## Inner Harbor Navigational Canal Lock Replacement- Shallow Draft GRR

### Annex 3: COST ENGINEERING

### February 4th, 2016

Prepared by MVN ED Cost Section

# INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK REPLACEMENT (SHALLOW DRAFT LOCK) FEASIBILITY STUDY

### COST ENGINEERING APPENDIX

### Contents

Cost Estimate	
Section 1.	Cost estimate development
Section 2.	Estimate Structure:
Section 3.	Bid competition:
Section 4.	Contract Acquisition Strategy:
Section 5.	Labor Shortages:
Section 6.	Labor Rates:
Section 7.	Materials:
Section 8.	Equipment:
Section 9.	Fuel:
Section 10.	Crews:
Section 11.	Unit Prices:
Section 12.	Relocation Cost:
Section 13.	Mobilization:
Section 14.	Field Office Overhead:
Section 15.	Overhead assumptions may include:
Section 16.	Home Office Overhead:
Section 17.	Taxes:
Section 18.	Bond:7
Section 19.	E&D and S&A:
Section 20.	Contingencies:
Section 21.	Escalation:7
Section 22.	HTRW:
Section 23.	Cost Estimates for Final Array of Alternatives:
Schedule	

#### **Cost Estimate**

#### Section 1. Cost estimate development

a) The project cost estimate was developed in the MCACES MII cost estimating software and used the standard approaches for a feasibility estimate structure regarding labor, equipment, materials, crews, unit prices, quotes, sub- and prime contractor markups. This philosophy was taken wherever practical within the time constraints. It was supplemented with estimating information from other sources where necessary such as the previous report from 1997, quotes, bid data, and A-E estimates. The intent was to provide or convey a "fair and reasonable" estimate that which depicts the local market conditions. The estimates assume a typical application of tiering subcontractors. Given the long time over which this project/program is to be constructed and the unknown economic status during that time, demands from non-governmental civil works projects were not considered to dampen the competition and increase prices.

#### Section 2. Estimate Structure:

a) The estimate is structured to reflect the projects performed. The estimate has been subdivided by USACE feature codes that include levees, floodwalls, a lock structure, pipeline relocations, and a bridge.

Section 3. Bid competition:

a) It is assumed that there will not be an economically saturated market and that bidding competition will be present.

Section 4. Contract Acquisition Strategy:

a) It is assumed that the contract acquisition strategy will be similar to past projects with large unrestricted design/bid/build contracts. There are no declared contract acquisition plan/types at this time.

Section 5. Labor Shortages:

a) It is assumed there will be a normal labor market.

#### Section 6. Labor Rates:

a) Local labor market wages are above the local Davis-Bacon Wage Determination and actual rates have been used. This is based upon local information and payroll data received from the New Orleans District Construction Representatives and estimators with experiences in past years.

#### Section 7. Materials:

- a) Cost quotes are used on major construction items when available. Recent quotes may include concrete, steel and concrete piling, rock, gravel and sand. Assumptions include:
  - i) Borrow Materials will be purchased as part of the construction contract. The estimate does anticipate contractor furnished materials for borrow. Prices include delivery of materials.
  - ii) Concrete will be purchased from commercial batch plants.

iii) Steel – Material will be fabricated by know suppliers.

- b) The borrow quantity calculations followed the MVN Geotechnical guidance:
- c) Hauled Levee: 10 BCY of borrow material = 12 LCY hauled = 8 ECY compacted.
- d) An assumed average one-way haul distance of 21 miles was used based upon the local Contractor Furnished pit.
- e) Haul speeds are estimated using 40 mph speed average given the long distances and rural areas.
- f) Rock and stone The New Orleans delta area has no rock sources. Historically, rock is barged from northern sources on the Mississippi River. This decision is based upon local knowledge, experience and supported with cost quotes.

