

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 8
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 31-Aug-2006	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) Dalmado
6. ISSUED BY USACE, CONTRACTING DIVISION ATTN: CEMVN-CT, ROOM 172 7400 LEAKE AVE. NEW ORLEANS LA 70118-3651	CODE W912P8	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W912P8-06-R-0194	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 18-Aug-2006	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The above numbered solicitation for West Bank and Vicinity, New Orleans, Louisiana, Hurricane Protection Project, Lake Cataouatche Levee Enlargement, Lake Cataouatche Levee Enlargement, Hwy 90 to Pump Station, Baseline Station 156+48 to 308+00 is amended as shown on the attached pages. (See Continuation Sheet) PROPOSAL DUE DATE AND TIME REMAINS UNCHANGED.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 31-Aug-2006	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

Block 12 Description of Amendment, Cont'd:

1. Replace Front sheet of the SF1442 form with the attached revised Front SF1442.
2. Delete SECTION 00130 in its entirety and replace with the attached SECTION 00130.
3. SECTION 02231, Page 5, Paragraph 3.4.5. Delete this paragraph in its entirety and substitute the following therefore:

3.4.5 Removal of Abandoned Drainage Structures

Drainage, CMP culverts structures, removed from within the designated limits of work shall be neatly stacked at the west access or the east access roads within the project ROW. The Contractor shall coordinate the exact placement location with the Contracting Officer. The Contractor shall contact the local sponsor for pick up of these materials for their disposal.

4. SECTION 02318, Page 2, Paragraph 1.3.3. In the 2nd line, change “New Drainage Canal Access Excavation” to “New Drainage Canal Excavation”.
5. DRAWINGS, SHEET IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 5, typical section 3. Extend the flood side limits of the fertilize, seed and mulch to the water's edge.

SOLICITATION, OFFER, AND AWARD <i>(Construction, Alteration, or Repair)</i>	1. SOLICITATION NO.	2. TYPE OF SOLICITATION	3. DATE ISSUED	PAGE OF PAGES
	W912P8-06-R-0194	<input type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID (IFB) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED (RFP)	08/18/06	1

IMPORTANT - The "offer" section on the reverse must be fully completed by offeror.

4. CONTRACT NO.	5. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUEST NO.	6. PROJECT NO.
7. ISSUED BY US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ORLEANS DISTRICT ATTN: CEMVN-CT, ROOM 172 7400 LEAKE AVE NEW ORLEANS, LA 70118-0267	CODE W912P8	8. ADDRESS OFFER TO SEE ITEM 7
9. FOR INFORMATION CALL:	a. NAME MICHELLE DALMADO	b. TELEPHONE <i>(include areade)</i> (504) 862-2874

SOLICITATION

NOTE: In sealed bid solicitations "offer" and "offeror" mean "bid and "bidder".

10. THE GOVERNMENT REQUIRES PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK DESCRIBED IN THESE DOCUMENTS (*Title, identifying no., date*)

See Letterhead page for Scope of Work

THIS IS AN UNRESTRICTED PROCUREMENT. FAX PROPOSALS WILL BE ACCEPTED AT (504) 862-2889, OR BY E-MAIL TO MICHELLE.R.DALMADO@MVN02.USACE.ARMY.MIL.

PROPOSALS ARE DUE ON 18 SEPTEMBER 2006 11:00 A.M. LOCAL TIME AND PLACE, NEW ORLEANS, LA

ORIGINAL PROPOSAL TO BE SENT VIA FEDEX/UPS NEXT DAY. SUBMISSION SHOULD INCLUDE SF1442, BID SCHEDULE(S), BID BOND, ANY AMENDMENTS, SECTION 00600 AND TECHNICAL PROPOSAL(S).

*See Section 00700, FAR 52.211-10, Commencement, Prosecution and Completion of Work, APR 1984

11. The Contractor shall begin performance 10 calendar days and complete it within 434 * calendar days after receiving award, notice to proceed. This performance period mandatory negotiable. (See _____.)

12a. THE CONTRACTOR MUST FURNISH ANY REQUIRED PERFORMANCE AND PAYMENT BONDS? <i>(If "YES," indicate within how many calendar days after award in Item 12b).</i>	12b. CALENDAR DAYS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	3

13. ADDITIONAL SOLICITATION REQUIREMENTS:

a. Sealed offers in original and 1 copies to perform the work required are due at the place specified in Item 8 1100 (hour) local time 09/18/06 (date). If this is a sealed bid solicitation, offers will be publicly opened at that time. Sealed envelopes containing offers shall be marked to show the offeror's name and address, the solicitation number, and the date and time offers are due.

b. An offer guarantee is, is not required.

c. All offers are subject to the (1) work requirements, and (2) other provisions and clauses incorporated in the solicitation in full text or by

d. Offers providing less than 30 calendar days for Government acceptance after the date offers are due will not be considered and will be rejected.

Section Table of Contents

Section 00130 - Proposal Evaluation Criteria

PART 1 GENERAL	1
1.1 SCOPE	1
1.2 SOURCE SELECTION USING THE TRADE-OFF PROCESS.....	1
1.3 RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF PRICE TO THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION FACTORS	1
1.4. TECHNICAL/QUALITY EVALUATION	1
1.5 BEST VALUE PROCUREMENT	2
1.6 RFP SUBMITTALS.....	3
PART 2 PRODUCTS (NOT USED).....	3
PART 3 EXECUTION (NOT USED).....	3

Section 00130 - Proposal Evaluation Criteria

PART 1 GENERAL

1.1 SCOPE

This is a Request for Proposal (RFP). Proposals will be evaluated by a Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB) comprised of representatives of the Corps of Engineers. Award will be made to that offeror, determined by the Government, who can accomplish the requirements set forth in the RFP in a timely manner most advantageous to the Government considering both cost and non-cost factors. The Government reserves the right to award this contract to other than the lowest price offeror after consideration of all factors.

