

CWPPRA PPL 26 Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

Region	Basin	Type	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
2	BA	MC	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation	3	7	9	6	3		5	28
1	PO	MC/SP	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection	10		10		9	4	4	33
3	TE	MC	Bayou DeCade Bankline and Marsh Restoration			8	8	5	7	4	28
4	CS	MC	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment		1	7	10		10	4	28
3	TE	FD	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion		6	2		10	8	4	26
1	PO	MC	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration	9	3			7	6	4	25
3	TE	MC/TR	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing	8		4	9		3	4	24
0	CW	0	Southwest Louisiana Salvinia Weevil Propagation	1		6	5	6		4	18
2	BA	MC	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation		5	5	1		5	4	16
4	ME	MC	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation	2	4		2		2	4	10
3	TV	SP/MC	West Vermilion Marsh Creation and Shoreline Protection	5	10			8		3	23
2	BA	BI	Grand Pierre Island Restoration			1	7		9	3	17
2	BA	MC	Barataria Bay Waterway East Marsh Creation	4				4	1	3	9
4	CS	MC	West Cove Bank Stabilization and Marsh Creation	6	2			1		3	9
4	ME	MC	North Big Marsh Restoration			3	3	2		3	8
1	PO	MC	North Shell Beach Marsh Creation	7	8					2	15
3	TE	MC	North Terrebonne Marsh Creation		9					1	9
3	TV	MC	Belle Isle Marsh Creation and Nourishment				4			1	4

NOTES:

- Projects are sorted by: (1) "No. of Votes" and (2) "Sum of Point Score"

CWPPRA PPL 26 Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

30-Mar-16

Region	Basin	Type	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	PO	MC	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration	9						0	0
1	PO	MC/SP	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection	10						0	0
1	PO	MC	North Shell Beach Marsh Creation	7						0	0
2	BA	MC	Barataria Bay Waterway East Marsh Creation	4						0	0
2	BA	MC	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation	4						0	0
2	BA	MC	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation	3						0	0
2	BA	BI	Grand Pierre Island Restoration							0	0
3	TE	MC	North Terrebonne Marsh Creation							0	0
3	TE	MC/TR	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing	8						0	0
3	TE	MC	Bayou DeCade Bankline and Marsh Restoration							0	0
3	TE	FD	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion							0	0
3	TV	SP/MC	West Vermilion Marsh Creation and Shoreline Protection	5						0	0
3	TV	MC	Belle Isle Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
4	CS	MC	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
4	CS	MC	West Cove Bank Stabilization and Marsh Creation	6						0	0
4	ME	MC	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation	2						0	0
4	ME	MC	North Big Marsh Restoration							0	0
	CW		Southwest Louisiana Salvinia Weevil Propagation	1						0	0
				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				check	55	55	55	55	55	60	330

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select 10 candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 10 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (10, 9, 8,...1), to be used in the event of a tie. (10 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select the top 10 projects as candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 10, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.

Maad Wingard
5 Apr 16

CWPPRA PPL 26 Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

30-Mar-16

Region	Basin	Type	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	PO	MC	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration		3					0	0
1	PO	MC/SP	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection							0	0
1	PO	MC	North Shell Beach Marsh Creation		8					0	0
2	BA	MC	Barataria Bay Waterway East Marsh Creation							0	0
2	BA	MC	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation		5					0	0
2	BA	MC	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation		7					0	0
2	BA	BI	Grand Pierre Island Restoration							0	0
3	TE	MC	North Terrebonne Marsh Creation		9					0	0
3	TE	MC/TR	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0
3	TE	MC	Bayou DeCade Bankline and Marsh Restoration							0	0
3	TE	FD	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion		6					0	0
3	TV	SP/MC	West Vermilion Marsh Creation and Shoreline Protection		10					0	0
3	TV	MC	Belle Isle Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
4	CS	MC	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment		1					0	0
4	CS	MC	West Cove Bank Stabilization and Marsh Creation		2					0	0
4	ME	MC	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation		4					0	0
4	ME	MC	North Big Marsh Restoration							0	0
	CW		Southwest Louisiana Salvinia Weevil Propagation							0	0

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 check 55 55 55 55 55 55 60 330

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select 10 candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 10 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (10, 9, 8,...1), to be used in the event of a tie. (10 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select the top 10 projects as candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 10, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.

