

CWPPRA PPL 26 Technical Committee VOTE

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
3	Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation	1	6		5	3	4	5	19
1	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection	5		4	6	1	2	5	18
1	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration	6	4	2			3	4	15
CW	Salvinia Weevil Propagation Facility		1		4	5	5	4	15
3	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing	3	3		2	6		4	14
2	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation	4		1	3	4		4	12
2	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation			6	1	2	1	4	10
3	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion		2	5			6	3	13
4	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation	2		3				2	5
4	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment		5					1	5

NOTES:

- Projects are sorted by: (1) "No. of Votes" and (2) "Sum of Point Score"

CWPPRA PPL 26 Technical Committee VOTE

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration	6						0	0
1	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection	5						0	0
2	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation							0	0
2	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation	4						0	0
3	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion							0	0
3	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing	3						0	0
3	Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation	1						0	0
4	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation	2						0	0
4	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
CW	Salvinia Weevil Propagation Facility							0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		check	21	21	21	21	21	36	126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 26 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest... 1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

CWPPRA PPL 26 Technical Committee VOTE

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration		4					0	0
1	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection							0	0
2	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation							0	0
2	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation							0	0
3	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion		2					0	0
3	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing		3					0	0
3	Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation		6					0	0
4	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation							0	0
4	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment		5					0	0
CW	Salvinia Weevil Propagation Facility		1					0	0

Billie
12/7/16

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
check 21 21 21 21 21 21 36 126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 26 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

CWPPRA PPL 26 Technical Committee VOTE

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration			2				0	0
1	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection			4				0	0
2	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation			6				0	0
2	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation			1				0	0
3	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion			5				0	0
3	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0
3	Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation							0	0
4	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation			3				0	0
4	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
CW	Salvinia Weevil Propagation Facility							0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
check		21	21	21	21	21	21	36	126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 26 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest... 1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

12-7-16

D. Clark

CWPPRA PPL 26 Technical Committee VOTE

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration				6	0		0	0
1	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection				6	6		0	0
2	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation				5	1		0	0
2	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation				7	3		0	0
3	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion				6	0		0	0
3	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing				6	2		0	0
3	Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation				9	5		0	0
4	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation							0	0
4	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
CW	Salvinia Weevil Propagation Facility				8	4		0	0

check 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 36 126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 26 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

CWPPRA PPL 26 Technical Committee VOTE

Reil
GH

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration							0	0
1	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection					1		0	0
2	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation					2		0	0
2	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation					4		0	0
3	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion							0	0
3	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing					6		0	0
3	Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation					3		0	0
4	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation							0	0
4	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
CW	Salvinia Weevil Propagation Facility					5		0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	check	21	21	21	21	21	21	36	126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 26 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest... 1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

W. M. J.

CWPPRA PPL 26 Technical Committee VOTE

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration						3	0	0
1	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection						2	0	0
2	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation						1	0	0
2	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation							0	0
3	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion						6	0	0
3	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0
3	Bayou DeCade Ridge and Marsh Creation						4	0	0
4	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation							0	0
4	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
CW	Salvinia Weevil Propagation Facility						5	0	0

check 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 36 126

RUN MACRO FROM "SORT-Final Vote" WORKSHEET

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 26 to the Task Force:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2016

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
21	BA-125	Northwest Turtle Bay Marsh Creation	3	2	3	3	3	2	6	16
22	CS-66	Cameron Meadows Marsh Creation and Terracing	1			1	1	1	4	4
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier marsh Restoration		3		2		3	3	8
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar	2		1		2		3	5
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration		1	2				2	3

NOTES:

- Projects are sorted by: (1) Agency Support or "Number of Yes Votes" and (2) "Sum of Weighted Score"

- The "Number of Yes Votes" and the Sum of the Total Point Score will be used by the Technical Committee to formulate a recommendation to the Task Force within available funding limits.

RUN MACRO "sort" TO AUTOMATICALLY COMPLETE STEPS

STEP 1: Information from "VOTE" sheet is automatically copied into "SORT-Final Vote".

STEP 2: Sort columns A..P, descending, first by "No. of Yes Votes" (Column J) and second by "Sum of Point Score" (Column K).

STEP 3: Once projects are sorted, add in formula to add funding requests cumulatively (Column M)

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2016

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar	2						0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration							0	0
21	BA-125	Northwest Turtle Bay Marsh Creation	3						0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier marsh Restoration							0	0
22	CS-66	Cameron Meadows Marsh Creation and Terracing	1						0	0
			No. of votes:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Sum of Votes:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.


Bl L.J.

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2016

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar							0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration		1					0	0
21	BA-125	Northwest Turtle Bay Marsh Creation		2					0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier marsh Restoration		3					0	0
22	CS-66	Cameron Meadows Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0
			No. of votes:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Sum of Votes:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.



12-7-16

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2016




PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar			1				0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration			2				0	0
21	BA-125	Northwest Turtle Bay Marsh Creation			3				0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier marsh Restoration							0	0
22	CS-66	Cameron Meadows Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0

No. of votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 Sum of Votes: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.



CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2016

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar							0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration							0	0
21	BA-125	Northwest Turtle Bay Marsh Creation				3			0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier marsh Restoration				2			0	0
22	CS-66	Cameron Meadows Marsh Creation and Terracing				1			0	0
			No. of votes:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Sum of Votes:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.

W. B. P.

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2016

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar					2		0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration							0	0
21	BA-125	Northwest Turtle Bay Marsh Creation					3		0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier marsh Restoration							0	0
22	CS-66	Cameron Meadows Marsh Creation and Terracing					1		0	0
			No. of votes:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Sum of Votes:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.

CWPPRA Technical Committee Ranking for Phase II Approval, Dec 2016

PPL	Project No.	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	STATE	No. of Agency Votes	Sum of Weighted Score
22	BS-24	Terracing & Marsh Creation South of Big Mar							0	0
23	BA-173	Bayou Grand Cheniere Marsh and Ridge Restoration							0	0
21	BA-125	Northwest Turtle Bay Marsh Creation						2	0	0
23	BA-171	Caminada Headland Back Barrier marsh Restoration						3	0	0
22	CS-66	Cameron Meadows Marsh Creation and Terracing						1	0	0
			No. of votes:	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			Sum of Votes:	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The following voting process will be used to rank all projects under consideration for construction approval/Phase II Authorization:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Weighted scores will be assigned the values of 3, 2, and 1 with 3 being highest and 1 being the lowest ranking.
4. Projects are ranked first by the number of agency votes received (to determine level of agency consensus/support for individual projects, and then by "Sum" of the weighted score (on next page).
5. This ranking will be used by the Technical Committee as a "tool" to determine which projects will be recommended to the Task Force for funding, within available funds.

Bill
12/17/16