#### Section 8. Equipment:

- a) Rates used are based from the latest USACE EP-1110-1-8, Region III. Adjustments are made for fuel and facility capital cost of money (FCCM). Judicious use of owned verses rental rates was considered based on typical contractor usage and local equipment availability. Only a few select pieces of marine \ marsh equipment are considered rental. Full FCCM/Cost of Money rate is latest available; MII program takes EP recommended discount, no other adjustments have been made to the FCCM.
  - i) Trucking: The estimate assumed independent self-employed trucking subcontractors due to the large numbers of trucks required.
  - ii) Dozers: dozers of the D-5/D-6 variety were chosen based on historical knowledge. Heavier equipment gets mired in the mud and soft soils.
  - iii) Rental Rates: Rental rates were used for marsh equipment where rental is typical such as marsh backhoes.

#### Section 9. Fuel:

a) Fuels (gasoline, on and off-road diesel) were based on local market averages for on-road and off-road for the Gulf Coast area. The Team found that fuels fluctuate irrationally; thus, used an average.

#### Section 10. Crews:

a) Major crew and productivity rates were developed and studied by senior USACE estimators familiar with the type of work. All of the work is typical to the New Orleans District. The crews and productivities were checked by local MVN estimators, discussions with contractors and comparisons with historical cost data. Major crews include clearing and grubbing, hauling, earthwork, piling and concrete.

b) Most crew work hours are assumed to be 10 hrs 6 days/wk which is typical to the area.

#### Section 11. Unit Prices:

a) The unit prices found within the various project estimates will fluctuate within a range between similar construction units such as floodwall concrete, earthwork, and piling. Variances are a result of differing haul distances, small or large business markups, subcontracted items, designs and estimates by others.

#### Section 12. Relocation Cost:

a) Relocation costs are defined as the relocation of utilities required for project purposes. In cases where potential significant impacts were known, costs were included within the cost estimate. The St. Claude Bridge is to be relocated as part of this project. Costs related to relocations were taken from the '97 report and escalated to current prices due to constraints in time.

#### Section 13. Mobilization:

a) Contractor mobilization and demobilization are based on the assumption that most of the contractors will be coming from within the Gulf Coast/Southern region. Mob/demob costs are based on historical studies of detailed Government estimate mob/demobs which averaged 4.9 to 5% of the construction costs. With undefined acquisition strategies and assumed individual project limits for the large number of potential contracts in this program, the estimate utilizes a more comprehensive approx. 5% value applied at each contract rather than risking minimizing mob/demob costs by detailing costs based on an assumed number of contracts. The 5% value also matches well with the 5% value previously prescribed by Walla Walla District, which has studied historical rates.

Section 14. Field Office Overhead:

a) The estimate used a field office overhead rate of 7% for the prime contractors at budget level development. Based on historical studies and experience, Walla Walla District has recommended typical rates ranging from 7% to 11% for large civil works projects; however, the range does not consider possible incentives such as camps, allowances, travel trailers, meals, etc. which have been used previously to facilitate projects. With undefined acquisition strategies and assumed individual project limits for the multiple number of potential contracts in this program, the estimate utilizes a more comprehensive percentage based approach applied at each contract rather than risking minimizing overhead costs by detailing costs based on an assumed number of contracts. The applied rates were previously discussed among numerous USACE District cost engineers including Walla Walla, Vicksburg, Norfolk, Huntington, St. Paul and New Orleans.

#### Section 15. Overhead assumptions may include:

a) Superintendent, office manager, pickups, periodic travel, costs, communications, temporary offices (contractor and government), office furniture, office supplies, computers and software, as-built drawings and minor designs, tool trailers, staging setup, camp and kitchen maintenance and utilities, utility service, toilets, safety equipment, security and

fencing, small hand and power tools, project signs, traffic control, surveys, temp fuel tank station, generators, compressors, lighting, and minor miscellaneous.

Section 16. Home Office Overhead:

a) Estimate percentages range based upon consideration of 8(a), small business and unrestricted prime contractors. The rates are based upon estimating and negotiating experience, and consultation with local construction representatives. The applied rates were previously discussed among numerous USACE District cost engineers including Walla Walla, Vicksburg, Norfolk, Huntington, St. Paul and New Orleans.