CWPPRA PPL 26 Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

30-Mar-16

Region	Basin	Type	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	PO	MC	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration							0	0
1	PO	MC/SP	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection			10				0	0
1	PO	MC	North Shell Beach Marsh Creation							0	0
2	BA	MC	Barataria Bay Waterway East Marsh Creation							0	0
2	BA	MC	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation			5				0	0
2	BA	MC	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation			9				0	0
2	BA	BI	Grand Pierre Island Restoration			1				0	0
3	TE	MC	North Terrebonne Marsh Creation							0	0
3	TE	MC/TR	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing			4				0	0
3	TE	MC	Bayou DeCade Bankline and Marsh Restoration			8				0	0
3	TE	FD	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion			2				0	0
3	TV	SP/MC	West Vermilion Marsh Creation and Shoreline Protection							0	0
3	TV	MC	Belle Isle Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
4	CS	MC	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment			7				0	0
4	CS	MC	West Cove Bank Stabilization and Marsh Creation							0	0
4	ME	MC	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation							0	0
4	ME	MC	North Big Marsh Restoration			3				0	0
	CW		Southwest Louisiana Salvinia Weevil Propagation			6				0	0
				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				check	55	55	55	55	55	60	330

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select 10 candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 10 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (10, 9, 8,...1), to be used in the event of a tie. (10 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select the top 10 projects as candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 10, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.



CWPPRA PPL 26 Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

30-Mar-16

Region	Basin	Type	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	PO	MC	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration							0	0
1	PO	MC/SP	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection							0	0
1	PO	MC	North Shell Beach Marsh Creation							0	0
2	BA	MC	Barataria Bay Waterway East Marsh Creation							0	0
2	BA	MC	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation				1			0	0
2	BA	MC	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation				6			0	0
2	BA	BI	Grand Pierre Island Restoration				7			0	0
3	TE	MC	North Terrebonne Marsh Creation							0	0
3	TE	MC/TR	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing				9			0	0
3	TE	MC	Bayou DeCade Bankline and Marsh Restoration				8			0	0
3	TE	FD	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion							0	0
3	TV	SP/MC	West Vermilion Marsh Creation and Shoreline Protection							0	0
3	TV	MC	Belle Isle Marsh Creation and Nourishment				4			0	0
4	CS	MC	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment				10			0	0
4	CS	MC	West Cove Bank Stabilization and Marsh Creation							0	0
4	ME	MC	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation				2			0	0
4	ME	MC	North Big Marsh Restoration				3			0	0
	CW		Southwest Louisiana Salvinia Weevil Propagation				5			0	0

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 check 55 55 55 55 55 55 60 330

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select 10 candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 10 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (10, 9, 8,...1), to be used in the event of a tie. (10 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select the top 10 projects as candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 10, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.

W. M.

Region	Basin	Type	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	PO	MC	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration					7		0	0
1	PO	MC/SP	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection					9		0	0
1	PO	MC	North Shell Beach Marsh Creation							0	0
2	BA	MC	Barataria Bay Waterway East Marsh Creation					4		0	0
2	BA	MC	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation							0	0
2	BA	MC	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation					3		0	0
2	BA	BI	Grand Pierre Island Restoration							0	0
3	TE	MC	North Terrebonne Marsh Creation							0	0
3	TE	MC/TR	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing							0	0
3	TE	MC	Bayou DeCade Bankline and Marsh Restoration					5		0	0
3	TE	FD	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion					10		0	0
3	TV	SP/MC	West Vermilion Marsh Creation and Shoreline Protection					8		0	0
3	TV	MC	Belle Isle Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
4	CS	MC	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
4	CS	MC	West Cove Bank Stabilization and Marsh Creation					1		0	0
4	ME	MC	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation							0	0
4	ME	MC	North Big Marsh Restoration					2		0	0
	CW		Southwest Louisiana Salvinia Weevil Propagation					6		0	0

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 check 55 55 55 55 55 55 60 330

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select 10 candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 10 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (10, 9, 8,...1), to be used in the event of a tie. (10 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select the top 10 projects as candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 10, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.

CWPPRA PPL 26 Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

30-Mar-16

Region	Basin	Type	Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	PO	MC	Bayou La Loutre Ridge and Marsh Restoration						6	0	0
1	PO	MC/SP	St. Catherine Island Marsh Creation & Shoreline Protection						4	0	0
1	PO	MC	North Shell Beach Marsh Creation							0	0
2	BA	MC	Barataria Bay Waterway East Marsh Creation						1	0	0
2	BA	MC	Elmer's Island Backbarrier Marsh Creation						5	0	0
2	BA	MC	East Bayou Lafourche Marsh Creation							0	0
2	BA	BI	Grand Pierre Island Restoration						9	0	0
3	TE	MC	North Terrebonne Marsh Creation							0	0
3	TE	MC/TR	West LA Hwy 1 Marsh Creation and Terracing						3	0	0
3	TE	MC	Bayou DeCade Bankline and Marsh Restoration						7	0	0
3	TE	FD	Bayou Terrebonne Freshwater Diversion						8	0	0
3	TV	SP/MC	West Vermilion Marsh Creation and Shoreline Protection							0	0
3	TV	MC	Belle Isle Marsh Creation and Nourishment							0	0
4	CS	MC	North Mud Lake Marsh Creation and Nourishment						10	0	0
4	CS	MC	West Cove Bank Stabilization and Marsh Creation							0	0
4	ME	MC	East Pecan Island Marsh Creation						2	0	0
4	ME	MC	North Big Marsh Restoration							0	0
	CW		Southwest Louisiana Salvinia Weevil Propagation							0	0

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 check 55 55 55 55 55 55 60 330

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select 10 candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 10 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (10, 9, 8,...1), to be used in the event of a tie. (10 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select the top 10 projects as candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 10, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.

