

CWPPRA PPL18 Technical Committee VOTE

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score	Phase I Fully Funded Cost	Cumulative Phase I Fully Funded Cost	Phase II Fully Funded Cost	Cumulative Phase II Fully Funded Cost
4	Cameron-Creole Freshwater Introduction	1	5	5	4	4	6	6	25	\$1,549,832	\$1,549,832	\$11,237,212	\$11,237,212
2	Grand Liard Marsh and Ridge Restoration	6	2	3	3	5	1	6	20	\$3,271,287	\$4,821,119	\$28,119,412	\$39,356,624
2	Bertrandville Siphon		6	6	6	6	4	5	28	\$2,129,816	\$6,950,935	\$20,448,462	\$59,805,086
3	Central Terrebonne Freshwater Enhancement	3	3		1	1	5	5	13	\$2,326,289	\$9,277,224	\$14,313,831	\$74,118,917
4	Freshwater Bayou Marsh Creation	2	4	2			3	4	11	\$2,858,613		\$27,719,682	
3	Northwest Vermilion Bay Vegetative Plantngs			1		3	2	3	6	\$380,054		\$2,181,991	
2	Pass a Loutre Restoration	4			5			2	9	\$2,552,365		\$31,830,944	
1	Bayou Bienvenue	5	1					2	6	\$3,647,522		\$35,316,663	
2	Elmer's Island Headland Restoration			4		2		2	6	\$2,998,224		\$29,344,250	
3	Terrebonne Bay SP/MC				2			1	2	\$2,497,021		\$30,223,504	
Total										\$24,211,023		\$230,735,951	

NOTES:

- Projects are sorted by: (1) "No. of Votes" and (2) "Sum of Point Score"

Lead Agency	Demonstration Project Name	Total Fully Funded Cost	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	TOTAL SCORE
NRCS	EcoSystems Wave Attenuator Demo	\$1,857,009							0
EPA	Benefits of Limited Design/Unconfined Beach Fill for Restoration of LA Barrier Islands Demo	\$1,828,708	1						1
NRCS	Non-Rock Alternatives to Shoreline Protection Demo	\$1,906,237		1	1	1	1	1	5
<b>Total</b>			<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>
			check	1	1	1	1	1	6

Voting Standards:

1. Each agency receives 1 vote. All votes must be cast.
2. Projects will be ranked by # of votes.