Section 17. Taxes:

a) Local taxes will be applied, using an average between the parishes that contain the work. Reference the LA parish tax rate website: http://www.laota.com/pta.htm

Section 18. Bond:

a) Bond is assumed 1% applied against the prime contractor, assuming large contracts.

Section 19. E&D and S&A:

- a) USACE Costs to manage design (PED) and construction (S&A) are based on New Orleans District Programmatic Cost Estimate guidance:
  - i) Planning, Engineering & Design (PED): The PED cost includes such costs as project management, engineering, planning, designs, investigations, studies, reviews, value engineering and engineering during construction (EDC). Historically New Orleans District has used an approximate 12% rate for E&D/EDC, applied against the estimated construction costs. Other USACE civil works districts such as St. Paul, Memphis and St. Louis have reported values ranging from 10-15%. Additional costs were added for project management, engineering, planning, designs, investigations, studies, reviews, value engineering. Specific PED costs were originally calculated and then that same percentage was carried forward on all future updates.
  - ii) Supervision & Administration (S&A): Historically, New Orleans District used a range from 5% to 15% depending on project size and type applied against the estimated construction costs. Other USACE civil works districts such as St. Paul, Memphis and St. Louis report values ranging from 7.5-10%. Consideration includes that a portion of the S&A effort could be performed by contractors. Based on discussions with MVN Construction Division, an S&A cost based on contract durations was developed. Specific S&A costs were originally calculated and then that same percentage was carried forward on all future updates.

Section 20. Contingencies:

a) Contingencies were developed using the USACE Abbreviated Cost Risk Analysis (ARA) cost related risks. See summary in Cost Schedule Risk Analysis (CSRA) section.

Section 21. Escalation:

 a) Escalation used in the TPCS is based upon the US Army Corps of Engineers Engineering Manual (EM) 1110-2-1304 Civil Works Construction Cost Index System (CWCCIS) revised 30 Sept 2015.

Section 22. HTRW:

a) The estimate includes no costs for any potential Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste (HTRW) concerns. Some material to be removed from the canal is assumed to be contaminated and will be contained in a Confined Disposal Facility (CDF).

Section 23. Cost Estimates for Final Array of Alternatives:

a) The preliminary cost estimates were developed during the planning process as a means of evaluating each restoration alternative and for use with the Institute for Water Resources (IWR) analysis. The costs estimated for all alternatives are shown on the section Alternative Formulation Cost Summary. Please note these preliminary costs estimates were used for planning purposes only and do not represent a fully funded costs estimate. These costs include contingencies calculated using the MCX Abbreviated Cost Risk Analysis program.

#### Schedule

a) The project schedule was developed based on the construction of the individual features of work which includes the dredging of a channel bypass, canal excavation, construction of a cofferdam, the new IHNC lock, a temporary and new bridge for St Claude, earthen levees and floodwalls.