CWPPRA PPL 26 Demonstration Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

5-Apr-16

Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
Shore-links	1	3	3	2	3	2	6	14
Ecobale Shoreline Protection	3		1	3	1		4	8
Enhancing Restoration Transplant Survival via Stress Acclimation		1	2	1		1	4	5
Novel Techniques for the Efficient Use of Spoil Material in the Backfilling of Canals		2				3	2	5
Sediment Accretion and Marsh Restoration Using Modified Reefblk Design	2				2		2	4

NOTES:

- Projects are sorted by: (1) "No. of Votes" and (2) "Sum of Point Score"

CWPPRA PPL 26 Demonstration Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

30-Mar-16

Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
Shore-links	1						0	0
Enhancing Restoration Transplant Survival via Stress Acclimation							0	0
Sediment Accretion and Marsh Restoration Using Modified Reefblk Design	2						0	0
Ecobale Shoreline Protection	3						0	0
Novel Techniques for the Efficient Use of Spoil Material in the Backfilling of Canals							0	0

check 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
6 6 6 6 6 6 18 36

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select up to 3 demonstration candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (3, 2, 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (3 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select up to 3 demonstration projects as demo candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 3, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee demo candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.

Maui Wright
5 Apr 16

CWPPRA PPL 26 Demonstration Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

30-Mar-16

Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
Shore-links		3					0	0
Enhancing Restoration Transplant Survival via Stress Acclimation		1					0	0
Sediment Accretion and Marsh Restoration Using Modified Reefblk Design							0	0
Ecobale Shoreline Protection							0	0
Novel Techniques for the Efficient Use of Spoil Material in the Backfilling of Canals		2					0	0

check 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
6 6 6 6 6 6 18 36

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select up to 3 demonstration candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (3, 2, 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (3 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select up to 3 demonstration projects as demo candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 3, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee demo candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.

CWPPRA PPL 26 Demonstration Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

30-Mar-16

Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
Shore-links			3				0	0
Enhancing Restoration Transplant Survival via Stress Acclimation			2				0	0
Sediment Accretion and Marsh Restoration Using Modified Reefblk Design							0	0
Ecobale Shoreline Protection			1				0	0
Novel Techniques for the Efficient Use of Spoil Material in the Backfilling of Canals							0	0

check 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
6 6 6 6 6 6 18 36

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select up to 3 demonstration candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (3, 2, 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (3 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select up to 3 demonstration projects as demo candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 3, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee demo candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.

CWPPRA PPL 26 Demonstration Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

30-Mar-16



Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
Shore-links				2			0	0
Enhancing Restoration Transplant Survival via Stress Acclimation				1			0	0
Sediment Accretion and Marsh Restoration Using Modified Reefblk Design							0	0
Ecobale Shoreline Protection				3			0	0
Novel Techniques for the Efficient Use of Spoil Material in the Backfilling of Canals							0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
check	6	6	6	6	6	6	18	36

no

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select up to 3 demonstration candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (3, 2, 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (3 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select up to 3 demonstration projects as demo candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 3, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee demo candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.

CWPPRA PPL 26 Demonstration Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

30-Mar-16

W. J. ...

Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
Shore-links					3		0	0
Enhancing Restoration Transplant Survival via Stress Acclimation							0	0
Sediment Accretion and Marsh Restoration Using Modified Reefblk Design					2		0	0
Ecobale Shoreline Protection					1		0	0
Novel Techniques for the Efficient Use of Spoil Material in the Backfilling of Canals							0	0

check 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
6 6 6 6 6 6 18 36

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select up to 3 demonstration candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (3, 2, 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (3 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select up to 3 demonstration projects as demo candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 3, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee demo candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.

CWPPRA PPL 26 Demonstration Candidate Vote - Technical Committee

30-Mar-16

Project	COE	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	State	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
Shore-links						2	0	0
Enhancing Restoration Transplant Survival via Stress Acclimation						1	0	0
Sediment Accretion and Marsh Restoration Using Modified Reefblk Design							0	0
Ecobale Shoreline Protection							0	0
Novel Techniques for the Efficient Use of Spoil Material in the Backfilling of Canals						3	0	0

check 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 6 6 6 6 6 6 18 36

The following voting process will be used by the Technical Committee to select up to 3 demonstration candidate projects under PPL 26:

1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 3 projects. All votes must be used.
3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
4. A weighted score will be assigned (3, 2, 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (3 highest...1 lowest).
5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
6. The Technical Committee will select up to 3 demonstration projects as demo candidates under PPL 26.
7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff of 3, the weighted will be used as a tie-breaker.
8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.
9. The results of the Technical Committee demo candidate selection will be reported to the Task Force.