

VALUE ENGINEERING PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL NO: S-10

PAGE NO: 1 OF 5

DESCRIPTION Move Control House Off Lock Wall, Reduce Lock Wall Thickness

ORIGINAL DESIGN:

The existing design calls for control houses at each of the four corners of the lock wall 10-ft. from the end of each lock wall. The lock wall thickness at these locations is shown as 23 ft. versus a 10 ft. wall thickness on the other side of the end of the gate bay monolith (reference sections C, J, & D on Drawings Nos. 1, 2, & 3).

PROPOSED DESIGN:

Recommend placing the control house either on a cantilevered section or on the esplanade adjacent to the gate bay monolith. This will allow the reduction of the lock wall thickness in this area from 23 ft. to 18 ft.

ADVANTAGES:

1. Reduction in concrete placement.
2. Reduction in wall construction cost.

DISADVANTAGES:

None

JUSTIFICATION:

This proposal provides the same basic function as the existing design at a reduced cost. The location of the control house should not control the thickness of the lock wall. The wall section is recommended to be reduced to 18-ft. leaving room to install the machinery pit. However, this section could possibly be reduced even further as shown in section D from the end of the machinery pit to the end of the monolith. No savings were calculated for this additional recommended change.

VALUE ENGINEERING PROPOSAL

PROPOSAL NO: S-10

PAGE NO: 2 OF 5

DRAWING NO. 1