### Alternative Formulation Cost Summary

		Abbreviated Risk Analysis									
	Project (less than \$40M) Project Development Stage/Alternative	: Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock Replace Alternative Formulation	eme	nt	Alternative			: 110' x 1,200' Lock -22 Draft			
	Risk Category	High Risk: Complex Project or Unique Type	Cor	istruction		Meeting Date:	to t	o meeting due ime constraints.			
		Total Estimated Construction Contract Cost =	\$	517,488,140							
	CWWBS	Feature of Work	<u>Cc</u>	ontract Cost		% Contingency	<u>.</u>	6 Contingency	<u>Total</u>		
	01 LANDS AND DAMAGES	Real Estate State	\$	633,000		27.17%	\$	172,000 \$	805,000		
1	02 RELOCATIONS	Relocations	\$	72,038,965		68.69%	\$	49,482,020 \$	121,520,985		
2	05 LOCKS	Locks	\$	310,888,617		54.43%	\$	169,229,548 \$	480,118,165		
3	09 CHANNELS AND CANALS (Except Navigation Ports and Harbors)	Channels and Canals	\$	25,010,512		34.69%	\$	8,676,665 \$	33,687,177		
4	11 LEVEES AND FLOODWALLS	Levees and Floodwalls	\$	109,502,307		29.02%	\$	31,774,766 \$	141,277,073		
5						0.00%	\$	- \$	-11		
6						0.00%	\$	- \$	Já		
7						0.00%	\$	- \$	-		
8						0.00%	\$	- \$	1		
9						0.00%	\$	- \$	-		
10			s			0.00%	\$	- \$	- 1		
11			s			0.00%		- \$			
12	All Other	Remaining Construction Items	\$	47,739	0.0%	10.00%	\$	4,774 \$	52,512		
13	30 PLANNING, ENGINEERING, AND DESIGN	Planning, Engineering, & Design	\$	62,098,577		15.15%	\$	9,410,004 \$	71,508,581		
14	31 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	Construction Management	\$	51,748,814		14.41%	\$	7,456,518 \$	59,205,332		
хх	FIXED DOLLAR RISK ADD (EQUALLY DISPERSED TO ALL, MUST	INCLUDE JUSTIFICATION SEE BELOW)					\$				
		Totals									
		Real Estate	\$	633,000		27.17%	\$	172,000 \$	805,000.00		
		Total Construction Estimate	\$	517,488,140		50.08%	\$	259,167,774 \$	776,655,913		
		Total Construction Management	¢	62,098,577 51 748 814		15.15%	\$	9,410,004 \$ 7,456,518 ¢	/1,508,581		
			φ	51,748,814		14.41%	\$	1,400,018 \$	59,205,332		
		Total \$	\$	631,968,530		44%	\$	276,206,296 \$	908,174,826		
						Base	-	50%	80%		
		_	R	ange Estimate (\$0	00's)	\$631,969k	<	\$797,693k	\$908,175k		
	Fixed Dollar Biok Adds (Allassa fax additional data to b				_			50% based on base is at 5% CL.			
	added to the risk analysis. Must include justification.										
	Does not allocate to Real Estate.										

		Abbreviated Risk Analysis								
	Project (less than \$40M) Project Development Stage/Alternative	: Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock Replace Alternative Formulation	emen	nt	Alternative: 75' x 1,200' Lock -22 Draft					
	Risk Category	r. High Risk: Complex Project or Unique Type (	Cons	struction		Meeting Date:	Nom	neeting due		
		Total Estimated Construction Contract Cost =	\$	501,620,170			e constraints.			
	CWWBS	Feature of Work	Cor	ntract Cost		% Contingency	<u>\$ C</u>	ontingency	<u>Total</u>	
	01 LANDS AND DAMAGES	Real Estate \$		633,000		27.17%	\$	172,000 \$	805,000	
_1	02 RELOCATIONS	Relocations \$	•	72,038,965		68.69%	\$	49,482,020 \$	121,520,985	
2	05 LOCKS	Locks \$	;	295,453,204		54.43%	\$	160,827,414 \$	456,280,618	
3	09 CHANNELS AND CANALS (Except Navigation Ports and Harbors)	Channels and Canals \$	\$	24,625,694		34.69%	\$	8,543,164 \$	33,168,857	
_4	11 LEVEES AND FLOODWALLS	Levees and Floodwalls \$	;	109,502,307		29.02%	\$	31,774,766 \$	141,277,073	
5		Set of Kall Performance and Antonia Providence and Antonia Pro				0.00%	\$	- \$	-	
_6						0.00%	\$	- \$	ā.	
7						0.00%	\$	- \$	-	
8						0.00%	\$	- \$	-	
9						0.00%	\$	- \$		
10		\$	5			0.00%	\$	- \$		
		\$	;			0.00%	\$	- \$		
12	All Other	Remaining Construction Items \$	;	-	0.0%	0.00%	\$	- \$	-	
13	30 PLANNING, ENGINEERING, AND DESIGN	Planning, Engineering, & Design \$	\$	60,194,420		15.15%	\$	9,121,461 \$	69,315,881	
14	31 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	Construction Management \$	\$	50,162,017		14.41%	\$	7,227,875 \$	57,389,892	
хх	FIXED DOLLAR RISK ADD (EQUALLY DISPERSED TO ALL, MUST	INCLUDE JUSTIFICATION SEE BELOW)					\$	-		
		Tatale								
		Real Estate \$	5	633,000		27.17%	\$	172,000 \$	805,000.00	
		Total Construction Estimate \$	è	501,620,170		49.96%	\$	250,627,364 \$	752,247,534	
		Total Planning, Engineering & Design \$	ž	60,194,420		15.15%	\$	9,121,461 \$	69,315,881	
		Total Construction Management \$	;	50,162,017		14.41%	\$	7,227,875 \$	57,389,892	
		Total \$	;	612,609,608		44%	\$	267,148,700 \$	879,758,308	
						Base		50%	80%	
		_	Ra	inge Estimate (\$00	)0's)	\$612,610k		\$772,899k	\$879,758k	
	Fixed Dollar Bisk Add: (Allows for additional risk to b						^ 50%	based on base is at 5% CL.	1	
	added to the risk analysis. Must include justification. Does not allocate to Real Estate.									