1.2 SOURCE SELECTION USING THE TRADE-OFF PROCESS

The Government will select the offer that represents the best value to the Government by using the trade-off process described in FAR Part 15. This process permits tradeoffs between price and technical merit/quality and allows the Government to accept other than the lowest priced offer. The award decision will be based on a comparative assessment of proposals against all source selection criteria in the solicitation.

1.3 RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF PRICE TO THE TECHNICAL EVALUATION FACTORS

Except as noted in note 2 contained herein, all non-cost (i.e., technical) evaluation factors, when combined are more important than price. The Government is concerned with striking the most advantageous balance between Technical Merit (i.e., quality) and cost to the Government (i.e., price). Where competing technical proposals are determined to be substantially equal, duration and/or price could become the controlling factor.

1.4. TECHNICAL/QUALITY EVALUATION

The Government will evaluate each of the four non-cost factors and rate the proposals. Non-cost factors are not all equal in importance. The following terminology is used to describe the relative importance of each non-cost factor:

- (1) MORE SIGNIFICANT. This factor is of greater value than other factors. It is approximately twice as much as the next valued factor.
- (2) LESS SIGNIFICANT. This factor is approximately one-half (1/2) the value of the factor rated ahead of it.

(3) COMPARATIVELY EQUAL. The value is nearly the same as another factor; any difference is slight and unimportant.

1.5 BEST VALUE PROCUREMENT

The factors are listed in descending order of relative importance. Factors 1 and 2 are comparatively equal and are more significant when compared to factor 3. Factor 4 is less significant than 3 and factor 5 is less significant than factor 4.

(1) Past Performance. Offerors will be evaluated on the quality of similar work performed in the last TEN (10) YEARS using the evidence provided by the offeror and other sources for the prime and all subcontractors. Projects that are similar in scope, complexity and magnitude will provide better proof of the Offeror's capabilities. The Government reserves the right to check any or all cited references to verify supplied information and to assess owner satisfaction. The Government may also use other tools to gather information regarding an Offeror's qualifications and past performance. The offeror should provide information on any problems encountered on the identified contracts and the corrective actions taken. Offerors with no relevant performance history will receive a neutral rating in this factor.

(2) Technical Approach (see note 1). Offerors shall provide proposed plans to be used in accomplishing the construction of the flood protection system. The plan shall demonstrate a logical sequencing of all activities (including interdependencies of the activities) necessary to complete the work within the required performance period. The plan shall list: specific equipment available and proposed for use at each major activity, the daily production rate required, and the number of such crews proposed to work concurrently; the number of dump trucks contemplated for use in transporting material to all segments of the work and feasible plan for providing them; and identify required manpower (labor and skilled operators to staff each crew) and Offeror's plan to provide them through its own employees or specific available resources. The plan shall provide specific detail on strategy to accomplish the major activities of the work, including but not be limited to: the construction of the proposed site and levee access and haul roads; construction of the new drainage canal and backfill of the existing canal, including proposed locations of temporary crossings and lateral drainage canals; construction of the levee and embankment to full section between baseline stations 250+00 and 300+17 by May 1, 2007; the borrow and material processing operation; the haul plan. Offerors will be evaluated on their understanding of and capability to meet the work requirements.

(3) Duration. Duration will be evaluated based on the number of calendar days by which the offeror is proposing to reduce the contract duration from the Government provided duration. Offers reducing the contract duration by anything less than 90 days will not be considered substantially beneficial to the Government and will receive a neutral rating for this factor. Offerors who propose

the Government provided 434 calendar days will also receive a neutral rating for this factor.

(4) Personnel Experience. Offerors shall provide qualifications (to include resume, years of experience in position, list of similar projects, etc.) of available key personnel for both prime and sub-contractors. Offerors will be evaluated on combined experience to meet expertise requirements of the job. Evaluations will also consider the extent to which the plan demonstrates employment of a local workforce.

(5) Project Management (see note 1). Offerors shall provide details of their project management philosophy and what tools they will use to ensure the project stays on schedule. Additional consideration will be given to plans that provide details for regaining schedule in the face of unforeseen delays. Provide details demonstrating construction management for multiple concurrently operated construction sites.

(6) Price (see note 2)

1.6 RFP SUBMITTALS

Offeror's submitting proposals for this project should limit submissions to data essential for evaluation of proposals so that a minimum of time and monies will have been expended in preparing information required herein. However, in order to be effectively and equitably evaluated, the proposals must include information sufficiently detailed to clearly describe the Offeror's past performance, technical approach, personnel experience, and management capabilities to successfully complete the project

PART 2 PRODUCTS (NOT USED)

PART 3 EXECUTION (NOT USED)

NOTES:

1. This RFP contains an optional Bidding Schedule titled "Compressed Performance Period Bidding Schedule." In order to provide an acceptable bid utilizing this Bidding Schedule, the offeror must provide plans as specified in both paragraphs 1.5 (2) and (5) supporting the proposed performance period. Two separate technical approaches and project management plans should be submitted if proposing both the Government provided and a compressed contract duration period.

2. This RFP contains an optional Bidding Schedule titled "Compressed Performance Period Bidding Schedule." Upon consideration of this Bidding Schedule, price shall be evaluated as an evaluation factor based on the Government's subjective opinion of a cost benefit analysis of project schedule versus increased cost.

The proposal will be ranked and a competitive range will be established. All offerors in the competitive range will be required to conduct an oral presentation that will include discussions. Oral presentations will be limited to 30 minutes, with discussions to follow.