submission of Public Comments



BUILDING STRONG®