	Project (less than \$40M Project Development Stage/Alternative Risk Categor	Abbreviated Risk Analysis I): Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock Replac Alternative Formulation Y: High Risk: Complex Project or Unique Type	ent	Alternative: 110' x 900' Lock -22 Draft Meeting Date: No meeting due						
		Total Estimated Construction Contract Cost =	\$	510,881,526						
	CWWBS	Feature of Work	<u>C</u>	ontract Cost		<u>% Contingency</u>	<u>\$</u>	Contingency	<u>Total</u>	
	01 LANDS AND DAMAGES	Real Estate	\$	633,000		27.17%	\$	172,000 \$	805,000	
_1	02 RELOCATIONS	Relocations	\$	72,038,965		68.69%	\$	49,482,020 \$	121,520,985	
2	05 LOCKS	Locks	\$	281,011,422		54.43%	\$	152,966,153 \$	433,977,575	
3	09 CHANNELS AND CANALS (Except Navigation Ports and Harbors)	Channels and Canals	\$	24,453,506		34.69%	\$	8,483,428 \$	32,936,934	
4	11 LEVEES AND FLOODWALLS	Levees and Floodwalls	\$	109,502,307		29.02%	\$	31,774,766 \$	141,277,073	
5						0.00%	\$	- \$	ind.	
6						0.00%	\$	- \$		
7						0.00%	\$	- \$		
8						0.00%	\$	- \$	1_2	
9						0.00%	\$	- \$	1-10	
10			s			0.00%		- \$	-	
11			<u> </u>	2		0.00%	 \$	- \$	-	
12	All Other	Remaining Construction Items	\$	23,875,327	4.9%	10.00%	\$	2,387,533 \$	26,262,859	
13	30 PLANNING, ENGINEERING, AND DESIGN	Planning, Engineering, & Design	\$	61,305,783		15.15%	\$	9,289,869 \$	70,595,653	
14	31 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	Construction Management	\$	51,088,153		14.41%	\$	7,361,323 \$	58,449,475	
хх	FIXED DOLLAR RISK ADD (EQUALLY DISPERSED TO ALL, MUS	TINCLUDE JUSTIFICATION SEE BELOW)					\$	<b>a</b> -		
3										
		Real Estate Total Construction Estimate Total Planning, Engineering & Design Total Construction Management	\$ \$ \$	633,000 510,881,526 61,305,783 51,088,153		27.17% 47.97% 15.15% 14.41%	\$ \$ \$ \$	172,000 \$ 245,093,901 \$ 9,289,869 \$ 7,361,323 \$	805,000.00 755,975,427 70,595,653 58,449,475	
		Total	\$	623,908,462		42% Base	\$	261,917,093 \$	885,825,555	
		-		Range Estimate (\$0	)00's)	\$623,908	ĸ	\$781,059k	\$885,826k	
9	Fixed Dollar Risk Add: (Allows for additional risk to )	pe					* 50	0% based on base is at 5% CL.		
1	added to the risk analysis. Must include justification									

		Abbreviated Risk Analysis								
	Project (less than \$40M Project Development Stage/Alternative	): Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock Replac : Alternative Formulation	em:	ent		Alternative:	: 110' x 1,200' Lock -16 Draft			
	Risk Categor	/: High Risk: Complex Project or Unique Type	e Co	onstruction		Meeting Date:	No	meeting due		
							to tir	ne constraints.		
		Total Estimated Construction Contract Cost =	\$	508,397,421						
	CWWBS	Feature of Work	<u>_</u>	Contract Cost		% Contingency	<u>\$</u>	Contingency	<u>Total</u>	
	01 LANDS AND DAMAGES	Real Estate	\$	633,000		27.17%	\$	172,000 \$	805,000	
_1	02 RELOCATIONS	Relocations	\$	72,038,965		68.69%	\$	49,482,020 \$	121,520,985	
2	05 LOCKS	Locks	\$	302,214,326		54.43%	\$	164,507,772 \$	466,722,098	
3	09 CHANNELS AND CANALS (Except Navigation Ports and Harbors)	Channels and Canals	\$	24,641,823		34.69%	\$	8,548,759 \$	33,190,582	
4	11 LEVEES AND FLOODWALLS	Levees and Floodwalls	\$	109,502,307		29.02%	\$	31,774,766 \$	141,277,073	
5				London Andrea		0.00%	\$	- \$		
_6						0.00%	\$	- \$		
_7						0.00%	\$	- \$	8	
8						0.00%	\$	- \$	-	
9						0.00%	\$	- \$	-	
10			\$	-		0.00%	\$	- \$	-	
11			\$			0.00%	\$	- \$	-	
12	All Other	Remaining Construction Items	\$	(0)	0.0%	0.00%	\$	(0) \$	(0)	
13	30 PLANNING, ENGINEERING, AND DESIGN	Planning, Engineering, & Design	\$	61,007,691		15.15%	\$	9,244,698 \$	70,252,389	
14	31 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	Construction Management	\$	50,839,742		14.41%	\$	7,325,529 \$	58,165,271	
хх	FIXED DOLLAR RISK ADD (EQUALLY DISPERSED TO ALL, MUS	FINCLUDE JUSTIFICATION SEE BELOW)					\$			
		Totals								
		RealEstate	\$	633,000		27.17%	\$	172.000 \$	805,000.00	
		Total Construction Estimate	\$	508,397,421		50.02%	\$	254,313,318 \$	762,710,740	
		Total Planning, Engineering & Design	\$	61,007,691		15.15%	\$ ¢	9,244,698 \$	70,252,389	
		Total Construction Management	\$	50,839,742		14.41%	\$	1,325,529 \$	58,165,271	
		Total	\$	620,877,854		44%	\$	271,055,546 \$	891,933,400	
				B		Base		50%	80%	
		-		Range Estimate (\$0	00'S)	\$620,878k		\$783,511k	\$891,933k	
		-					*5	0% based on base is at 5% CL.		

Fixed Dollar Risk Add: (Allows for additional risk to be added to the risk analysis. Must include justification. Does not allocate to Real Estate.

	Project (less than \$40M	): Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock Replac	Alternative: 110' x 1.200' Lock -22 Draft											
	Project Development Stage/Alternative Risk Categor	Alternative Formulation /: High Risk: Complex Project or Unique Type	Alternative Formulation High Risk: Complex Project or Unique Type Construction						Meeting Date: No meeting due					
							to tir	ne constraints.						
		Total Estimated Construction Contract Cost =	\$	517,488,140										
~	CWWBS	Feature of Work	<u>C</u>	ontract Cost	<u>%</u>	Contingency	<u>\$</u>	Contingency	Ţ	otal				
	01 LANDS AND DAMAGES	Real Estate	\$	633,000		27.17%	\$	172,000 \$	Ê	805,000				
_1	02 RELOCATIONS	Relocations	\$	72,038,965		68.69%	\$	49,482,020 \$		121,520,985				
2	05 LOCKS	Locks	\$	310,888,617		54.43%	\$	169,229,548 \$		480,118,165				
3	09 CHANNELS AND CANALS (Except Navigation Ports and Harbors)	Channels and Canals	\$	25,058,250		34.69%	\$	8,693,227 \$		33,751,477				
4	11 LEVEES AND FLOODWALLS	Levees and Floodwalls	\$	109,502,307		29.02%	\$	31,774,766 \$		141,277,073				
5						0.00%	\$	- \$	í.	-				
6						0.00%	\$	- \$	8	. <del></del> 6				
_7						0.00%	\$	- \$		8				
8						0.00%	\$	- \$		14 M				
9						0.00%	\$	- \$	Ĩ.					
10			\$	21 <b>-</b>		0.00%	\$	- \$	Ő	-3				
11			\$			0.00%	\$	- \$		-				
12	All Other	Remaining Construction Items	\$	-	0.0%	0.00%	\$	- \$	č	-2				
13	30 PLANNING, ENGINEERING, AND DESIGN	Planning, Engineering, & Design	\$	62,098,577		15.15%	\$	9,410,004 \$		71,508,581				
14	31 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	Construction Management	\$	51,748,814		14.41%	\$	7,456,518 \$	6	59,205,332				
хх	FIXED DOLLAR RISK ADD (EQUALLY DISPERSED TO ALL, MUS	FINCLUDE JUSTIFICATION SEE BELOW)					\$							
		Totals												
		RealEstate	\$	633,000		27.17%	\$	172,000 \$		805,000.00				
		Total Construction Estimate	\$	517,488,140		50.08%	\$	259,179,561 \$		776,667,701				
		Total Planning, Engineering & Design	\$ €	62,098,577 51 748 814		15.15%	9 4	9,410,004 \$ 7,456,519 \$		71,508,581				
			Ψ	51,740,014		14.4170	φ	7,400,010 \$	3	00,200,002				
		Total	\$	631,968,530		44%	\$	2/6,218,083 \$		908,186,614				
			2	Range Estimate (\$0	00's)	5631 969k	·I	\$797 700kl		50% \$908 187⊭				
		-	λ.			400 I,000K	*5	D% based on base is at 5% CL.		4000,1071				

Fixed Dollar Risk Add: (Allows for additional risk to be	
added to the risk analysis. Must include justification.	
Does not allocate to Real Estate.	

#### Abbreviated Risk Analysis

### Total Project Cost Summary (TPCS)

This section will present the Total Project Cost Sheet for the Tentatively Selected Plan.

**MII Cost Estimate** 

This section will present the MII Cost Report Summary for the Tentatively Selected Plan.

**Project Construction Schedule** 

IHNC Shallow Draf	ft					Classic Sched	lule Layout							29-Jan-16 14:02
Activity ID	Activity Name	Original Start	Finish	Total	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
		Duration		Float	Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1	1 Q2 Q3 Q4 (	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2 Q3	Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3	Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3	Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4	Q1 Q2 Q3	3 Q4 Q1 Q2 23
Total		3596 01-Oct-19	05-Aug-29	0						1111111111			111111111	
IHNC S	hallow Draft	3596 01-Oct-19	05-Aug-29	0										
Reloca	ations	3596 01-Oct-19	05-Aug-29	0	<u></u>				<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	
A12	10 St. Claude Temporary Bridge	913 01-Oct-19	01-Apr-22	0			St. Claude Ter	mporary Bridge						
A12:	20 St Claude Permanent Bridge	1095 06-Aug-26	05-Aug-29	0										
Locks		1628 28-Jan-20	14-Jul-24	1848					✔ 14	Jul-24, Locks			rininin	rittittit
A104	40 Mobilization and Submitals	45 28-Jan-20	13-Mar-20	1848	Hobilization and Si	ubmitals								
A104	45 Temp Dolphins	2 13-Mar-20	15-Mar-20	1848	Temp: Dolphins									
A105	50 Cofferdam (750 days / 2 crews)	200 15-Mar-20	01-Oct-20	1848	Coffere	dami (750 days / 2 d	ews)							
A106	60 Earthwork (550 Days / 2 crews)	275 01-Oct-20	03-Jul-21	1848	-	Earthwork	(550 Days / 2 crew	vs)						
A107	70 Pile driving (889 Days / 2 crews)	445 03-Jul-21	21-Sep-22	1848			Pile	driving (889 Days / 2	crews)					
A108	80 Concrete	185 21-Sep-22	25-Mar-23	1848			Filler	Concrete						
A108	85 Buildings and Control House	200 25-Mar-23	11-Oct-23	1848				Bu	Idings and Conti	rol House				
A109	90 Install gates	14 27-Sep-23	11-Oct-23	1848				• <b>⊳</b> [≠h	tall gates					
A109	95 Remove Temp Structures	125 11-Oct-23	13-Feb-24	1848				F	Remove Te	mp Structures				
A110	00 Timber Walls	150 13-Feb-24	12-Jul-24	1848					Tirr	nber Walls				
A111	0 Demobilization	2 12-Jul-24	14-Jul-24	1848					🛏 De	mobilization				
Chann	nels and Canals	2521 01-Oct-19	25-Aug-26	1075								25-Aug-26, Channels an	d Canals	
New	/ Lock Channel Excavation	120 01-Oct-19	28-Jan-20	1848	28-Jan-20, New Loci	k Channel Excavat	ion IIIIIIIIIIIII							
A	1000 Mobilization	30 01-Oct-19	31-Oct-19	1848	Mobilization									
A	1005 CDF Retention Dikes	68 31-Oct-19	07-Jan-20	1848	CDF Retention Dikes									
A	1010 New Lock Channel and Bypass Dredg	20 07-Jan-20	27-Jan-20	1848	New Lock Channel a	nd Bypass Driedgin	<b>4</b>							
A	1030 Demobilization	2 27-Jan-20	28-Jan-20	1848	H Demobilization									
Old	Lock Channel Excavation	115 03-May-26	25-Aug-26	1075								25-Aug-26, Old Lock Ch	annel Excavatio	n
A	1230 Mobilization	30 03-May-26	02-Jun-26									Mization		
A	1240 New Lock Channel and Bypass Dredg	5 02-Jun-26	07-Jun-26	4075							Nev	Deck Channel and Bypa	s Dreaging	
A	1260 Bank Stabilization	78 07-Jun-26	24-Aug-26	10/5								Bank Stabilization		
		2 24-Aug-26	20-Aug-20	1075								Demobilization	looduralla	
A113	20 Mobilization and Submittals	30 01-Apr-22	01-May-20	1032			Mobilization	and Submittals					QOUWAIIS	
A112	30 Dile tests	30 01-Max+22	31-May-22	- 0	******			and oubmittais				*****	.+	ri-i-ri-i-ri-r-r-
A114	40 Embankment	35 31-May-22	05. Jul 22	0			Embank	ment						
A114	50 Pile driving	280 05- Jul-22	11-Apr-23	0			C.	Pile driving						
A116	Concrete Floodwall (328 Monoliths)	1148 11-Apr-23	02-Jun-26	0				C			Con	crete Floodwall (328 Mon	oliths	
A118	B0 Demolition of existing Eloodwall	35 07-Jun-26	12-Jul-26	1117							<b>≻⊓</b> p	emolition of existing Flood	wall	
A119	20 Demolition of Existing Buildings	60 07-Jun-26	06-Aug-26	0	****		*****		*********	**********		Demolition of Existing Built	dinas	r 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
A120	00 Demobilization	3 06-Aug-26	09-Aug-26	1092								Demobilization		
						Dage 1	of 1							
Actual	Work Critical Remaining Wor	k Summary				Fayer				Ter Us	Army Corps of	Engineers		
Remai	ning Work • • Milestone									Tea	am New Orleans		BUILDING ST	TRONG

## Cost and Schedule Risk Analysis (CSRA)

A Cost and Schedule Risk analysis will be prepared alongside a report once a Tentatively Selected Plan has been chosen. This section will serve as a summary of the Risk report.